## **EXHIBIT 40**

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Navajo Health Foundation - Sage Memorial Hospital, Inc. (doing business as "Sage Memorial Hospital"),	) ) )
Plaintiff,	)Case No. )3:23-cv-08072-DJH
VS.	)
Razaghi Development Company, LLC (doing business as "Razaghi Healthcare"); Ahmad R. Razaghi; Tausif Hasan; Does 1-10,	) ) ) ) )
Defendants.	)
	)

#### DEPOSITION OF TAUSIF HASAN

Phoenix, Arizona May 7, 2024 1:07 p.m.

REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY: KRISTI K. SPIRES, RPR Certified Reporter Certificate No. 50135

PREPARED FOR: (COPY/ASCII)



				Page 2	Page
1		INDEX		1	DEPOSITION OF TAUSIF HASAN was taken
2				2	on May 7, 2024, commencing at 1:07 p.m. at
3	WITNESS:	and the second		3	DICKINSON WRIGHT, 1850 North Central Avenue,
4	TAUSIF	HASAN	PAGE	4	Suite 1400, Phoenix, Arizona, before KRISTI K.
6	Ex	amination by Mr. Burns	4	5	SPIRES, a Certified Reporter in the State of Arizona.
8				7	COUNSEL APPEARING:
9		0 0		8	
0				9	For the Plaintiff:
1				9	DICKINSON WRIGHT, PLLC
2		EXHIBITS		10	
3					By: D. Samuel Coffman
4		220022200	2.22	11	
5	EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	PAGE		Phoenix, Arizona 85004
	No. 51	Email Chain and Attachments		12	For the Defendants:
6		(Bates No. SAGE0034794-96)		14	
7		(3 pages)	61	2.5	By: Andrew H. Merrett
	No. 52	Email (1 page)	61	15	3200 North Central Avenue, Suite 1560
8	No. 53	ACH Detail Report			Phoenix, Arizona 85012
9	NO. 53	(Bates Nos. SAGE0011086-87)		16	
		(2 pages)	80	17	Also Present: Melinda White
0	No. 54	Account Details - Operating		100	Todd McGee (via videoconference)
1	NO. 54	(Bates No. SAGE0011899) (1 page)	82	19	
2	No. 55	Email Chain and Attachments		20	
3		(Bates No. SAGE0024484) (1 page)	98	21	
3	No. 56	Letter to Mr. Pahe from		22	
4		Mr. Greenfield 9/8/2018		24	
5		(Bates Nos. SAGE0044968-70) (3 pages)	100	25	
_		10 bades)	***		
				Page 3	Page
1		EXHIBITS		1	
2				2	TAUSIF HASAN, a witness herein,
3	EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	3	"[[
4	No. 57	Email Chain and Attachments		4	프리아 아이 아르는데 그 아이를 다니다. 하는데 아이를 하는데 하는데 하는데 그렇게 하다.
_		(6 pages)	103	5	이 사용하면 그리지 않는 것이 없는 것이 되었다면 하고 있다. 이 남자는 이 없는 사용이 되었다면 하는 것이 없다면 하는데 그리고 있다.
5	No. 58	Email Chain and Attachments		6	
6	NO. 30	(2 pages)	112	7	
7		(a pages)			
	No. 59	Defendants' Supplemental Respon	ises	8	
8		To First Set of Interrogatories		9	
		(24 pages)	119	10	
9				1	
0				1:	Q. Can you spell it?
1				1:	3 A. It's T-A-U-S-I-F H-A-S-A-N.
2				14	Q. Have you ever been deposed before?
3				1	
4				10	
5				1	그 그는 이번 점점 가는 경기 이번 경기에 되는 회사에 되었다면 하면 되었다.
6				18	
7				19	
9					
0				20	
1				2	
2				2	할머니는 아이는 아이는 아는 가는 것이 아는 아이를 하고 하는데 아이를 하는데 하는데 아이를 하는
				23	3 under oath giving testimony in person, right?
3					
23				24	4 A. Yes.

Razaghi Development Page 6 Page 8

3

6

21

2

deposition. 1

2 This is our opportunity to ask questions.

The goal is to discover what you know, for you to

testify about what you know and to talk about it. So

some basic ground rules are: It's a

question-and-answer session. I ask a question, take

7 one second, let your attorney jump in and object if

he has an objection.

9 A. Okay.

10 Q. He'll state his objection for the record if

he has one, and then you proceed to answer the 11

12 question.

13 What doesn't work is when we're talking

14 over each other. We will do it today because

15 everyone does it in every deposition.

16 A. Okay.

Q. But when we do it, the court reporter might 17

18 chime in, and then we try to be good about it. We

19 like nice clean questions and answers.

20 A. Okay.

21 Q. What's important is that you understand the

questions that I'm asking. Sometimes attorneys ask

poor questions. Sometimes the question is great, but

24 you just don't understand it.

25 A. Right. 1 Chandler 85286.

2 Q. How long did you live there?

A. About ten years.

4 Q. Tell me about your educational background

5 after high school.

A. I have bachelor's in accounting, and I have

7 **Certified Healthcare Financial Professional** 

certification.

9 Q. Tell me about the bachelor's in accounting.

10 Where did you get it?

11 A. I got it from University of Karachi.

12 Q. Where is that located?

13 A. That was back home in Pakistan.

14 Q. And then that's the last, like, college

15 degree you got, right?

16 A. The degree, yes. But I did get higher

17 education from ASU in accounting, no degree.

18 Q. Was it a degree program that you were in, or

19 was it just, like, continuing education?

20 A. It was continuing education.

Q. Tell me about the ASU program.

22 A. I took courses at 300 and 400 levels.

23 Q. Tell me about the courses. I'd like to know

24 just generally about it. What was it about?

25 A. It was management accounting, and it was all

Page 9

Page 7

1 of the other prospects of accounting.

Q. Anything about accounting ethics?

3 A. I don't know. I don't remember.

4 Q. You mentioned a certification. I missed it.

What was it?

6 A. CHFP. That is very famous in healthcare

7 industry. It's a Certified Healthcare Financial

Professional certification through HFMA.

9 Q. Does that certification involve any courses

10 in ethics?

11 A. No. They have two exams basically just like

12 CPA. You pass the first one, and then you have about

a year to pass the second one. If you don't, then it

14 expires. You have to do it all over again. It's

15 just like CPA, but it's only for the healthcare

16 professions.

17 Q. I take it that you're not a CPA?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Have you ever been a CPA?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Other than what you've already told me, any

22 other professional licenses or certifications?

A. No. 23

24 Q. I'd like to learn about your first job in

25 accounting.

Q. If you don't understand a question, please 1

let me know. I'll rephrase the question, and we'll 2

3 work through it together. 4

The other thing is if you need a break, 5 just let me know. This is not supposed to be some

6 kind of marathon punishment session. But I'll ask

7 that breaks be taken after a question is answered,

8 not while they're pending.

9 A. Right.

10 Q. Are you on any medications that could impair your ability to answer my questions today? 11

12

13 Q. Any other conditions, situations, concerns

14 that would impair your ability to answer questions?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Where do you reside?

17 A. Chandler, Arizona.

Q. Just go ahead and give me your residential 18

19 address.

20 A. 1701 West Flamingo Drive, Chandler, Arizona 21 85286.

22

Q. How long have you lived there?

23 A. About five months.

24 Q. Previous to that, where did you live?

25 A. I lived in Chandler at 1625 West Enfield Way, Page 10

1 A. This was back in '96 at Healthsouth rehab

hospital. I was an accountant.

3 Q. Where was that?

4 A. It was in Glendale, Arizona.

5 Q. So your first accounting job was in the

6 United States in about 1996?

7 A. About 1996.

8 Q. Have you ever had an accounting job outside

9 of the healthcare industry?

10 A. In between it was medical equipment, but it

11 was related to healthcare. I think mostly my

12 25 years is in healthcare.

13 Q. I would just like to run through the jobs

14 that you've had. So tell me what happened after the

15 Healthsouth accountancy job.

16 A. Then I joined Native American Connections,

17 which was the drug and alcohol abuse rehabilitation

center. I was there. And then after that, I joined

19 Scottsdale Healthcare, which is now HonorHealth.

20 After that, I was with Casa Grande Regional Medical

21 Center.

22 Q. So approximately when did you join Native

23 American Connections?

24 A. It was back in 2000.

25 Q. And then approximately when at Scottsdale 1 Q. Had you been a healthcare consultant before?

Page 12

Page 13

2 A. No.

3 Q. Did you want to be a healthcare consultant?

4 A. I have experience.

5 Q. I'm trying to understand: Were you trying to

6 get a financial accounting job, or were you trying to

7 get something different?

8 A. When I joined Razaghi, it was a healthcare

consultant job, but they told me that they are

10 managing a hospital. So that interested me because I

11 had hospital background.

Q. Obviously you get hired. What do you get 12

13 first hired to do at Razaghi Healthcare?

14 It's got a bunch of different names.

15 What did the company go by when you were there? What

was the most common name?

17 A. As I know, Razaghi Healthcare.

Q. We'll call "Razaghi Development Company"

19 "Razaghi Healthcare." Are you okay with that?

20

18

21 Q. So what was your first role at Razaghi

22 Healthcare?

23 A. I was a consultant. I was doing the

24 probation period of 90 days. So I was just doing --

25 looking at the processes. They gave me a project of

Page 11

1 if we have a startup company how to create processes

2 for accounting department: payroll, AP, general

3 ledger.

4 Q. You say "creating processes." Were there

5 in-place processes already?

A. No. No. I'm not talking about they have.

7 They just give me a project of how to do this, how to

8 create it.

9 So I put the processes -- this is how you

10 process to make sure -- if you are creating a new

11 accounting department, how to do, what to do.

12 Q. What did you understand that process you

13 made -- what would it be used for?

14 A. Used for the companies if they have clinic --

medical clinic, how to create an accounts receivable 15

department, billing and coding. What do we have to

17 do in order to make it -- people to work on it in the

system. AP, the same way. Payroll, the same way.

19 General ledger, the same way.

20 Q. So it was kind of -- the project was if you

were starting from scratch, this is how you'd build 21

22 the department?

23 A. Right.

24 Q. Does your project here -- I mean, you

25 obviously make it past the probationary period,

1 Healthcare?

2 A. 2001.

3 Q. And approximately when at Casa Grande

4 Regional?

11

5 A. 2003.

6 Q. And then what after that?

7 A. 2010 I was with Healing Medical Equipment,

which was DME, and I was there for about three years.

Q. So now we're at approximately 2015, right?

After that, I was with White Mountain Regional

10 Medical Center, and I was there for two years.

12 A. After that, I had one more at Cobre Valley

13 Regional Medical. I was there for about eight 14 months. It was in Globe. It was too difficult for

15 me to commute, so I left that job.

16 Q. So what year do you end up getting connected

17 with Razaghi Development Company?

18 A. September 2015.

19 Q. Tell me about how you first met

20 Ahmad Razaghi.

21 A. In my first interview.

22 Q. So how did you find this job then?

23 A. It was on Indeed.

24 Q. What was the job that you were applying for?

25 A. That was a healthcare consultant job.



Page 14 Page 16 1 right? 1 A. Sure. 2 2 A. No. That was in the probationary period. Q. So your first work when you actually started Q. Right. But you end up staying at Razaghi 3 3 full-time is with Sage; is that true? 4 Healthcare past the probationary period, right? 4 A. Yes. But I was not involved in doing A. Yes. 5 anything. I was just looking and seeing what 5 6 Q. Now, the project that you did during the everyone is doing with the processes. I was not 7 probationary period, you just described it. involved in any other processes over there like doing 8 A. Right. any research or working with the auditors. I was not 9 Q. What is that used for later? 9 involved with that. 10 A. For nothing. They wanted to see if I have 10 Q. But you were evaluating the accounting enough knowledge to have the processes in place, how processes they had, right? 11 12 to do it. They wanted to know if I understand how it 12 A. Yes. Just looking into it, observing it. 13 works. 13 Q. And what did you learn? What did you 14 Q. So the processes you made, they don't end up 14 conclude after you did that? getting used for anything? 15 A. There were so many things to be improved at 15 16 A. Get what? 16 that time. That's what I learned. 17 Q. They don't end up getting used for anything? 17 Q. It was a mess, right? 18 18 A. Right. 19 Q. So it was some kind of 90-day test or 19 Q. How did you and Razaghi Healthcare go about 20 something like that, right? 20 fixing that mess? 21 21 A. Yes. A. When they made me director of finance, I 22 Q. What was the results of this test? Did start looking into processes. I made adjustments in anybody give you feedback on how well you had done? 23 23 there, and we get better. 24 A. At that time, Todd was the CFO. I showed 24 Q. How did you do that? How did it get better? 25 25 him, and he looked at it, and he said it looks good. A. Can you explain what the question is there? Page 15 Page 17 1 1 Q. Did Mr. Razaghi have an opinion about your Q. Sure. 2 work? 2 So you just said that you worked on 3 A. I don't know. My contact was with the CFO at 3 making it better. 4 that time. 4 A. Uh-huh. 5 5 Q. Can you give me his full name? Q. I'm trying to understand how you worked on 6 A. His what? 6 making it better. What did you do? 7 Q. His full name. 7 A. You just look at the processes, what they are 8 A. Todd McGee. doing currently. For example, in revenue cycle if 9 Q. Once you start full-time, you directly report you are getting a lot of denials, a lot of billing is 10 to who? 10 not on time, it gets delayed. The payments get 11 A. Todd McGee. 11 delayed. 12 Q. Anyone else? 12 So you make sure that you start creating 13 A. He's the only one. 13 the process that everything is related to each other: 14 Q. So when you start full-time, what is your job 14 medical records, registration. So everything is 15 title? linked. So if the medical record is delayed, the 15 16 A. I was -- the first time I went to Sage with billing is delayed. So you make sure that everything 17 Todd McGee, it was audit in process. I just went 17 is on time. We set up the timing for it. there to see how everything is going, how everything 18 And then once it comes to the billing 19 works. 19 side, they make sure that they have certain days to 20 Q. Okay. You just said "Sage." Was that 20 bill it so we can get timely payments on it. So 21 referring to the Navajo Health Foundation, Sage 21 those are the things that I was looking for. 22 Memorial Hospital, Incorporated? 22 Q. What about the accuracy of information that 23 A. Yes. 23 is inputted in an accounting system? Is that 24 Q. So that business entity we'll refer to as 24 something you worked on? 25 "Sage" today. Is that all right with you? 25 A. Repeat the question.

Razaghi Development Page 18 Page 20 1 Q. How about the accuracy of information that is 1 BY MR. BURNS: put into the accounting system? Is that something 2 Q. Did you know that Sage had a board of 3 you worked on? 3 directors? A. Yes. A. Yes. 4 4 5 5 Q. Why is that important? Q. What was your understanding of the role of 6 the board of directors? A. Because accuracy is the main key for the 7 7 A. The board of directors are responsible for 8 Q. What about, like, invoice review processes? the decision -- whatever the executive leadership 8 9 Is that something you evaluated? made. They go in front of the board and explain 10 A. Yes. 10 that. If it is good for the hospital, they will look 11 Q. Tell me what you did there. at it and approve it. 11 12 A. Invoices are -- when it comes to the 12 Q. So this initial job you have at Razaghi 13 hospital, first of all, the AP side looks at it 13 Healthcare, I understand it lasts for some amount of whether it's PO related or non-PO related. If it is 14 time and then it changes. 15 PO related, then you don't need an authorization 15 Can you tell me what the job was and when 16 because it has already been done. 16 did it change? 17 When it is non-PO related, it goes to 17 A. Repeat the question. 18 whoever department that it relates to, and the 18 Q. You had a role when you started at Razaghi 19 director or manager has to approve that it is the 19 Healthcare, correct? 20 right amount and the right pieces. 20 A. Are we talking about the initial, or are we 21 Once it comes back, then AP processes it. 21 talking once I get the --22 Once they are done, they send it to the controller to Q. Initial. verify those pieces. You look at it, and you verify 23 A. As a healthcare consultant. it, and it goes back and process the payments. 24 Q. And how long does that last? 25 25 Q. So ultimately who's the person that has final A. 90 days. Page 19 Page 21 Q. After that, what's the job you get hired into say on invoice payments? 1 1 2 MR. MERRETT: Form. 2 more permanently? 3 THE WITNESS: Invoice payments usually is 3 A. I explained that earlier about I went to Sage 4 the controller job. I was director of finance. I with the CFO and just observe the first couple of was approving it. Once it's done, it goes to the CFO months. I did not involve in any matters over there. 6 to the final approval. 6 Q. What happens after that? 7 BY MR. BURNS: 7 A. I became director of finance. I think it was 8 Q. Why is it important to have multiple people in 2016, if I remember correctly. 9 approve invoices? Q. Director of finance of what? Of Razaghi 10 A. It's because of the pieces that is the 10 Healthcare? 11 process in accounting system for the hospital. 11 A. For Sage. That's how it works in every hospital. Q. Who did you report to as director of finance 12 12 13 Q. What was your understanding of what Sage's 13 for Sage? 14 business was when you first got involved? 14 A. Todd McGee, CFO. 15 15 Q. When you received, like, paychecks, what A. It's a hospital, see patients. 16 Q. Did you know it was a nonprofit corporation? 16 entity would they come from during that time? 17 17 A. Yes. A. For? 18 Q. Ultimately who's the decider of the business 18 Q. When you were director of finance for Sage, 19 decisions of a nonprofit corporation like Sage? 19 who would actually pay your salary? 20 MR. MERRETT: Form and foundation. 20 A. My salary comes from Razaghi Healthcare. Q. And you understand that Sage would reimburse 21 BY MR. BURNS: 21



22

23

24

25

working for Sage?

Q. What was your understanding when you started

MR. MERRETT: Form and foundation.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

22

23

24

25 Sage?

A. Yes.

Razaghi Healthcare for that salary?

Q. How long were you director of finance for

	Page 22		Page 24
1	A. It was six or seven months.	1	What do you mean by that? What was her
2	Q. What happens after director of finance?	2	그 중 요요요 그런 모양이라면 그렇게 하셨다고 하다 하고 있다면 하지 않는 아들은 나는 이 사이를 살았다.
3	A. I was promoted to CFO.	3	A. You know, I cannot say. I mean, she by
4	Q. What happened to Mr. McGee?	4	the beginning of the audit when audit comes in,
5	A. He left.	5	let's say, November, she comes to me and said to fire
6	Q. Do you know why he left?	6	this person without any reason.
7	A. I don't know.	7	Q. And you disagreed with that?
8	Q. Did he ever tell you why he was leaving?	8	A. And I disagreed.
9	A. No.	9	Q. Are you thinking of a particular person? Who
10	Q. Did Mr. Razaghi ever tell you why Mr. McGee	10	was it?
11	was leaving?	11	A. No. I don't know the name.
12	A. No.	12	Q. So you just didn't get along with her; is
13	Q. Did anyone ever tell you why Mr. McGee was	13	that right?
14	그렇게 누워졌다. 그는 이 이렇게 하를 하는 하늘이 하는데 그 사람이 되는 것이 되면 되었다. 그 그는 것으로 가지 않는데 되었다.	14	A. That is correct.
15		15	Q. So you decide to leave. How do you how do
16	Q. Did you ask?	16	you go out? I mean, who do you tell that you're
17		17	resigning?
18	Q. Did you have any impression of why he might	18	A. I told Christi and Ahmad.
19	not want to be there anymore?	19	Q. What was Mr. Razaghi's reaction?
20	A. No.	20	A. He was okay with that at that time.
21	Q. So when do you become CFO?	21	Q. Okay. And what was Christi's reaction?
22	A. In January of 2017.	22	A. She was fine.
23	Q. And this is you're CFO of Sage, not CFO of	23	Q. So what month did you say you actually left?
24	Razaghi Healthcare.	24	A. August of 2017.
25	A. Sage.	25	Q. And then you do come back, I think, in
	Page 23		Page 25
1	Q. Same thing with the paychecks when you became	1	December; is that right?
2	CFO?	2	A. December 2017.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. How did it come about that you decided to
4	Q. How long do you stay I understand you	4	come back? How did that occur?
5	leave and come back. But how long do you stay in	5	A. They ask me to come back because
6	that CFO position?	6	accounting and financial department was a mess.
7	A. I was there through August of 2017. So about	7	Q. Who is "they"?
8	seven or eight months. And then I left, and from the	8	A. Ahmad.
9	request, I came back in December of 2017.	9	Q. I have no problem with first names. But when
10	Q. So why did you leave?	10	you refer to "Ahmad," you mean Mr. Razaghi?
11	A. Because at that time the administrator,	11	A. Mr. Razaghi, yes.
12	Christi El-Meligi, was not capable of doing her	12	Q. You can use Ahmad now. I just want to be
13	leadership was bad. I was having trouble working	13	
14	with her because she always wants me to hire or fire	14	A. Okay.
15	someone from her perspective.	15	Q. So Mr. Razaghi invited you back; is that
16	And I said, you know, "Let me do my job."	16	right?
17	And she said, "You have to do what I have	17	A. Yes.
18	you do."	18	Q. And he had told you that the accounting
19	I said, "If that is the case, I'm out of	19	department was a mess, right?
20	here." So I left.	20	A. Yes.
21	Q. So you said, "Hire or fire someone from her	21	Q. Was it a mess when you arrived?
22	perspective." Did I hear that right?	22	A. Yes.
23	A. Yes.	23	Q. Tell me the ways in which it was a mess.
24	Q. That was one of the things that concerned	24	A. I left in August, and then I came back in
25	you, I think you were saying.	25	December, and it was audit time. There was a lot of

Page 26

1 reconciliations, a lot of GL accounts was not

reconciling at all. Especially when you are working

3 with the grants and funding through the government,

you have to make sure that everything reconciles

5 because they look at it very closely. And nothing

6 reconciles.

7 So I have to come in and do it all over.

8 I have to do the reconciliation, the fixed asset,

9 which is -- you have to make sure that that

10 reconciles for the GL side. Nothing reconciles at

11 that time.

12 Q. You eventually have it reconcile or not?

13 A. So I did that for the audit. You have to do

14 it in a certain period of time.

15 Q. But given the mess that it was in when you

16 got there in December of 2017, did you have any

17 confidence in the financial statements that were

18 getting made around that time?

19 A. The financial statements I have to do before

20 the year because that's what the audit is for. It's

to make sure that you have correct financials, and

then you present it to the auditors. So I did a lot

23 of adjustments at that time.

24 Q. Did you feel it was fully corrected by the

25 time the audit was done?

1 A. Invoice, to me, is a simple process. The

2 invoice comes in. You verify it either through the

3 PO system or through the manager or director. They

verify it, and then you process it.

5 Q. But an invoice is an example of a piece of

information that is inputted in the accounting system

7 that ends up yielding financial statements, right?

8 A. That is correct.

Q. And I'm talking about for every single piece

10 of accounting information that is put into the system

in December of 2017, that system was very flawed,

12 wasn't it?

9

13 A. I mean, we corrected it. At that time, there

14 was so many mistakes in there on the GL side.

15 Q. And you didn't personally individually go

look at every piece of underlying information 16

17 underlying the accounting system, right?

18 A. No.

19 Q. So when the system had been flawed for some

time before your return, the results may not have

been consistent with reality, right? 21

22 A. I don't know.

23 Q. An audit wouldn't have caught that because

the audit doesn't look that deep, correct?

25 A. Audit looks at the key pieces plus they look

Page 27

Page 29

Page 28

A. No.

2 Q. What wasn't corrected?

3 A. Some of the pieces -- because there are so

4 many things going in there, you just can't have a

single person to do it. And there was some

6 weaknesses, some pieces in the audit, which is pretty

7 common. Every hospital has those, but we corrected

8 after.

16

1

9 Q. Wasn't there a problem with the quality of

information that was being inputted in the accounting 10

system at the very bottom level? The consistency of 11

the way invoices were put in? The way POs were 12

13 processed? What I'm talking about is the very

14 beginning of the accounting process. Weren't there

15 flaws in the way that was done?

MR. MERRETT: Form; foundation.

17 THE WITNESS: Repeat the question.

BY MR. BURNS: 18

19 Q. Accounting products that end up getting

20 audited are fairly complicated, right?

21 A. Right.

22 Q. There are numbers that are highly derivative

23 of other things that create them, right?

A. Uh-huh. 24

25 Q. Such as an invoice, right? 1 at, you know, how the system -- that's why they look

2 at the processes, how are we entering into the GL

3 system. And then they look at basic things, each

4 individual line item. I'm talking about the

operating costs, how everything is done. And then

6 they find out if there is any mistakes or any issues

7 in there.

8 Q. The point is they're not looking at every

9 scrap of paper, right?

10 A. No.

11 Q. So when you return in December 2017, who do

12 you directly report to?

13 A. At that time, I was just reporting to the

14 administrator, co-CEO of Sage Memorial.

15 Q. Who is that?

16 A. At that time, it was Tadd Greenfield.

17 Q. How regularly would you meet with

18 Tadd Greenfield?

19 A. If he's at Sage, then we meet, like, every

20 day or every other day.

21 Q. How often were you at Sage physically?

22 A. Every two weeks I used to go there for a

23 week.

24 Q. And in between that time, you didn't share an

25 office with Tadd?



Page 30 Page 32 A. When I'm at Scottsdale office and Tadd is 1 1 A. I don't know. 2 2 there, then we meet. Q. Who notified you that you had been terminated Q. How about Mr. Razaghi? How often did you by Razaghi Healthcare? 4 interact with him in your CFO role, starting in 4 A. Mr. Razaghi. 5 December 2017? 5 Q. Why did he tell you you were being 6 A. Maybe once every two weeks. Whenever he's in 6 terminated? 7 the office. 7 A. I don't know. 8 Q. What kind of direction would you get from 8 Q. Did he explain anything about why you were 9 Mr. Razaghi? 9 being terminated? 10 MR. MERRETT: Form. 10 A. Because he doesn't have any of the contracts 11 THE WITNESS: I don't. 11 of --12 BY MR. BURNS: 12 Q. So -- go ahead. Sorry. 13 Q. No direction? 13 A. That was the only contract they hired me for. 14 A. I don't. 14 When the contract terminated, I was -- I left too. Q. Did you ever receive direction from 15 15 Q. And that's approximately September-ish 2018? 16 Mr. Razaghi after you became CFO? 16 A. Yeah. The first or second week of September. 17 A. I have direct contact with Tadd Greenfield. 17 Q. What do you do after that? 18 Q. So that's a no, you never received any 18 A. I was out of job for about nine months, and 19 direction from Mr. Razaghi from December 2017 on? 19 then I joined San Carlos Apache as interim CFO. 20 20 Q. Did you have any other business dealings with 21 21 Q. We've established that you're CFO of Sage Mr. Razaghi after September 2018? during this time. That's chief financial officer, 22 A. No. 23 23 right? Q. Did you work for any entity affiliated with 24 A. Yes. 24 him? 25 25 Q. What duties do you think you had to Sage? A. No. Page 31 Page 33 1 MR. MERRETT: Form and foundation. 1 Q. Did any compensation come to you from 2 THE WITNESS: My job is to look at Mr. Razaghi or Razaghi Healthcare after 3 financial operations, give them the leadership and 3 September 2018? 4 manage the departments. I was managing about six or 4 A. No. 5 seven departments. 5 Q. What's your current relationship with Razaghi 6 BY MR. BURNS: 6 Healthcare? Q. If there was a conflict between Razaghi 7 7 A. No relationship. Healthcare and Sage while you were CFO of Sage, who 8 Q. What about Mr. Razaghi himself? Anything 9 was your duty of loyalty to? 9 ongoing? 10 MR. MERRETT: Form and foundation. 10 A. Occasionally we talk on the phone. 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Okay. When was the last time you talked to 11 12 BY MR. BURNS: 12 Mr. Razaghi? 13 Q. You don't know? 13 A. I would say about two weeks ago. 14 A. My fiduciary duty is with Sage. 14 Q. What did you guys talk about? Q. I want to understand how your employment at 15 15 A. Just family. Sage -- well, actually, your employment at Sage ends. 16 Q. Do you consider Mr. Razaghi a friend? 17 17 When does that end? A. Yes. 18 A. August of 2018. 18 Q. Did you discuss anything other than family in 19 this call two weeks ago? Q. Why did that end? 19 20 A. They terminated our contract. 20 A. No. 21 Q. When did your employment at Razaghi 21 Q. And when was the last time you discussed --22 Healthcare end? 22 well, strike that. 23 A. I think the first or second week of 23 Have you ever discussed this case with 24 September. 24 Mr. Razaghi -- this case we're in today? 25 Q. Why did that happen? 25 A. Initially because my name was in there, so we

Page 34 Page 36 1 December 2017, were you aware that Razaghi Healthcare discussed about this lawsuit. 1 had some kind of contractual relationship with Sage? 2 Q. Tell me about those discussions. 3 A. Not a detailed discussion. It was just 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. What was that contractual relationship? 4 basically that we have to prepare ourself for this 5 5 A. They have a contract. 6 Q. Did you see that contract during your time as 6 Q. Who's paying your legal bills? 7 CFO? 7 MR. MERRETT: Form and foundation. 8 To the extent it's privileged, I'm going 8 A. No. 9 9 to ask him not to answer the question. Q. At any time during your time as CFO? 10 10 MR. BURNS: Are you instructing him not 11 Q. I'm going to zoom down specifically. 11 to answer? 12 MR. MERRETT: I am. 12 In August 2018, you had not seen a 13 contract between Sage and Razaghi Healthcare; is that 13 MR. BURNS: A third-party payer you're 14 contending is privileged? 14 correct? 15 A. That is correct. 15 MR. MERRETT: That's a fair 16 clarification. 16 Q. There's a book in front of you. I can either You can answer whether you're paying your 17 hand you copies or you can look in the book. 17 Sometimes it's easier to look in the book. 18 attornevs fees or not. 19 THE WITNESS: I'm not. 19 A. Okav. BY MR. BURNS: 20 20 Q. It will be Tab 4 in the book. It's already 21 marked as Exhibit 4. 21 Q. Take a second after I ask the question. 22 A. Right here? 22 So who is paying your legal fees? 23 MR. MERRETT: I'm going to instruct him Q. Yes. 23 A. Tab 4? not to answer that question. 24 25 Q. Tab 4. BY MR. BURNS: Page 35 Page 37 Q. Has Mr. Razaghi or Razaghi Healthcare ever 1 1 I'm looking at a document marked 2 agreed to pay your legal bills? 2 Exhibit No. 4. At the bottom right, there's a MR. MERRETT: Same instruction. 3 3 number. We call this a Bates number. It's 4 BY MR. BURNS: 4 SAGE48224. 5 Q. Do you know what indemnification is? 5 Are we looking at the same document, sir? 6 6 A. No. A. 48224. 7 Q. Has anyone agreed to pay any judgment 7 Q. I'll take you to the second to the last page rendered against you in this lawsuit? of Exhibit 4. The reason I'm flipping you there is 8 9 A. I don't know. there's a date on here that can help orient you to 10 Q. Has anyone ever provided you assurances that what you're looking at. It's a document signed in 10 11 if there's a judgment against you in this lawsuit you 11 2011. won't have to pay it? 12 12 Do you see that, sir? 13 A. No. 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Is there a contract to which you are a party 14 Q. So looking at Exhibit 4 --15 where any person has agreed to pay your legal bills 15 MR. MERRETT: I'm sorry. You said it's 16 related to this case? 16 the second to the last page. It's the last page on MR. MERRETT: Same instruction. 17 my copy. 17 BY MR. BURNS: 18 MR. BURNS: Oh, okay. There we go. 18 Q. We've had a few instructions from counsel to 19 19 MR. MERRETT: Okay. 20 not answer. I just want to clear it for the record 20 BY MR. BURNS: 21 that you're following the instruction to not answer, 21 Q. The last page of Exhibit 4, you can see it's 22 correct? 22 signed in 2011, sir? 23 23 A. Yes. A. Yes. 24 Q. When you were CFO starting in 24 Q. I'm just trying to orient you to the

25 December 2018 -- when you were CFO starting in

25 document. So looking at the totality of Exhibit 4, I

	Page 38		Page 40
1	guess, during your time as CFO, did you ever see	1	CFO?
2	Exhibit 4?	2	A. No.
3	A. No.	3	Q. Specifically in August of 2018, you had not
4	Q. Did you ever see I mean, it may be a	4	seen this document, correct?
5	difficult question. I'm going to acknowledge that up	5	A. No.
6	front.	6	Q. What about in the time since? Have you seen
7	Did you ever see part of Exhibit 4? Did	7	this document?
8	anyone ever show you part of it or anything like	8	A. No.
9	that?	9	Q. Did you review this document in preparation
10	A. No.	10	그 교통 생기에게 하고 집에 가면 가는 것이 가는 사람들이 가지 않아 내려가 하는 것이 하는데 하는데 되었다.
11		11	for your testimony today?  A. No.
12	Q. Have you seen Exhibit 4 in the time since you left as CFO of Sage?	12	
		100	
13		13	
14		14	
15	your testimony today?	15	Q. Has anyone given you a description of what
16		16	this document contains?
17	Q. This is just something you're totally	17	A. No.
18	unfamiliar with, right?	18	Q. Let me turn you to it's document page 8,
19		19	and that's Bates number ending in 8278.
20	2 " 보고 있는 경기 없는 마니지 않는 것이 있는 것이 되었다. 그렇게 하고 있는 사람들이 되었다. 하는 것이 없는 사람들이 있는 것이 되었다. 이 가지 않는 것이 없는 사람들이 없는 것이다.	20	
21	Exhibit 11. It has a Bates number ending in 9710.	21	Q. Yes.
22	Are we looking at the same document, sir?	22	그 그 이 사람이에 집에 이렇게 되었다. 그리고 이 나왔다. 그런 그 아이들은 그리고 그 이번 그리고 그 없다.
23		23	Q. At the very bottom, we can see a Section 5,
24	그는 그 어떤 그렇게 되었다. 그리지 아이를 받았다. 얼마나 그리고 아이를 하는데 하는데 가입니다.	24	
25	from the top line says, "Amendment No. 2."	25	Do you see that there?
	Page 39		Page 41
1	Do you see that?	1	A. Yes.
2	A. Yes.	2	Q. Have you ever reviewed Section 5? I want you
3	Q. And then if we look at the last page, there's	3	to take a second to look at it. It continues on to
4	signatures in 2017.	4	the next several pages. Have you ever reviewed
5	Do you see that?	5	Section 5 before?
6	A. Yes.	6	MR. MERRETT: Asked and answered.
7	Q. Looking at Exhibit 11 and you can take a	7	THE WITNESS: No.
8	second if you need it. You probably won't. But did	8	BY MR. BURNS:
9	you ever see Exhibit 11 during your time as CFO?	9	Q. I want you to look at the Bates number ending
10		10	in 8280.
11	Q. And specifically in August 2018 this isn't	11	A. Okay.
12	something you saw?	12	Q. I'm looking at Subsection D, Termination
13	A. No.	13	Payment.
14		14	Do you see that, sir?
15	since?	15	
16	A. No.	16	Q. Have you ever reviewed this section entitled
17	Q. What about part of the document? Has any	17	"Termination Payment"?
18	part of this document been shown to you?	18	A. No.
19	A. No.	19	Q. And since you never have reviewed it, you
20	Q. We'll turn to Tab 12. This is a Bates number	20	also didn't review it in August of 2018, correct?
21	ending in 8271. Let's look at the last page of	21	A. That is correct.
22	Exhibit 12 just to orient you. It appears to be the	22	
23	그 그 그들은 어느, 그리는 것 같아 아이를 내려왔다. 그들은 이 하는 그릇이 없는 그들은 점점 없어 있는 것이 되는 것을 했다.	23	August 2018?
24	40 시설, 이 경기 중에 가장 하시면 하시면 하시면 하시면 하시는 것이 되었다면 하시는 것이 되었다면 하시는 것이 되었다.	24	
25	그 살이 가는 이 가는 이렇게 하는 것이 가득하게 되었다. 그 그 그 그들은 사람이 되었다고 하는 것이 되었다. 그렇게 되었다고 하는 것이다.	25	Q. Let's talk about we're about ten minutes
	a decement that you can during your time as		a. Lot o lant about for infinition



Page 42 Page 44 1 from a break unless you want one earlier. 1 A. Anything over 100,000. 2 2 Q. So let me just understand: Accounts payable A. I'm okay. 3 Q. Let's talk about some mechanics of how 3 would put it in the system, right? invoices were approved. 4 A. Not in the system first. First it has to 4 5 be -- the invoice has to be approved first from the 5 What is the Meditech Financial Management 6 System? director of finance or a controller. And then it 7 A. Meditech is the software -- accounting goes back to accounts payable. They process it, and software for most of the hospitals. then they send it to me when I was CFO. Q. When you say "most of the hospitals," 9 9 Q. So it would go through at least three layers 10 hospitals you've worked at? 10 of approval: accounts payable, the controller and 11 A. Right. 11 the CFO, right? 12 Q. So when you come in and you're working for 12 A. Yes. 13 Sage, Meditech is something you're very familiar 13 Q. And then if it was over \$100,000 the co-CEO 14 with, right? 14 would do it? 15 A. Meditech I did at one other hospital, but I 15 A. Yes. 16 was familiar but not that much. 16 Q. From December 2017 until you left, who was 17 Q. Did you have any difficulties using Meditech? 17 the co-CEO that would approve invoices over 100,000? 18 18 A. Tadd Greenfield. 19 Q. Starting in December 2017, what was your role 19 Q. Tadd Greenfield also worked for Razaghi 20 in approving expenses in the Meditech system? 20 Healthcare, right? 21 A. Approving is -- at that time, the controller 21 A. He was administrator of Sage Memorial. was Marise. She was the one who is in charge. And 22 Q. What was his relationship with Razaghi once she's approved it, then it comes to me for final 23 Healthcare? 24 approval. 24 A. They hired him. 25 25 Q. Can you give me the controller's full name? Q. So between December 2017 and August 2018, did Page 45 Page 43 A. Marise Andrade. 1 1 you ever reject an invoice that had been sent to you 2 2 in Meditech? Q. And so the process -- what's the controller's role in the process? Tell me about that. 3 3 A. I don't know. 4 4 A. The controller process is to -- once AP looks Q. I'm trying to get a sense of how much 5 at the invoices, they verify it and send it to the 5 scrutiny you were actually giving these invoices and 6 6 controller. expenses. 7 7 Q. That's accounts payable, right? Can you recall a time where you ever 8 rejected one or pushed back? A. Right. 9 9 A. I don't recall. Q. And then they send it to the controller, 10 10 right? MR. BURNS: Now is a good time for a 11 11 A. Right. break. 12 Q. What does the controller do? (Recess from 1:57 p.m. to 2:09 p.m.) 12 13 A. They verify it and then approve it and then 13 BY MR. BURNS: 14 send it to the CFO. 14 Q. We can go back on the record. Q. And then what does the CFO do? 15 15 I want to turn to the events of 16 A. Just final approval, send it back to AP and 16 August 2018 and specifically the termination payment. 17 You knew that Razaghi Healthcare was 17 then they process it. Q. So this process that's in place, it has planning on terminating the contract between Razaghi 18 18 19 multiple levels of approval, right? 19 Healthcare and Sage in July 2018, didn't you? 20 A. Correct. 20 A. No. 21 21 Q. When did you first learn that Razaghi Q. And the CFO is the last level of approval? A. No. It depends. If the amount is higher, 22 Healthcare was trying to get out of the contract? 22 then the CEO -- the co-CEO administrator has to 23 23 MR. MERRETT: Form. 24 approve it. 24 THE WITNESS: It was, I think, when we 25 Q. What amounts would trigger co-CEO approval? 25 receive memorandum, I think, from Gary Pahe.

Razaghi Development Page 46 Page 48 1 BY MR. BURNS: about Razaghi Healthcare's status at Sage, right? 2 Q. Tell me about that memorandum. 2 MR. MERRETT: Form. 3 A. Memorandum was that we are terminating 3 THE WITNESS: No. 4 contract for Razaghi Healthcare effective August 31st 4 BY MR. BURNS: 5 or something. I don't remember the whole thing. 5 Q. You knew there was fighting between Razaghi Q. So that was the first inkling you had that a Healthcare and Sage, right? 7 7 termination was occurring? MR. MERRETT: Form. 8 A. Yes. 8 THE WITNESS: No. 9 Q. Why was a termination payment made before a 9 BY MR. BURNS: 10 termination occurred? 10 Q. You knew that Razaghi Healthcare had started 11 A. Repeat the question. calculating a termination payment in July 2018, 11 12 Q. Why was a termination payment made before a 12 right? 13 termination occurred? 13 A. No. A. It went to Tadd Greenfield. He approved it, 14 14 Q. You can turn to Tab 23. I'm looking at a 15 and then I processed it. 15 document marked Exhibit 23, Bates number ending in 16 Q. No. I'm asking why a termination payment was 16 17 made. 17 Are we looking at the same document, sir? 18 A. I don't know. 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. I mean, on August 27th, that's before you 19 Q. So this is a series of emails, but I want to 20 knew of any termination, right? 20 start at the one at the bottom of the page and work 21 A. Yes. 21 our way up. Q. Why would you approve a termination payment 22 22 A. Okay. 23 when there's been no termination? Q. I understand you're not copied on this email, 23 24 A. It was the co-CEO who approved it. but I'm trying to understand your knowledge about 25 Q. You also approved it, right? 25 circumstances surrounding it. Page 47 Page 49 1 A. After that, I processed it. 1 The bottom email purports to say, "Hey, 2 Q. You knew that payments over a certain amount just completed a phone call with Ahmad. He is 3 required board of director approval, right? 3 requesting a report that he needs to present to the 4 A. I don't know. 4 Sage board. Need by mid next week." 5 5 Q. You didn't know that in August 2018? Do you see that? 6 A. No. 6 A. Is it in the bottom? 7 Q. Did you ever learn that? 7 Q. It's in the bottom email. You can see that 8 A. No. Because in other hospitals, we never get it was sent Wednesday, July 11th. 9 it approved through the board of directors. It goes 9 A. Okay. 10 through the CEO. 10 Q. And then if you look at the first paragraph 11 Q. Do you know today that payments above a 11 of text of the email --12 certain amount required board of director's approval 12 A. Okav. 13 at Sage in August 2018? 13 Q. -- that's what, I think, I just read. 14 A. I don't know. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Do you think that's something a CFO should 15 Q. I'm just asking if you see that section 16 have known? 16 there. 17 A. I don't know. 17 A. Yes.

18 Q. You knew that Razaghi Healthcare had sent a

19 letter to Sage at the beginning of August claiming a

20 breach of contract, right?

21 A. I don't recall that letter. If it was cc'd

22 to me, then probably. When I see it, I can -- but I

23 don't recall that.

24 Q. I'm asking about in the time before the

25 termination payment. You knew there was controversy

20 year the Razaghi invoices showing billed rates versus discounted rates and the difference needed for three 21

A. Yes.

Q. You became involved in this project to make 24 25 these calculations, didn't you?

years, and you see the years there.

Q. And then the information sort of in the

middle paragraph of the bullet points is comparing by

18

19

22

	Page 50		Page 52
1	MR. MERRETT: Form.	1	A. It's not showing Paid Amount.
2	THE WITNESS: No.	2	Q. If you look at the column on the far right,
3	BY MR. BURNS:	3	it says, "Final."
4	Q. You saw these calculations being made, didn't	4	A. Oh, Paid Amount. Okay.
5	you?	5	Q. And then one to the left of that in Column E,
6	A. When I received the invoice, that's the time	6	do you see the Paid Amount column?
7	I see it.	7	A. Yes.
8	Q. When you're saying "the invoice," you're	8	Q. I'm wondering if you reviewed the Paid Amount
9	talking about an invoice around August 27, 2018?	9	column on this document at any time?
10	A. Yes.	10	A. No.
11	Q. Okay. Let's turn to Exhibit 24 in your book.	11	Q. Do you have any reason to believe, as you sit
12	First, I want to identify the document. I'm looking	12	here today, that the figure of the figures
13	at Exhibit 24, Bates number ending in 8504.	13	
14	I note at the top it's sent Monday,		'17 if they're in any way wrong?
15	July 16th. Do you see that there?	15	
16	A. Yes.	16	
17	Q. And you're copied. Do you see that?	17	
18	A. Yes.	18	Q. I'm going to show you Exhibit 30. You can
19	Q. Who's Thomas Matenaer?	19	just turn to Tab 30.
20	A. He was controller at that time for Sage.	20	First of all, the email depicted on
21	Q. When did he become controller? There was a	21	: - ^
22	different controller in 2017. So when does	22	
23	Thomas Matenaer become controller?	23	
24	A. Somewhere in 2018. I believe in maybe June	24	
25	or July.	25	acc@razaghihealthcare.com?
	Page 51		Page 53
1	Q. So this is the person in that chain of	1	MR. MERRETT: Foundation.
2	invoice approval in Meditech.	2	THE WITNESS: I don't know. Maybe
3	A. Yes, sir.	3	financial accounting at Razaghi Healthcare. They
4	Q. He's the controller.	4	have the account. I don't know who has that.
5	A. Yes.	5	BY MR. BURNS:
6	Q. What did you think when you received this	6	Q. Who sent this email?
7	email? It has an attachment that's quite extensive.	7	A. I don't know.
8	We'll go through it in a second.	8	Q. When you received this email, what did you do
9	A. Informational purposes.	9	with it? You received this email, didn't you?
10	Q. I'm wondering: Did you review it to see if	10	A. Yes.
11	it was correct?	11	Q. What did you do with it?
12	A. Can I see the	12	A. I reviewed it.
13	Q. Yeah.	13	Q. And you didn't wonder who had sent it?
14	A. No.	14	A. One of the accounting people. I don't know.
15	Q. I want to look at the Bates number ending in	15	
16	8505. It's the second actual page of the exhibit.	16	Q. What was the account?
17	I'm looking at sort of an Excel	17	A. On the Razaghi Healthcare side, the
18	spreadsheet that's printed out. I'm looking at the	18	accounting department's account. They have multiple
19	column that says, "Paid Amount." Just let me know	19	people in there. I don't know who sent it.
20	when you find that.	20	Q. Who directed the accounting department to
21	<b>A. 85</b> Q. 8505.	21	send it?
1 1 1	U ADUD	22	MR. MERRETT: Foundation.
22		22	THE WITNESS: I don't know
23	A. Okay.	23	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
<b>23</b> 24		23 24 25	

Kaz	Page 54		Page 56
1	\$10 million.	1	MR. MERRETT: Foundation.
2	A. Uh-huh.	2	THE WITNESS: None.
3	Q. And you're the CFO of Sage, right?	3	BY MR. BURNS:
4	A. Uh-huh.	4	Q. How do you know he had no role? You just
5	Q. What do you do to figure out the legitimacy	5	said you didn't know where it came from.
6	of this invoice?	6	A. I don't know.
7	A. I verified from Nicole, the AP, at Sage.	7	Q. But you're sure he had no role?
8	Q. Tell me about that.	8	A. I don't know.
9	A. Tell you about what?	9	Q. He might have had a role, right?
10	Q. What did you do to verify the AP?	10	er - ^ () 그런 1 그
11	A. This invoice was received, they processed it,	11	Q. Let's look at the invoice. Let's look at the
12	and then they send it over.	12	second page of the invoice, which is Bates No. 3769.
13	Q. I'm trying to understand what you did to make	13	A. Okay.
14	sure this invoice was legitimate.	14	Q. Do you see this Razaghi Healthcare Severance
15	A. I sent it to the CEO at that time,	15	Calculations sheet? You saw that on August 27, 2018,
16	Tadd Greenfield.	16	right?
17	Q. What did Tadd Greenfield say when you sent it	17	
18	to him?	18	
19	A. He looked at it, and he approved it.	19	
20	Q. But where did it originate from? Who created	20	이 그들은 지난 경우지가 되어서 있다면 하나가 되어 있다면 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 되었다.
21		21	how these calculations were made when you received
22	A. I don't know.	22	
23	Q. Why would you submit it for approval without	23	
24	knowing where it came from?	24	하는 그 그리고 그래면 하장이라면 하게 되었다. 어린다면 하면 하면 하면 하면 하면 하다면 하다 하다.
25	A. I don't know.	25	create it, I I got the final product whether to
	Page 55		Page 57
1	Q. You're saying that you received an invoice of	1	approve it or not.
2	over \$10 million from an unknown source and you	2	BY MR. BURNS:
3	submit it to the CEO for approval without checking on	3	Q. And you did approve it, right?
4	where it came from?	4	A. Yes.
5	MR. MERRETT: Form.	5	Q. Did you understand when you approved it how
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.	6	these calculations were made? These calculations run
7	BY MR. BURNS:	7	on for six-something pages.
8	Q. And you didn't check into the backup	8	A. Yes.
9	information supporting it either, did you?	9	Q. Did you understand how these calculations
10	A. I reviewed it.	10	
11	Q. How detailed was your review?	11	
12	A. There is a backup behind. I reviewed the	12	그리고 생각 하는 사람들이 가면 되었다. 하는 사람들이 가는 사람들이 되었다면 하는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없다면 없는데 없는데 없다면 없었다. 그 사람들이 없는데 없는데 사람들이 없는데
13	total amounts, and I forward it to Tadd. Tadd	13	
14	already got that invoice.	14	] - W. M. J. M.
15	Q. From who?	15	- [H. C.
16	A. In this email because Tadd Greenfield is also	16	
17		17	
18	Q. What conversations did you have with	18	
19 <b>20</b>	Tadd Greenfield about this invoice?	19	
21	A. I looked at it, and I say, "Is it an invoice that you want me to process?"	20	you do to verify the accuracy of that information?
22	He looked at it, and he said, "Yes. Go	22	(1) To 1 (1)
23	ahead and process it." He verified it.	23	보이 그렇게 얼마나 되었다면 하는데 하는데 바로 하다면 하는데 살아 보다면 하는데 얼마나 되었다.
24	Q. What was Ahmad Razaghi's role in approving	24	사람이 있는데 가는 이렇게 되었어요? 그렇게 되었다면 하나 사람이 얼마나 되었다면 하는데 그렇게 하는데 하다 하나 없다면 하다 없다면 하다 없다면 하다 없다면 하다 하나 없다면 하다 하나 없다면 하다 하나 없다면 하다 하나
25		25	
		1 / . 1	W. DULWHALUIU VOU VEHIV OH HIS SHEEL!



Razaghi Development Page 58 Page 60 1 A. I look at the total amount, and I look at the 1 Q. You actually called Nicole Hardy on 2 2 costs not paid. So I basically subtracted to get the August 27th and told her to process this, didn't you? 3 total amount of \$10,855,000. 3 A. Yes. Q. So you were pointing, I believe, at the top 4 Q. What did you tell her? 5 5 box on the page ending in 3769. A. I tell her to process these invoices. 6 6 Q. What did she ask you about the invoices --A. Yes. 7 7 about the invoice? Q. You just made sure that the top box added up? 8 A. Yes. 8 A. I don't recall what she -- what was her 9 response. She said okay, and then she processed 9 Q. What verification did you do on the 10 information underlying the numbers that appeared in 10 them. Q. Why apply pressure to Nicole Hardy to process 11 the top box? 11 12 A. I did not. 12 the invoice on August 27th? 13 A. I did not. 13 Q. So this document has five pages of references 14 to INV, which, I believe, means invoices. 14 MR. MERRETT: Form. Did you look at any of those invoices? 15 15 BY MR. BURNS: 16 A. No. 16 Q. Why call her to do it? Q. Did you consider whether or not certain items 17 A. Because usually whenever it's something that 17 18 on those invoices should or should not have been 18 needs to be processed, I always call her. 19 included in total costs? 19 Q. You don't call Nicole Hardy for every invoice 20 A. No. 20 processing, do you? 21 21 A. Not every invoice, but sometimes I call her Q. Who did verify that information? MR. MERRETT: Form and foundation. 22 to do it. A lot of times an invoice that -- they 22 THE WITNESS: I don't know. send it to the hospital, and they never received it. 23 24 BY MR. BURNS: 24 It was late, like, two months, and they are asking 25 25 for the payment. So I call her to process it right Q. So your testimony is that you approved this Page 59 Page 61 1 invoice in the Meditech system without knowing if 1 now and send it to me so I can process that through 2 anyone at all had verified the accuracy of the 2 the bank. 3 information on the calculations sheet, right? 3 Q. And here you did process this payment through A. I did not verify myself. 4 the bank, right? 4 Q. But you didn't know if anyone had done it at 5 A. Yes. 5 6 all, right? 6 Q. The transfers of the Wells Fargo bank, those 7 A. Whoever created that. 7 were done by you, right? 8 Q. But you don't even know who that is. 8 A. Yes. 9 9 Q. We'll talk about those in a minute. A. I don't know. 10 Q. So you didn't ask anyone if they had done it 10 Nicole Hardy had some concerns about 11 processing this payment, didn't she? 11 correctly? 12 12 A. As far as I know, no. A. No. Q. When did you talk to Tom Matenaer on 13 Q. You didn't evaluate the method they had used? 13 14 A. No. 14 August 27th about this invoice? 15 A. I don't recall talking to him. 15 Q. You didn't look at the information yourself? 16 A. No. 16 Q. Didn't you talk to Tom Matenaer and Tom asked you for backup information supporting the invoice? 17 Q. So you have no way of knowing, when you 17 approved this, one way or the other of whether or not 18 A. I don't know. 18 the information is accurate, right? 19 MR. BURNS: We'll mark Exhibit 51. 19 20 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 20 (Deposition Exhibit No. 51 was marked for 21 Q. What did Tadd Greenfield tell you this was 21 identification.) 22 for? 22 MR. BURNS: I marked the wrong one.

23

24

25

A. He told me termination fee.

Q. What else did he tell you?

A. That's about it.

23

24

25 identification.)

Let's mark that as Exhibit 52.

(Deposition Exhibit No. 52 was marked for

Razaghi Development Page 62 Page 64 1 BY MR. BURNS: 1 Q. So appropriate backup would be whether or not 2 2 Sage actually owed this, right? Q. I'm looking at Exhibit 52. 3 A. Okay. 3 A. Yes. Q. I know you're not on this email, but 4 Q. Tell me about your impressions of 4 5 Mr. Matenaer writes, "Just spoke with" -- you know, 5 Mr. Matenaer. I mean, you worked with him for 6 I'm having trouble pronouncing your first name, and I 6 several months, didn't you? 7 apologize. 7 A. Couple months, yes. 8 A. No worries. Tausif. 8 Q. What are your impressions of him? 9 9 A. He was knowledgeable. Q. "Just spoke with Tausif about the large 10 invoice. I had sent him an earlier email requesting 10 Q. Like a competent accountant, right? 11 some sort of backup as the dollar amount is rather 11 A. Yes. 12 large and unusual. He told me that the appropriate 12 Q. Would it surprise you that Mr. Matenaer 13 backup would be coming." 13 considered the Sage books to be the worst books he 14 Do you see that there? 14 had ever encountered in his career? 15 15 A. I don't know. 16 Q. Does that refresh your recollection about a 16 Q. You don't know if that would be a surprise? 17 conversation you had with Mr. Matenaer? 17 I'm asking if it would be a surprise to you. 18 A. See, I don't know. I don't recall. 18 A. I mean, what year? When I was there in 2017, 19 Q. Didn't you tell Mr. Matenaer that you would 19 the books were good. When I left, the books were not 20 20 be providing backup? 21 21 A. Yes, probably at that time, but I don't Q. I'm talking about in the time after Matenaer 22 joins -- middle of 2018. 22 recall the conversation. 23 A. That was his -- that was his impression of 23 Q. What did you do to get the appropriate backup? 24 24 the financials at that time. 25 A. You know, these are the backup that we looked 25 Q. He thought it was a mess, right? Page 63 Page 65 1 A. I don't know. 1 at. This is it. 2 2 Q. He told you when he was working with you that Q. That's attached to the invoice, though. 3 the condition of the books were not good, right? 3 A. Yeah. 4 A. I don't recall. 4 Q. So Mr. Matenaer already had that, didn't he? 5 Q. Why was Robert Huff brought in to help with 5 A. He might. 6 the books of Sage? 6 Q. So, I mean, what backup would be appropriate for an invoice over \$10 million? It is a large 7 7 MR. MERRETT: Foundation. number for Sage, isn't it? 8 THE WITNESS: We hired through 8 9 9 Robert Huff not just one but a couple of people in A. Yes. 10 Q. Biggest payment it's ever made? accounting to work with -- help Sage working on the 11 books. 11 A. I don't know about that, but --12 BY MR. BURNS: 12 Q. Biggest payment while you were there, right? Q. There's a problem that needed to get fixed, 13 MR. MERRETT: Let him finish his answer. 13 14 BY MR. BURNS: 14 right? 15 A. It's -- it's normal to hire someone to help. 15 Q. Biggest payment while you were there, right? 16 A. I don't know. I was there for about eight 16 It's not necessarily that you have a problem with the 17 books. It's always you hire someone to get efficient months as the CFO. At that time, yes. Yes. 17 Q. So what would be appropriate backup for 18 and on time working of the books. Sometimes when you 18 19 have that information but someone is not doing it 19 something that large? 20 A. Backup that relates to that amount. 20 right, you hire someone so he can do it right. 21 21 Q. And that's what Tom Matenaer was supposed to Q. And backup on entitlement to it, right? 22 22 do, right? A. I don't know. 23 23 A. Yeah. Because the old controller -- she Q. When you're approving invoices, don't you 24 make sure that Sage actually owes it? 24 left, so we had to hire someone.



25

A. Yes.

25

Q. You never saw Mr. Matenaer do anything

	Page 66		Page 68
1	dishonest, right?	1	zone issue.
2	A. No.	2	It says, "Hey, got your email." Then it
3	Q. Let's turn you back to Exhibit 51. I took	3	confirms what you want. I'm just orienting you.
4	these out of order, but it should be sitting right in	4	Then we go to the top, and you write, "Yes."
5	front of you.	5	A. Correct.
6	A. Yes.	6	Q. So you're making a manual \$2.8 million
7	Q. You were under enormous pressure from the	7	adjustment, right?
8	Razaghi Healthcare folks to report positive financial	8	A. Yes.
9	results to the board of directors, weren't you?	9	Q. If we turn to the second page of
10	MR. MERRETT: Form.	10	Exhibit 51 and I'm looking at Bates number ending
11	THE WITNESS: No.	11	in 4795.
12	BY MR. BURNS:	12	A. Okay.
13	Q. There was some pressure, wasn't there?	13	Q. I'm looking at the column entitled "June 2018
14	A. No.	14	Activity." The document is entitled "Comparative
15	MR. MERRETT: Form.	15	Profit and Loss Statement for Period Ending June 30,
16	BY MR. BURNS:	16	2018."
17	Q. You desired to report positive financial	17	Do you see that, sir?
18	results, right?	18	
19	A. No.	19	Q. As CFO one of your jobs is producing these
20	Q. In fact, you manually changed the books of	20	profit and loss statements and reporting them to
21	Sage to turn losses into profits, didn't you?	21	Razaghi Healthcare leadership and, therefore, the
22	A. No.	22	board of directors, right?
23	Q. You did it at least once, didn't you?	23	A. Yes.
24	A. No.	24	Q. So when we're looking at these columns, we're
25	Q. Mr. Matenaer objected to the way that you	25	옷 이 10 10 살림이 아니라 하다 하다 하는데 하는데 하는데 되었다. 그 아니라
	Page 67		Page 69
1	handled certain books and records, didn't he?	1	A. The top one is revenue.
2	A. I don't recall.	2	Q. Right. So let's look at Inpatient Revenue,
3	Q. Let's look at Exhibit 51. It's an email	3	which is the top row of the June 2018 column. We'll
4	chain. These appear to have a time zone issue. So I	4	only be talking about the June 2018 column.
5	believe that in reality they as you go up, they're	5	A. Yes.
	later emails, but the times don't seem to match.	6	Q. I see there that inpatient revenue from
7	We'll start at the bottom.	7	AHCCCS is 231-some thousand, right?
8	Is that your email there sent Monday,	8	A. Yes.
9	August 27th at 11:30 a.m.?	9	Q. And then there's commercial, which is like
10	A. Yes.	10	12,000.
11	Q. The body of the email states, "Could you	11	A. Uh-huh.
	please adjust AHCCCS contractual of \$2.8 million in	12	Q. Indian Health Services, which is like 55,000,
12	please adjust Al 10000 contractual of \$2.0 million in		
12	그러나 그 이 그들이 있다면 하면 하면 하는 아이들은 사람들은 사람들이 되었다면 하는데	13	and Medicare is 191,000.
	June financial, send me an updated one."  A. Yes.	13 14	and Medicare is 191,000.  A. Correct.
13	June financial, send me an updated one."  A. Yes.	17.7	
13 <b>14</b>	June financial, send me an updated one."  A. Yes.  Q. That was you changing a profit and loss	14	<ul><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. And then I'm going down to the Outpatient</li></ul>
13 <b>14</b> 15	June financial, send me an updated one."  A. Yes.	<b>14</b> 15	A. Correct.
13 <b>14</b> 15 16	June financial, send me an updated one."  A. Yes.  Q. That was you changing a profit and loss statement, right?	14 15 16	A. Correct. Q. And then I'm going down to the Outpatient Revenue row. And apparently a lot more revenue comes
13 14 15 16 17	June financial, send me an updated one."  A. Yes.  Q. That was you changing a profit and loss statement, right?  A. You have to understand the contractural, what that is.	14 15 16 17	A. Correct. Q. And then I'm going down to the Outpatient Revenue row. And apparently a lot more revenue comes from outpatient.
13 14 15 16 17 18	June financial, send me an updated one."  A. Yes.  Q. That was you changing a profit and loss statement, right?  A. You have to understand the contractural, what that is.  Q. I would like to learn today. That's you	14 15 16 17 18	A. Correct. Q. And then I'm going down to the Outpatient Revenue row. And apparently a lot more revenue comes from outpatient. Was that your experience? A. Yes.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	June financial, send me an updated one."  A. Yes.  Q. That was you changing a profit and loss statement, right?  A. You have to understand the contractural, what that is.	14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Correct. Q. And then I'm going down to the Outpatient Revenue row. And apparently a lot more revenue comes from outpatient. Was that your experience?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	June financial, send me an updated one."  A. Yes.  Q. That was you changing a profit and loss statement, right?  A. You have to understand the contractural, what that is.  Q. I would like to learn today. That's you changing it, right?  A. Yes.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Correct. Q. And then I'm going down to the Outpatient Revenue row. And apparently a lot more revenue comes from outpatient. Was that your experience? A. Yes. Q. AHCCCS we see 1.6 million-plus column. A. Yes.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	June financial, send me an updated one."  A. Yes.  Q. That was you changing a profit and loss statement, right?  A. You have to understand the contractural, what that is.  Q. I would like to learn today. That's you changing it, right?  A. Yes.  Q. So Tom writes back to you in the next email	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Correct. Q. And then I'm going down to the Outpatient Revenue row. And apparently a lot more revenue comes from outpatient. Was that your experience? A. Yes. Q. AHCCCS we see 1.6 million-plus column. A. Yes. Q. And then it goes down to other sources. But
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	June financial, send me an updated one."  A. Yes.  Q. That was you changing a profit and loss statement, right?  A. You have to understand the contractural, what that is.  Q. I would like to learn today. That's you changing it, right?  A. Yes.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Correct. Q. And then I'm going down to the Outpatient Revenue row. And apparently a lot more revenue comes from outpatient. Was that your experience? A. Yes. Q. AHCCCS we see 1.6 million-plus column. A. Yes.

	Page 70		Page 72
		4	
1	A. 3.2 million is the net.	100	to be taken off for collections, right?
2	Q. Net billings, right?	2	A. Not for that month. We are talking about
3	A. Net billings.	3	adjustment. It's pretty common in the hospitals that
4	Q. Right. So that's not actually money that has	1.2	you look at the year-to-date contractuals, and then
5	reached Sage's bank account, right?	5	you calculate it. And then if you think that we have
6	A. No. That doesn't work that way.	6	less contractuals that we deducted before, we adjust
7	Q. Those are bills that have gone out, right?		it. Sometimes you get less. Sometimes you get more.
8	A. Right. That's the AR side.	8	Contractual is something you calculate
9	Q. In your experience and you've been in	9	based on whatever their contract is. If you're
10		10	3
11		11	
12		12	
13		0.00	haven't received payment, then the chances are you're
14			not going to get it. And then you have to
15		22%	contractual percentage higher.
16		16	Q. The way you take that deduction this is an
17	그는 그리고 하는 것이 없는 그리고 하는 것이 되었다. 그리고 하는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없다.	17	
18	교육적 기계에 가는 가는 것이 있다면 가는 것이 되었다면 하는 것이 없었다.	18	
19	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	19	Q. The way you take that deduction depends on
20		20	
21		21	They might pay more for one thing and less for
22	4. [1] [12] [12] [12] [13] [14] [15] [15] [15] [15] [15] [15] [15] [15	22	another, right? That all goes into how you calculate
23		23	
24		24	
25	too.	25	Q. But you take a deduction from 1.6, right?
	Page 71		Page 73
1	A. Commercial is the same way. They even pay	1	A. In this case, the deduction again, you
2	less a little bit less.	2	look at year-to-date numbers, and then we deduct it.
3	Q. And then dental, they pay even less, right?	3	But for that month, we did that deduction of 2.9.
4	A. Dental is pretty much the same. I think they	4	Q. I'm trying to understand before we skip
5	pay about 70 percent of the bill.	5	ahead to the 2.8
6	Q. So for all of these and I'm looking at the	6	A. Okay.
7	Outpatient Revenue row. These are all billings that	7	Q this \$1.6 million in billings to AHCCCS is
8	have gone out totaling 3.2 million, right?	8	supposed to have a deduction from it, right?
9	A. That's correct.	9	A. Yes.
10		10	Q. And AHCCCS is never going to pay 1.6 million,
11		11	그 그는 아내는 아내는 아내는 아내는 생각이 하지만 생각 모르고 있다면 하는 그리고 있다면 그리고 하는 것이다.
10	11.1.1.2 L 20.00	40	

- 12 you about.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. So when AHCCCS pays about 60 percent of what 14
- 15 you bill them, when you're putting this information
- 16 on the profit and loss statement, you account for how
- 17 much you actually expect to get dollars in the door
- 18 later, right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And so this deduction -- and I'm not saying
- 21 it's exactly the right number, but this deduction
- 22 that's taken on this sheet deducts 735-some thousand
- 23 dollars from the 1.6 million.
- 24 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. So they're saying about 40 percent is going 25

- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. And they're definitely not going to pay more
- than 1.6 million, right?
- 15 A. Correct.
  - Q. So when you make a \$2.8 million deduction --
- let's turn the page -- you're actually adding -- I'm 17
- 18 trying to not be too technical.
- 19 A. Okay.

- 20 Q. You're actually adding \$2.8 million to the
  - AHCCCS deduction. So instead of having a deduction
- 22 of 700-some thousand, we now have a deduction of
- 23 positive over 2 million, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. So now reflected in the June profit and loss



	Page 74		Page 76
1	that's going to be presented to the board of	1	single month is not the right way to account for
2	directors, we not only have AHCCCS being billed	2	that, right?
3	1.6 million and paying that 1.6 million, but they're	3	A. It is the right way.
4	also paying an additional \$2 million on top of that,	4	Q. How did
5	right?	5	A. That's how I did it in other hospitals.
6	MR. MERRETT: Form.	6	Q. How did you come up with \$2.8 million?
7	THE WITNESS: This is not how that works.	7	A. I don't recall how I did it, but that was the
8	Contractural basis is based on whatever the	8	number.
9	year-to-date contractural is, and then you make that	9	Q. I'm turning to Exhibit No. 30. I'm looking
10	그리고 그 일 하다 그리고 내가 하는 것이 없는 것이다.	10	at the second page of Exhibit 30, Bates number ending
11	Whether that will be June, whether that will be	11	in 3768.
12	September I mean, we will have to look at it and	12	A. 30?
13	see when do we have to make an adjustment.	13	Q. Yes.
14		14	
15		15	Q. I'm looking at the invoice page, not the
16	HE - ( ) -	16	calculations page.
17	1 - "1. ' - 1. ' - 1. ' - 1. ' - 1. ' - 1. ' - 1. ' - 1. ' - 1. ' - 1. ' - 1. ' - 1. ' - 1. ' - 1. ' - 1. ' - 1	17	A. Okay.
18	not talking about for the month. I'm talking about	18	Q. I may know the answer to this, but I have to
19	for the year.	19	confirm it.
20		20	The \$15 million number that appears in
21	that were taken before June 2018, you should make	21	the right column in the middle of the page do you
22	changes to those deductions before June 2018, right?	22	see that?
23	네 그림 그리트 구매자 이렇지 않는데 그렇게 되었다면 하셨다면 하지만 하는데 하는데 되었다면 하지만 그래?	23	A. Are you looking at the invoice?
24	A. It's difficult to explain, but that's how it	24	Q. Yes.
25	. 그는 그 전에 없는 일반에 되었다. 이 경영을 하면 하고 있다면 하는데	25	A. Okay.
	Page 75		Page 77
1	contractuals based on the revenue that we billed.	1	Q who calculated that?
2	And then we do it a couple of times in a year to	2	MR. MERRETT: Foundation.
3	adjust the contractuals based on the year-to-date	3	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
4	numbers.	4	BY MR. BURNS:
5	Q. You would make an adjustment for an entire	5	Q. Looking under the left column that's
6	year during one month? You would have a deduction	6	connected to that, there's reimbursable legal and
7	for \$1.6 million in revenue yield a positive	7	professional services, pass-through expenses,
8	\$2 million number?	8	contract termination fee Section 5D and then a date
9	A. So if you look at the AHCCCS revenue for the	9	range.
10		10	Do you see that there?
11	이 통과 집에 가장 사업으로 이 사실을 내고 있다면 살아 있었다면 하는 것이 하면 되었다면 하다.	11	
40	0.0	10	6 111

- Q. But you are arbitrarily adding \$2.8 million 12 13 in June, right?
- 14 A. I don't know.
- Q. Why wouldn't you adjust the deductions in the 15
- 16 months that you thought were wrong?
- 17 A. I don't know.
- 18 Q. It had the effect of erasing a loss in
- 19 June 2018, didn't it?
- 20 A. I don't know.
- 21 Q. You don't remember a loss being there?
- 22 A. I don't know.
- 23 Q. Do you not remember a loss in June 2018?
- 24 A. I don't know.
- 25 Q. We can agree that adding \$2.8 million into a

- 12 Q. Who wrote that?
  - MR. MERRETT: Foundation.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 15 BY MR. BURNS:
- 16 Q. Who determined that legal and professional 17 expenses would be included in this?
- 18 A. I don't know.
  - MR. MERRETT: Foundation.
- 20 BY MR. BURNS:
  - Q. Who included -- who decided to include
- 22 pass-through expenses in this?
- 23 MR. MERRETT: Foundation.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
  - MR. BURNS: Let's take an early break.



13

19

21

	Page 78		Page 80
1	(Recess from 2:49 p.m. to 3:02 p.m.)	1	A. No.
3	BY MR. BURNS:	2	(Deposition Exhibit No. 53 was marked for
3	Q. Let's go back on the record.	3	identification.)
4	Let's take a look at Tab 17.	4	BY MR. BURNS:
5	A. Okay.	5	Q. What am I looking at here with Exhibit 53?
6	Q. I'm looking at a memorandum dated July 24th.	6	MR. MERRETT: Form; foundation.
	It's Bates number ending in 62.	7	THE WITNESS: It's the bank report.
8	A. Yes.	8	BY MR. BURNS:
9	Q. You saw this memorandum, correct?	9	Q. What's an ACH payment?
10	A. Yes.	10	A. ACH is the form of automatic payment to the
11	Q. You understood that Christi had angered some	11	vendors who are on EFTs.
12	people at Razaghi Healthcare, right?	12	Q. So I'm looking at a single line time sort of
13			
	MR. MERRETT: Form.	13	in the middle. There's a Beneficiary Name, a
14	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	14	Beneficiary ID and then some more information.
15	BY MR. BURNS:	15	Do you see that there?
16	Q. Why was Christi reassigned?	16	A. Let me see here. Are you looking at
17	MR. MERRETT: Form and foundation.	17	1. THE THE TO BE THE TO SEE THE TO SEE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE T
18	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	18	Q. The row above that.
19	BY MR. BURNS:	19	A. Oh, Beneficiary Name. Yes.
20	Q. So in July 2018, you had no knowledge as to	20	Q. And if we just stay on that row, do you see
21	why Christi was reassigned?	21	where it says, "Razaghi Healthcare" under Beneficiary
22	A. No.	22	
23	Q. What changed day to day after Christi got	23	
24	removed from her co-CEO position?	24	Q. If we stay on that row all the way across, we
25	A. Nothing changed for me.	25	see the Org amount of \$10,855,134.15.
	Page 79		Page 81
1	Q. Had you been working with Christi at all?	1	Do you see that there?
2	A. I did before.	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. When is before?	3	Q. This ACH payment if we look at the
4	A. I did before she left.	4	middle it comes from an account number.
5	Q. So who took on Christi's duties after she	5	Are you familiar with that account
		1,50	
	left?	6	number?
7	A. Tadd Greenfield.	7	A. It must be an operating account.
8	Q. Was that an improvement? A change? What was	8	Q. It's the Sage operating account, right?
	different?	9	A. Yes.
10	A. I don't know.	10	Q. This payment from the Sage operating account
11	Q. You can't tell me anything that was different	11	to Razaghi Healthcare, you initiated this ACH
	between Tadd Greenfield's leadership and Christi's?	12	payment, correct?
13	A. For me, it's the same. He's the	13	A. Yes.
	administrator co-CEO. I report to him, and that's	14	Q. And when you did it, you knew that the
	all I know.	15	payment was going to Razaghi Healthcare?
16	Q. Did Mr. Greenfield take more of an interest	16	A. Yes.
	in accounting functions than Christi did?	17	Q. Did you tell anyone from the Sage Board of
18	A. He was helpful.	18	Directors that this was happening?
19	Q. In what ways?	19	A. No.
20	A. He wants me to if I need any help, let me	20	Q. Did anyone tell you the Sage Board of
21	know. If you need me, I'll be available.	21	Directors had approved it?
22	Q. Did you take him up on that offer?	22	A. No.
22	A. At the audit time, yes.	23	Q. Don't you think someone from Sage should have
23			
24	Q. Did you ever ask Mr. Greenfield for	24	been given the opportunity to review this before it



	Page 82		Page 84
1	MR. MERRETT: Form and foundation.	1	right?
2	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	2	MR. MERRETT: Foundation.
3	MR. BURNS: This will be 54.	3	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
4	(Deposition Exhibit No. 54 was marked for	4	BY MR. BURNS:
	identification.)	5	Q. You were aware that Indian Health Service
	BY MR. BURNS:	6	settlement funds could not be paid to Razaghi
7	Q. I'm looking at an Account Details printout,	7	Healthcare, correct?
100	and I'm looking at Account Activity date August 27th.	8	MR. MERRETT: Form and foundation.
9	Do you see that there?	9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	A. Yes.	10	BY MR. BURNS:
11	Q. There's a number of activities on	11	Q. You were aware of it. Yes?
12	August 27th. Most of them are small, but the top two	12	
13	are the ones I'm focused on.	13	Q. Did you ever talk to Mr. Razaghi about the
14	A. Yes.	14	payments we just discussed? The transfer of over
15	Q. What is the \$500,000 transfer?	15	\$10 million from Sage to Razaghi Healthcare, did you
16	A. It was transferred from one account to	16	ever discuss that with Mr. Razaghi?
17	another.	17	A. No.
18	Q. Why was that transfer made?	18	Q. Before it happened, you didn't discuss it
19	A. To make sure we have enough funds to cover	19	했다. 그 뭐 하나 이 이 아무리 중에 하게 되어 되어 하는 아무리를 하는데
20	10.5.	20	A. No.
21	Q. The operating account didn't have	21	Q. What about after?
22	\$10.8 million in it, right? There wasn't enough to	22	A. No.
23	cover a \$10.8 million payment, right?	23	Q. What about in the phone calls you've had with
24	A. Yes.	24	him regarding defense of the case?
25	Q. And so you needed to get an additional	25	A. No.
		-	
	Page 83		Page 85
1	\$500,000 from something else?	1	Q. You were aware there was an escrow account
2	A. Yes.	2	that had been created to handle any potential
3	Q. What was that something else?	3	termination payment, right?
4	A. It was another account.	4	MR. MERRETT: Form.
5	Q. What was that account?	5	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
6	A. I don't know. I don't remember.	6	BY MR. BURNS:
7	Q. It was the account where the Indian Health	7	Q. You didn't know about an escrow account?
8	Service settlement payment monies were held, right?	8	A. No.
9	A. I don't know.	9	Q. We just looked at Exhibit 54. Who's the
10	Q. You don't know?	10	signer on this account at Wells Fargo? It's Razaghi
11	MR. MERRETT: Asked and answered.	11	Healthcare, right?
12	THE WITNESS: No.	12	A. Razaghi Healthcare, yes.
13	BY MR. BURNS:	13	Q. So whose signature, if there was a physical
14	Q. Did you know at the time?	14	check, would actually go on a check out of this
15	A. No.	15	account?
16	Q. You wouldn't grab money from a random	16	A. That, I don't know.
17	account, right?	17	Q. Did you ever write a physical check out of
18	A. Right.	18	these accounts?
19	Q. So you would have looked into the account you	19	A. No.
20	were pulling \$500,000 from before doing it?	20	Q. But Sage wasn't the signatory, right?
21	MR. MERRETT: Form.	21	A. That, I don't know.
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.	22	Q. What I'm trying to understand is: It's
23	BY MR. BURNS:	23	Razaghi Healthcare that was controlling the way these
01	Q. If it did come from the Indian Health Service	24	funds were gathered and paid, right?
24 25	settlement funds, that would have been improper,	25	MR. MERRETT: Foundation.

Razaghi Development Page 86 Page 88 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 1 1 the Razaghi Healthcare accounts it was transferred BY MR. BURNS: 2 to? Q. Did Mr. Razaghi or Razaghi Healthcare ever 3 A. No. pay you anything beyond what you were paid by -- like 4 Q. Did anyone tell you -- did anyone ever tell you what happened with the money after it was taken? 5 your salary? 6 A. No. 6 A. No. 7 Q. Did you receive any portion of the over 7 Q. How was your salary set? Was it a flat number yearly, or how did it work? \$10 million? 9 9 A. It was hourly. A. No. 10 Q. Tell me about that. 10 Q. What were you paid total for your work with 11 A. I was getting paid, when I started, 60,000 a Sage in the calendar year 2018? An approximation is 11 12 12 year. 13 A. I was paid 70 bucks an hour at that time. I 13 Q. That's what it added up to or that was the 14 agreed amount? 14 was a contractor. So for seven or eight months, maybe close to 80, 90,000. 15 A. That's the agreed amount. 16 Q. When you say "hourly," what did hourly mean? 16 Q. What about 2019? Did you get paid anything A. Hourly means hourly rate. So whatever that 17 17 no? 18 was. 30 bucks an hour. 18 A. No, because I was not with Razaghi. 19 Q. And you billed for your time, right? You 19 Q. After the termination payment is made -- and 20 kept track of your time and billed it? we're talking August 27th -- does Razaghi Healthcare 21 A. Yeah. I mean, I put it on the timesheets. 21 depart immediately? 22 Q. Did you get paid anything beyond your salary 22 A. I don't know. 23 23 at any time during your affiliation with Razaghi Q. Does Razaghi Healthcare still exert control 24 Healthcare? 24 over Sage after August 27th? 25 25 A. No. A. I don't know. Page 87 Page 89 Q. What about Mr. Wauneka? How did he get paid? Q. Did you ever tell anyone from the board that 1 1 2 MR. MERRETT: Form and foundation. 2 the payment had been made? What -- it had been made THE WITNESS: I don't know. on August 27th. What about in the future? Did you 3 tell anyone from the board that the payment had been 4 BY MR. BURNS: 5 made? 5 Q. Are you aware of any payments made to 6 Mr. Wauneka of any kind? 6 A. No. 7 7 A. No. Q. Do you know how the board became aware the 8 Q. Can you -- is there any document -- can you payment was made? point me to any document that exists where the 9 10 Sage Board of Directors approved the over \$10 million 10 Q. Did anyone from the board ever ask you for 11 payment we have been discussing? 11 information about the payment? 12 A. I don't know. 12 13 Q. Look at Exhibit 22. I'm looking at a letter 13 Q. I'm asking if you can identify any document 14 that does that. 14 marked Exhibit 22, Bates number ending 4587. 15 Are we looking at the same document? 15 A. I've never seen it, so I don't know. 16 Q. Where did the money go when it was 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Have you seen this letter before? 17 transferred to Razaghi Healthcare? 18 MR. MERRETT: Form and foundation. 18 A. No. 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 19 Q. You were aware in early August that there was 20 BY MR. BURNS: 20 some controversy between Razaghi Healthcare and the 21 Q. I mean, it went to a Razaghi Healthcare 21 Sage board about something, right? 22 MR. MERRETT: Form. 22 account, correct?

23

A. That's about it. We transferred it, and then

Q. Did you have any interaction or control over

24 I don't know where it goes.

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: No.

Q. You became aware at some point during August,

BY MR. BURNS:

	Page 90	1	Page 92
1	right?	1	waiting in the hallway?
2	MR. MERRETT: Form.	2	A. Yes.
3	THE WITNESS: No. The meeting that I	3	Q. And what do you hear when you're in the
4	went to I don't know what date it was, but they	4	hallway?
5	had an executive session right away. We were out.	5	A. I did nothing. I did not hear anything.
6	That was the only time that we were out without	6	Q. How close to the door are you?
7	explaining the audit reports because we were there	7	A. I said about ten feet.
8	for the audit, and they didn't want us to explain	8	Q. Were there loud, clear voices coming from the
9	anything.		meeting room?
10	BY MR. BURNS:	10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Why didn't "they" is the board, right?	11	Q. So you could hear what people were saying,
12	A. Board.	12	right?
13	Q. Why didn't the board want you to explain	13	A. No. You can hear mumbling, but I don't know
14	anything?	14	what they are saying.
15	A. I don't know.	15	Q. Who's in the hallway with you?
16	MR. MERRETT: Foundation.	16	A. Me, Tadd Greenfield.
17	BY MR. BURNS:	17	Q. And who else?
18	Q. You attended this board meeting. Was it	18	A. An assistant, I think, Abigail Paul.
19	August 20th?	19 20	<ul><li>Q. Is anybody recording in the hallway?</li><li>A. I don't know.</li></ul>
<b>20</b> 21	<ul><li>A. I think, yes.</li><li>Q. Just to pin it down, it was before the</li></ul>	21	Q. Were you recording in the hallway?
22	\$10 million payment was made, right?	22	A. No.
23	A. Yes.	23	Q. Did you see any kind of recorder?
24	Q. Tell me how this board meeting starts.	24	A. No.
25	A. We were never in that meeting. We were in	25	Q. Anybody else besides yourself, Mr. Greenfield
			and my area area area years and the second areas are a second and a second areas are a second area and a second area are a second are a second area area.
	Page 91		Page 93
		1	Page 93
1	there, and then they just got executive session right	100	Page 93 and the assistant in the hallway?
1 2	there, and then they just got executive session right away.	2	Page 93 and the assistant in the hallway? A. No.
1	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?	<b>2</b> 3	Page 93 and the assistant in the hallway? A. No. Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway?
1 2 3 4	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe.	2 3 4	Page 93 and the assistant in the hallway? A. No. Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway? A. I don't know.
1 2 3 4 5	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe.  Q. What happened?	2 3 4 5	Page 93 and the assistant in the hallway? A. No. Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway? A. I don't know. Q. Did you see anyone taking notes in the
1 2 3 4	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe.	2 3 4 5 6	Page 93 and the assistant in the hallway? A. No. Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway? A. I don't know.
1 2 3 4 5 6	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe.  Q. What happened?  A. They said, "We are going in an executive	2 3 4 5	Page 93 and the assistant in the hallway?  A. No. Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway? A. I don't know. Q. Did you see anyone taking notes in the hallway? A. No.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe.  Q. What happened?  A. They said, "We are going in an executive session to vote."	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 93 and the assistant in the hallway?  A. No. Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway? A. I don't know. Q. Did you see anyone taking notes in the hallway? A. No. Q. For the 90 minutes to 2 hours you were in the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe.  Q. What happened?  A. They said, "We are going in an executive session to vote."  Q. And then what do you do?  A. We go outside.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 93 and the assistant in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did you see anyone taking notes in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. For the 90 minutes to 2 hours you were in the hallway, were you talking to the other people in the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe.  Q. What happened?  A. They said, "We are going in an executive session to vote."  Q. And then what do you do?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 93 and the assistant in the hallway?  A. No. Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway? A. I don't know. Q. Did you see anyone taking notes in the hallway? A. No. Q. For the 90 minutes to 2 hours you were in the hallway, were you talking to the other people in the hallway?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe.  Q. What happened?  A. They said, "We are going in an executive session to vote."  Q. And then what do you do?  A. We go outside.  Q. Outside like outside the board meeting?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 93 and the assistant in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did you see anyone taking notes in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. For the 90 minutes to 2 hours you were in the hallway, were you talking to the other people in the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe.  Q. What happened?  A. They said, "We are going in an executive session to vote."  Q. And then what do you do?  A. We go outside.  Q. Outside like outside the board meeting?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 93 and the assistant in the hallway?  A. No. Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway? A. I don't know. Q. Did you see anyone taking notes in the hallway? A. No. Q. For the 90 minutes to 2 hours you were in the hallway, were you talking to the other people in the hallway?  A. I was talking to Tadd.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe.  Q. What happened?  A. They said, "We are going in an executive session to vote."  Q. And then what do you do?  A. We go outside.  Q. Outside like outside the board meeting?  A. Yes.  Q. How far outside the board meeting?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 93 and the assistant in the hallway?  A. No. Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway? A. I don't know. Q. Did you see anyone taking notes in the hallway? A. No. Q. For the 90 minutes to 2 hours you were in the hallway, were you talking to the other people in the hallway?  A. I was talking to Tadd. Q. Who was seated closest to the door?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe.  Q. What happened?  A. They said, "We are going in an executive session to vote."  Q. And then what do you do?  A. We go outside.  Q. Outside like outside the board meeting?  A. Yes.  Q. How far outside the board meeting?  A. About maybe ten feet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 93 and the assistant in the hallway?  A. No. Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway? A. I don't know. Q. Did you see anyone taking notes in the hallway? A. No. Q. For the 90 minutes to 2 hours you were in the hallway, were you talking to the other people in the hallway?  A. I was talking to Tadd. Q. Who was seated closest to the door? A. I don't know.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe.  Q. What happened?  A. They said, "We are going in an executive session to vote."  Q. And then what do you do?  A. We go outside.  Q. Outside like outside the board meeting?  A. Yes.  Q. How far outside the board meeting?  A. About maybe ten feet.  Q. And how long do you wait for this executive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 93 and the assistant in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did you see anyone taking notes in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. For the 90 minutes to 2 hours you were in the hallway, were you talking to the other people in the hallway?  A. I was talking to Tadd.  Q. Who was seated closest to the door?  A. I don't know.  Q. But you didn't notice anyone taking notes
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe.  Q. What happened?  A. They said, "We are going in an executive session to vote."  Q. And then what do you do?  A. We go outside.  Q. Outside like outside the board meeting?  A. Yes.  Q. How far outside the board meeting?  A. About maybe ten feet.  Q. And how long do you wait for this executive session?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 93 and the assistant in the hallway?  A. No. Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway? A. I don't know. Q. Did you see anyone taking notes in the hallway? A. No. Q. For the 90 minutes to 2 hours you were in the hallway, were you talking to the other people in the hallway?  A. I was talking to Tadd. Q. Who was seated closest to the door? A. I don't know. Q. But you didn't notice anyone taking notes A. No.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe.  Q. What happened?  A. They said, "We are going in an executive session to vote."  Q. And then what do you do?  A. We go outside.  Q. Outside like outside the board meeting?  A. Yes.  Q. How far outside the board meeting?  A. About maybe ten feet.  Q. And how long do you wait for this executive session?  A. About an hour and a half, two hours.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 93 and the assistant in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did you see anyone taking notes in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. For the 90 minutes to 2 hours you were in the hallway, were you talking to the other people in the hallway?  A. I was talking to Tadd.  Q. Who was seated closest to the door?  A. I don't know.  Q. But you didn't notice anyone taking notes  A. No.  MR. MERRETT: Asked and answered.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe.  Q. What happened?  A. They said, "We are going in an executive session to vote."  Q. And then what do you do?  A. We go outside.  Q. Outside like outside the board meeting?  A. Yes.  Q. How far outside the board meeting?  A. About maybe ten feet.  Q. And how long do you wait for this executive session?  A. About an hour and a half, two hours.  Q. So you're just sitting in the hallway	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and the assistant in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did you see anyone taking notes in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. For the 90 minutes to 2 hours you were in the hallway, were you talking to the other people in the hallway?  A. I was talking to Tadd.  Q. Who was seated closest to the door?  A. I don't know.  Q. But you didn't notice anyone taking notes  A. No.  MR. MERRETT: Asked and answered.  BY MR. BURNS:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe.  Q. What happened?  A. They said, "We are going in an executive session to vote."  Q. And then what do you do?  A. We go outside.  Q. Outside like outside the board meeting?  A. Yes.  Q. How far outside the board meeting?  A. About maybe ten feet.  Q. And how long do you wait for this executive session?  A. About an hour and a half, two hours.  Q. So you're just sitting in the hallway  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and the assistant in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did you see anyone taking notes in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. For the 90 minutes to 2 hours you were in the hallway, were you talking to the other people in the hallway?  A. I was talking to Tadd.  Q. Who was seated closest to the door?  A. I don't know.  Q. But you didn't notice anyone taking notes  A. No.  MR. MERRETT: Asked and answered.  BY MR. BURNS:  Q at any point during that, correct?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe. Q. What happened? A. They said, "We are going in an executive session to vote." Q. And then what do you do? A. We go outside. Q. Outside like outside the board meeting? A. Yes. Q. How far outside the board meeting? A. About maybe ten feet. Q. And how long do you wait for this executive session? A. About an hour and a half, two hours. Q. So you're just sitting in the hallway A. Yes. Q that whole time. And then do you eventually go back into this meeting?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and the assistant in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did you see anyone taking notes in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. For the 90 minutes to 2 hours you were in the hallway, were you talking to the other people in the hallway?  A. I was talking to Tadd.  Q. Who was seated closest to the door?  A. I don't know.  Q. But you didn't notice anyone taking notes  A. No.  MR. MERRETT: Asked and answered.  BY MR. BURNS:  Q at any point during that, correct?  A. That's correct.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe.  Q. What happened?  A. They said, "We are going in an executive session to vote."  Q. And then what do you do?  A. We go outside.  Q. Outside like outside the board meeting?  A. Yes.  Q. How far outside the board meeting?  A. About maybe ten feet.  Q. And how long do you wait for this executive session?  A. About an hour and a half, two hours.  Q. So you're just sitting in the hallway  A. Yes.  Q that whole time.  And then do you eventually go back into this meeting?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and the assistant in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did you see anyone taking notes in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. For the 90 minutes to 2 hours you were in the hallway, were you talking to the other people in the hallway?  A. I was talking to Tadd.  Q. Who was seated closest to the door?  A. I don't know.  Q. But you didn't notice anyone taking notes  A. No.  MR. MERRETT: Asked and answered.  BY MR. BURNS:  Q at any point during that, correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Let's look at Exhibit 29. I'm looking at Exhibit 29. It's an email from Ahmad Razaghi.  Do you see that there?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe. Q. What happened? A. They said, "We are going in an executive session to vote." Q. And then what do you do? A. We go outside. Q. Outside like outside the board meeting? A. Yes. Q. How far outside the board meeting? A. About maybe ten feet. Q. And how long do you wait for this executive session? A. About an hour and a half, two hours. Q. So you're just sitting in the hallway A. Yes. Q that whole time. And then do you eventually go back into this meeting? A. Yes. Q. And what happens when you go back in?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and the assistant in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did you see anyone taking notes in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. For the 90 minutes to 2 hours you were in the hallway, were you talking to the other people in the hallway?  A. I was talking to Tadd.  Q. Who was seated closest to the door?  A. I don't know.  Q. But you didn't notice anyone taking notes  A. No.  MR. MERRETT: Asked and answered.  BY MR. BURNS:  Q at any point during that, correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Let's look at Exhibit 29. I'm looking at Exhibit 29. It's an email from Ahmad Razaghi.  Do you see that there?  A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there, and then they just got executive session right away.  Q. So you're in the meeting room for a minute?  A. For a minute maybe.  Q. What happened?  A. They said, "We are going in an executive session to vote."  Q. And then what do you do?  A. We go outside.  Q. Outside like outside the board meeting?  A. Yes.  Q. How far outside the board meeting?  A. About maybe ten feet.  Q. And how long do you wait for this executive session?  A. About an hour and a half, two hours.  Q. So you're just sitting in the hallway  A. Yes.  Q that whole time.  And then do you eventually go back into this meeting?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and the assistant in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. Who was taking notes in the hallway?  A. I don't know.  Q. Did you see anyone taking notes in the hallway?  A. No.  Q. For the 90 minutes to 2 hours you were in the hallway, were you talking to the other people in the hallway?  A. I was talking to Tadd.  Q. Who was seated closest to the door?  A. I don't know.  Q. But you didn't notice anyone taking notes  A. No.  MR. MERRETT: Asked and answered.  BY MR. BURNS:  Q at any point during that, correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Let's look at Exhibit 29. I'm looking at Exhibit 29. It's an email from Ahmad Razaghi.  Do you see that there?

	Page 94		Page 96
1	If you turn to the second page of	1	A. No.
2	Exhibit 29, I'm looking at a Sage Board of Directors	2	Q. If we look at the second page actually,
3	meeting document dated August 20, 2018, at 4:00 p.m.	3	starting at the bottom of the first page, there's an
4	Do you see that?	4	account of what occurred inside the executive
5	A. Yes.	5	session. The board asked Ms. Grech to report on the
6	Q. Is that the meeting you attended?	6	fiscal year 2017 audit. It starts there.
7	A. Yes.	7	A. Right.
8	Q. We see here that present at the meeting	8	Q. And then it runs all the way on to the next
9	there's a list of board of director members, and	9	page, and there's a large block of text there.
10	there's a list of executive leadership.	10	Do you see that there?
11	Mr. Greenfield and yourself are listed as	11	A. Yes.
12	attending. Do you see that?	12	Q. Have you ever read this account before?
13	A. Yes.	13	
14	Q. And then these other management folks	14	Q. I want you to take a minute, and I want you
15	Abigail Paul, was she in the hallway with you?	15	to tell me if you heard any of this while you were
16	A. Yes.	16	sitting in the hallway outside of the executive
17	Q. Gary Pahe, was he in the hallway with you?	17	
18	A. No.	18	A. No. No.
19	Q. Ms. Nez, was she in the hallway with you?	19	Q. It seems to be a detailed account of what
20	A. I don't recall.	20	happens during the meeting.
21	Q. And then we see Ms. Heather Grech. Do you	21	A. But that was closed doors. Like I said, we
22	see that?	22	
23	A. Yes.	23	그 아이는 아이들 아이들 때문에 가장 하는 것이 되었다면 하는데 하는데 되었다면 하는데 없었다면 하는데
24	Q. Who is Ms. Heather Grech?	24	Q. I mean, was there detailed notes being taken
25	A. Auditor.	25	right outside the door by someone? Did you see that?
25	31.0.41144.345.3	20	
	Page 95		Page 97
1	Q. Who did Ms. Heather Grech work for?	1	MR. MERRETT: Asked and answered.
2	A. Repeat that question.	2	THE WITNESS: No.
3	Q. Who did she work for?	3	BY MR. BURNS:
4	A. She's an auditor for Sage Memorial.	4	Q. Were you aware of anyone on the phone other
5	Q. Is that an independent auditor or was she	5	than Ms. Grech?
	paid by	6	MR. MERRETT: Form.
7	A. Yes, independent auditor.	7	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
8	Q. Tell me about your interactions with	8	BY MR. BURNS:
9	Ms. Grech.	9	Q. I'm asking if you were aware of it. So you
10	A. Just the audit stuff. We discussed the	10	either are or are not aware of it.
11	whenever we had audit, if she had questions or she	11	A. I am not.
12	wanted some reports, she let me know and then I	12	
13	provided her.	13	looking at the second paragraph from the bottom. I'm
14	Q. That process was ongoing on August 20th,	14	going to read it. "The conversation taking place in
15	correct?	15	the executive conference room was very loud and
16	A. No.	16	portions of it could be overheard easily by the group
17	Q. It had concluded?	17	waiting in the hall."
18	A. Because we did the 2017 audit was done by	18	A. Okay.
19	that time. We had the copies of it, but she reported	19	Q. "What was overheard follows:"
20	in this meeting to the board members.	20	Do you see that?
21	Q. Have you reviewed this document, Exhibit 29,	21	A. Uh-huh.
22	before?	22	Q. Is that true?
23	A. No.	23	A. Personally I did not hear anything. I can't
24	Q. Did you review it in preparation for your	24	say about other people.
24	testimony today?	25	Q. But you were having conversations in that

	Page 98		Page 100
1 1	hall yourselves, right?	1	A. No.
2	A. Me and Tadd, yes.	2	Q. It identifies in the first sentence,
3	MR. BURNS: That will be 55.	3	"significant issues in the finance department with
4	(Deposition Exhibit No. 55 was marked for	4	regards to policies, practice, and processes."
5 i	identification.)	5	Do you see that there?
6	BY MR. BURNS:	6	A. Uh-huh.
7	Q. This is an email. It's Bates No. 4484.	7	Q. What is your understanding of what that
	Exhibit 55 is an email from Tadd Greenfield on	8	meant?
9	Monday, September 3rd.	9	MR. MERRETT: Form.
10	Did you receive this email?	10	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
11	A. Yes.	11	MR. BURNS: This will be Exhibit 56.
12	Q. Did you review this email?	12	(Deposition Exhibit No. 56 was marked for
13	A. I probably read it.	13	identification.)
14	Q. I'm going to look at the bold Section 2 in	14	BY MR. BURNS:
	the middle. It says, "Hospital Finance Policies."	15	Q. I'm looking at Exhibit 56. It starts with
16	A. Uh-huh.	16	Bates number ending in 4968. I'm looking at the last
17	Q. I'm trying to understand the broken	17	page, and it indicates it's copied to several people
	processes, inaccuracies and no standardized approach	18	including Mr. Hasan.
	that this paragraph references.	19	Do you see that, sir?
20	MR. MERRETT: Wait a minute. Is there a	20	A. Yes.
	question there?	21	Q. Do you review this letter when it was sent?
	BY MR. BURNS:	22	A. No.
23	Q. I'm trying to understand it. What were	23	Q. Did you receive it?
	there?	24	
25	A. What was the question?	25	Q. Did you look at it, though, when you did
	Page 99		Page 101
1	MR. MERRETT: Form and foundation.	1	receive it?
2	BY MR. BURNS:	2	A. I don't recall.
3	Q. What were the broken processes that	3	Q. There's a reference in the middle of the
4	Mr. Greenfield references?	4	first page of Exhibit 56 to a July 23rd special board
5	MR. MERRETT: Foundation.	5	meeting.
6	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	6	Do you see that?
7	BY MR. BURNS:	7	A. Yes.
8	Q. What were the inaccuracies that	8	Q. You were not at that board meeting, correct?
9	Mr. Greenfield references?	9	A. No.
10	MR. MERRETT: Foundation.	10	Q. Would you agree with me that Sage was
11	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	11	entitled to records regarding payments that Sage had
12	BY MR. BURNS:	12	made to Razaghi Healthcare?
13	Q. When you read this, what did you understand	13	MR. MERRETT: Foundation.
14	the inaccuracies to be?	14	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
15	MR. MERRETT: Form; foundation.	15	BY MR. BURNS:
16	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	16	Q. If someone from the Sage board had asked you
17	BY MR. BURNS:	17	그래요 그렇게 하면 가는 어머니의 아이들은 아이들이 나는 사람들이 되었다면 하는데 하는데 되었다.
18	Q. The email says you've made significant	18	You're aware that Razaghi Healthcare
19	improvements in finance over the past several months;	19	refused to provide information about payments that
	is that true?	20	had been made, correct?
20	A. Whatever we can, we try to improve. Yes.	21	MR. MERRETT: Form.
	A. Whatever we can, we try to improve. Tes.		THE WITNESS: I don't know.
21	Q. I just want to be clear. You have no	22	THE WITNESS. TOOLT KNOW.
<b>21</b> 22			BY MR. BURNS:
21 22 23	Q. I just want to be clear. You have no		

7	Page 102		Page 104	
1	A. Okay.	1	Sage to RH."	
2	Q. You became aware, as a result of your	2	Do you see that there?	
3	attendance at that meeting, that there was	3	A. Yes.	
4	controversy between Sage and Razaghi Healthcare,	4	Q. And then do you see the contract text beneath	
5	[[] - '' - '' - '' - '' - '' - '' - '' -		that?	
6	MR. MERRETT: Form; foundation.	5 6	A. Yes.	
7	THE WITNESS: No.	7	Q. You were sent portions of the Razaghi	
8	BY MR. BURNS:	8	Healthcare contract, weren't you?	
9	Q. I'm asking if you became aware of it. Did	9	A. Yeah. They send it to me, but I never pay	
10	그리고 얼마나 그는 그는 그를 다른 친근 전환을 보고 있다. 생각 가는 그는 그를 보고 있는 것이 되는 것이 되었다.	10	attention to those.	
11	A. No.	11	Q. If we move one email up, on August 6, 2018,	
12		12	경기 경영 내용 가는 경우 가게 되면 하는 게임이 되어 가지 않는데 가입니다. 그 그 사람이 되었다면 하는데 하는데 그 사람이 되었다면 하다면 하다면 하다. 그 사람이 되었다면 하다 그 사람이 되었다면 하다면 하다면 하다면 하다면 하다면 하다면 하다면 하다면 하다면 하	
13		13	last four years payments/average."	
14		14		
15		15		
16		16	그림, ''(그리아 이 아니다 이 아니다 아니다 아니아 아니아 아니아 아니아 아니아 아니아 아니아 아니아	
17	그 없이 하는 경상하는 것이 되었다. 하나 하나 아이들은 사람이 있는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이다.	17	•	
18		18	Q. The ones you sent on August 6th, right?	
19		19		
20	(BEST 10 TO 19 SHE COLOR SHOWS BUTTON BUTTON BUTTON SHOWS HELD AND SHOWS HE AND SHOWS HELD AND SHOWS HE AN	20	그 그 그 마니트 아이를 살아보고 하는데 하는데 하는데 아이를 하는데	
21	이 어린 이 프랑이 가입니다. 점점 그들이 아름이 되어 주어를 하는데 아름이 되었다. 그 아름이 되었다면 하는데 아름이 되었다면 하는데	21	Q. We'll get there.	
22		22	So you did the calculations you sent on	
23		23	August 6th, correct?	
24		24		
25	Q. That's the first time you're hearing that	25		
	Page 103		Page 105	
1	fact today, something like that?	1	Q. You sent it on August 6th, right?	
2	A. When we receive the invoice.	2	A. Okay.	
3	Q. What when you received the invoice?	3	Q. Did you?	
4	A. About the termination fee.	4	A. I did. Yeah.	
5	Q. I'm asking about communications from	5	Q. You wouldn't lie in an email, right?	
6	Mr. Wauneka.	6	A. Right.	
7	A. No.	7	Q. So, I guess, the question I'm trying to get	
8	Q. No one has ever told you that Mr. Wauneka	8	to is: You knew that Mr. Razaghi was contemplating	
9	communicated that a termination was occurring?	9	terminating at least by August 6, 2018, correct?	
10	A. No.	10	A. No.	
11	MR. BURNS: Let's mark that as 57.	11	Q. Why is he calculating a termination payment	
12	(Deposition Exhibit No. 57 was marked for	12	if he's not terminating?	
13		13	A. Information purposes.	
14		14	그는 그렇게 되는데 이번 이 사람이 아니는 아니는데 아니는데 아니는데 아니는데 아니는데 아니는데 아니는데	
15		15	at issue, right?	
16		16		
17	I'm looking at page 3 of 4, which is the third actual	17	Q. Let's go one email up on August 7th. There's	
18	page of Exhibit 57.	18	a lot of acronyms in here. I'd like you to explain	
19		19	to me what you meant when you talk about backup.	
20		20	A. Which column are you looking at?	
21	that says August 6, 2018, from Ahmad Razaghi to you,	21	Q. So you send an email on August 7, 2018.	
22		22	A. Okay. What is the question?	
23		23	Q. Why are you saying the calculation should be	
24		24		
25	average of last 4 years of payments made by Navajo	25	A. That's what I did the calculation based off.	

Page 106

Razaghi Development

5

- 1 The audit numbers are the final numbers.
- Q. You had an opinion on how to calculate the
- 3 termination payment, right?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Why are you saying it should be based on
- 6 audits?
- 7 A. Audit is always the final numbers. Whenever
- 8 we calculate something prior years, it's based on the
- financial audit numbers. So we calculated the
- 10 audited financial numbers. You calculate whatever
- 11 the amount was.
- 12 Q. When you write, "Comparison audit vs. GL,"
- 13 you mean general ledger, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. And you write, "In fiscal year 2014-2015" --15
- 16 that's what FY means, right? Fiscal year?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- "general ledger is lower than audit in
- 19 2014 over 2 million (see Meditech report)."
- Do you see that? 20
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. So you were telling him to use the audit
- because it's higher, correct? 23
- 24 A. I don't know.
- 25 Q. The audit is higher, right?

- 1 used?
- 2 A. Net means whatever is left after the
- operating costs, contractuals. Whatever the
- 4 remaining is is the net.
  - Q. So what is an operating cost, for example?
- 6 A. Salaries, wages, purchase services, fixed
- 7 assets, interest.
- 8 Q. So what you calculated here was the amount
- that had been paid in the -- to Razaghi Healthcare
- without expenses included, right? 10
- 11 A. Not to Razaghi. This is the numbers from
- 12 Sage -- the audited numbers from Sage.
- 13 Q. Right. The numbers paid to Razaghi, correct,
- 14 by Sage?
- A. I don't know. Yeah. I don't recall. 15
- 16 Q. Let's look at page 3 again.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. I'm looking at Mr. Razaghi's August 6th
- 19 email. We looked at it to start this.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. It says, "Please send me a note with average
- of the last 4 years of payments made by Navajo Sage
- 23 to RH."
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. So you were calculating payments made by

Page 107

Page 109

Page 108

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And then you tell him the calculation should
- 3 be based on audits, right?
- 5 reason.

A. The audit is the final number. That is the

- Q. You're teaching Mr. Razaghi how to increase 6
- 7 his payment by over \$2 million, aren't you?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Let's look at the second to the last page of
- 10 Exhibit 57. I see something approximating an Excel
- spreadsheet. One column is entitled "Audited" and 11
- one is "As per Meditech Report." 12
- 13 Do you see that there?
- 14
- 15 Q. These calculations were prepared by you,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And specifically this \$42,395,404, that was 18
- 19 prepared by you?
- 20 A. Audited report, ves.
- 21 Q. How did you decide what to include in that?
- 22 A. These numbers are the net of the Sage
- 23 Memorial financials. You take that, and then you
- 24 average that out.
- 25 Q. What does net mean in the sentence you just

- 1 Navajo Sage to RH, correct?
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 Q. And when you say "net," what is that supposed
- 4 to include?
- 5 A. Net, again, is take out the operating costs,
- contractuals. And whatever the net is, that's what
- 7 the net -- cost on the P&L side.
- 8 Q. What do you exclude? I'm trying to
- understand what operating costs are. If you have a
- Xerox machine that's being rented, does that go into
- 11 that number?
- 12 A. Yes, an operating cost.
- 13 Q. And that would not show up here or would show
- 14 up in the \$42 million number?
- A. Yeah. Whatever that total net is -- that's 15
- taking out of those pieces the total revenue minus
- 17 those operating costs, and then you have the net.
- 18 Q. I'm trying to understand how you calculated
- 19 payments made by Sage to Razaghi Healthcare.
- 20 A. Okav.
- 21 Q. And I -- I understand some of this may be a
- 22 little bit confusing, but the way we're using net is
- 23 not how I understand net. So I'm trying to
- understand what you mean. Okay. I'm trying to
- understand what that \$42 million represents.



Page 110 Page 112 What type of payments to Razaghi 1 1 A. Yes. 2 Healthcare are we talking about? 2 Q. Did you "see below"? 3 A. Those will be, you know, the monthly invoices 3 A. No. I just calculated whatever he was asking 4 that Razaghi Healthcare sent to Sage Memorial. 4 for. I did not read the whole thing. 5 Q. And what do you take out of those monthly 5 Q. You did not read the whole email? 6 invoices? 6 A. No. 7 A. From the Sage side? 7 Q. So when you were calculating over \$10 million 8 Q. From -- so Razaghi Healthcare would send an will be paid from Razaghi Healthcare -- from Sage to 9 invoice, right? Razaghi Healthcare, you didn't bother to read the 10 A. Yes. 10 entire email: is that correct? 11 Q. What gets included in this \$42 million number 11 A. That's correct. 12 and what gets excluded? 12 MR. BURNS: Let's go off the record. 13 A. That, I don't know. 13 (Recess from 3:53 p.m. to 4:09 p.m.) 14 Q. I mean, you're the one that performed this 14 (Deposition Exhibit No. 58 was marked for 15 calculation. So how did you determine it? 15 identification.) 16 A. I don't remember. 16 BY MR. BURNS: 17 Q. You just included everything, right? 17 Q. Back on the record. 18 A. I don't know. 18 I put in front of you Exhibit 58. It's 19 Q. I'm trying to understand what you thought 19 an email from Nicole Hardy to you. 20 Mr. Razaghi was asking you for. 20 Do you see that, sir? 21 Was he asking you to include pass-through 21 A. Yes. expenses like Xerox machines? What was he asking you 22 Q. The email body states -- it's August 7, 2018. 23 for? What was your understanding? The email body states, "Please see the attached 23 24 A. No. Meditech report for the Razaghi payments made from 25 Q. You thought he intended for you to exclude 2013 to 2017." And the second page of the exhibit is Page 111 Page 113 1 some kind of accounting rendering. pass-through expenses, right? 1 2 2 Do you see that? A. I don't know. 3 3 Q. You knew that when you were performing this A. Yes. 4 Q. So you actually reached out to Nicole Hardy 4 calculation, it could result in a payment to Razaghi Healthcare, right? to get the information about payments made from Sage 5 6 to Razaghi Healthcare from the Meditech system, A. I don't know. Q. Well, it's the termination payment. You knew 7 7 right? what that was at the time, right? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. You actually had a conversation with her 9 A. I knew it when we received the invoice. about it, right? 10 Before that, I did not know about the termination 10 11 A. Yes. 11 payment. 12 Q. Tell me everything you remember about that 12 Q. You know it at least as of August 6th when 13 Mr. Razaghi sent you this email, right? 13 conversation. 14 A. So where does it says termination? 14 A. That's exactly what it says here. I wanted 15 her to give me the Meditech payments from '13 to '17 15 Q. If you look at the large, bold text sort of at the bottom of the page on page 3 of 4 of 16 that were recorded on the GL side. 16 Exhibit 57 ---17 Q. Did you give her any detail about what 17 18 payments meant or what to include or not include? 18 A. Yeah. I don't know. I don't know. 19 19 A. No. Q. I mean, you knew that this is a termination 20 payment at least as of August 6th, right? 20 Q. I'm going to jump back in time. We can close 21 21 Exhibit 58. I'm going to jump back in time. A. No. 22 22 We were talking about an August 20, 2018, Q. You got an email? 23 23 board meeting earlier. There was an executive A. No. 24 Q. Mr. Razaghi directed you to "see below" in 24 session, and you were in the hallway. 25 Do you remember this conversation? 25 this email on August 6th, didn't he?



Page 114

Razaghi Development

6

13

18

21

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. I wanted to ask something about -- what's

your understanding of what an executive session is?

4 A. So when the board members just talk to them

5 about anything that they don't want anybody else to

6 be in that meeting.

Q. So the idea is to exclude other people,

8 right?

7

9 A. Right.

10 Q. So you knew that the people in the hallway

11 were not supposed to be listening to that

12 conversation, right?

13 A. Right.

14 Q. And if you could hear the conversation, what

15 would you have done?

16 A. If I could hear it, I can go farther.

17 Q. And you would have done that, right?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Turn to Exhibit 10 in your notebook. I'm

20 looking at a document marked Exhibit 10, Bates number

21 ending in 1096.

22 Are we looking at the same document?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. This is entitled "Escrow Agreement." I want

25 you to take a minute with it. Earlier you talked

1 A. Tadd Greenfield.

2 Q. Let's look at Exhibit 3 to Exhibit 10. We're

Page 116

Page 117

3 looking at Bates number ending in 1113.

4 MR. MERRETT: I'm sorry. You said

5 Exhibit 3?

MR. BURNS: Exhibit C as in Charlie. I

7 may have misspoke.

8 THE WITNESS: Exhibit C.

9 BY MR. BURNS:

10 Q. If you look at Bates No. 1113 at the bottom

11 right, that will get you there.

12 A. Okay.

Q. It's very near the back.

14 A. Okay.

15 Q. If you turn the page to 1114, there's the

16 document actually appearing. It seems to be some

17 kind of trust services statement from Wells Fargo.

Do you see that there?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. You just weren't aware of this -- the purpose

of this Wells Fargo account, right?

22 A. Yes.

Q. I'm sorry. You were not aware, correct?

24 A. Correct.

Q. What were your impressions during the time

Page 115

1 about not knowing about an escrow account. I want to

2 know if you knew anything about an escrow agreement.

3 A. No.

7

18

4 Q. You can take a minute to be sure. I just

want to make sure if you knew or did not know

6 anything about this escrow agreement.

A. No, I do not. I saw that on the balance

8 sheet -- the escrow account -- but I didn't have any

9 knowledge of this.

10 Q. You didn't know the purpose of the escrow

11 account on the balance sheet, right?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. You knew an escrow account existed but not

14 its purpose?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Who would have been in charge of knowing

17 about its purpose?

MR. MERRETT: Foundation.

19 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

20 BY MR. BURNS:

21 Q. If you had a question about what the escrow

22 account was -- you didn't know what it was, right?

23 A. Right.

Q. If you had a question, who would you have

25 asked?

1 you worked at Sage -- actually, once you returned to

2 Sage as CFO in December of 2017, what were your

3 impressions of Christi El-Meligi? I'm sorry. I'm

4 very bad at the names. You know who I'm talking

5 about, right?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. What were your impressions of her

8 professional competence?

9 A. She was not a competent leader.

10 Q. And what about Netrisha Delgai? Did you have

11 any interactions with her?

12 A. Not that much. So I don't know about her.

13 Q. Did you have any impressions of her

14 professional competence?

15 A. No.

Q. When did you become aware that Christi and

17 Matt were complaining to the board about -- strike

18 that.

16

22

23

19 When did you become aware that Christi

20 was complaining to the board about Razaghi

21 Healthcare's financial practices?

MR. MERRETT: Form and foundation.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

24 BY MR. BURNS:

Q. Did you become aware of it at some point?



Razaghi Development Page 118 Page 120 1 A. No. and Tausif Hasan" -- I'm skipping a line -- "hereby 2 Q. That's the first time you're hearing that submit first First Supplemental Responses to 3 today? Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories." 4 A. Yes. 4 Do you see that line there? 5 5 Q. You were unaware that Christi was alleging A. Yes. financial improprieties being committed by Razaghi 6 Q. What I'm trying to understand is: You 7 Healthcare? 7 understand you're a defendant in this action, right? A. I don't know. 8 8 A. Yes. 9 9 Q. Did you review these supplemental responses Q. I'm asking if you're aware. 10 10 before they were made? A. No. 11 Q. You had no awareness of that? 11 A. No. 12 A. No. 12 Q. At page 13 of the supplemental responses, 13 there's an Interrogatory No. 6. 13 Q. Did you know -- do you have any awareness that Christi was claiming that there were 14 Do you see that, sir? 14 15 improprieties in the audits that were being done of 15 A. Yes. 16 Sage's finances? 16 Q. I'll just state for you that interrogatories are demands for information or questions, and legal 17 A. No. 17 18 Q. You just learned that when I asked you that, 18 documents like this -- I know they're not authored by 19 right? 19 clients. I'm not trying to play a trick, but here's 20 A. Yes. 20 what I need to understand. This answer to No. 6, is 21 Q. You can go ahead and look at Exhibit 16 in 21 it something you agree with? Is it your answer, or 22 your book. is there additional information we need to know? 23 23 MR. MERRETT: Form. A. Okay. 24 MR. BURNS: Let's mark 59. 24 BY MR. BURNS: 25 25 Q. And so if you could read No. 6, I'll ask you Page 119 Page 121 (Deposition Exhibit No. 59 was marked for 1 a few questions about it. 1 identification.) 2 2 A. Okay. 3 BY MR. BURNS: 3 Q. The answer to Interrogatory No. 6 runs on to 4 Q. Let's start with 59. Do you have that in the next page, and it's the answer to Interrogatory 5 front of you, sir? No. 6 I'm going to be primarily focused on. So you 6 A. Yes. 6 may want to take a minute to review that. Q. They're remarkably similar documents, so 7 7 A. Okav. we'll be detailed to keep the difference between the 8 Q. I'm not going to represent this to you 9 because I don't know, but sometimes in supplemental two. 10 We can see at the top left above the documents new text is bolded. That's what we believe 11 caption there's a law firm Thorpe Shwer. happened here, but it's something I'm going to be 12 Do you see that? asking you about. 12 13 A. Yes. 13 A. Okay. 14 Q. Is that your law firm? 14 Q. When we have a joint document -- and here we 15 A. Yes. have multiple defendants -- sometimes one defendant 15 16 Q. I'm not going to ask you about conversations knows a lot about something and sometimes one 17 you've had with your counsel. That's important with 17 defendant knows not a lot about something. I'm a document like this. So my questions are not trying to ask what you know about the answer to 19 intended to implicate the conversations you've had Interrogatory No. 6, these facts that are listed 19 20 with counsel. So you don't need to include that in 20 here. 21 your answers, but I need to ask some questions about 21 Do you know any of this, or is this not 22 this document. something you know? 22 23 We can see in the first line -- it's 23 A. Repeat the question.

actually at line number 22 on the page. "Defendants

25 Razaghi Development Company, LLC, Ahmad R. Razaghi

24

25

Q. Sure.

I'm trying to understand for the

Page 33 of 109 Tausif Hasan

### **EXHIBIT 41**

Ca	ase 3:23-cv-08072-DJH	Document 421-8	Filed 11/26/24	Page 35 of 109				
	THORDE CHAVED D.C.							
1	THORPE SHWER, P.C André H. Merrett (No. 02	20889)						
2	Bradley D. Shwer (No. 0 Matthew T. St. Martin (N	lo. 034037)						
3	3200 North Central Aven Phoenix, Arizona 85012	-2441						
4	Telephone: (602) 682-61 Email: docket@thorpesh	iwer.com						
5	Email: <u>amerrett@thorpes</u> Email: <u>bshwer@thorpes</u>	nwer.com						
6	Email: switthoft@thorpe Email: mstmartin@thorp	eshwer.com beshwer.com						
7	Attorneys Defendants Ra.	zaghi Development	CH					
8	Company, LLC, Ahmad R. Razaghi and Tausif Hasan							
9	THE PLOT OF A THE PLOT COLUMN							
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
11	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA							
12	Navajo Health Foundation Hospital, Inc. d/b/a Sage		Case No. 3:23	8-cv-08072-DJH (DMF)				
13		iviemoriur riospitui,		TO PARCE				
14	Plaintiff,		DEFENDAN SUPPLEME	TS' FIRST NTAL RESPONSES TO				
15	v.			'S FIRST SET OF				
16	Razaghi Development Co	ompany, LLC; Ahma	INTERROG	ATORIES				
17	R. Razaghi; Tausif Hasan	; and Does 1-10,						
18	Defendants.							
19								
20	AND ALL RELATED C	LAIMS.						
21								
22	Defendants Razaghi Development Company, LLC ("RDC"), Ahmad R. Razaghi							
23	("Razaghi") and Tausif Hasan ("Hasan") (collectively, "Defendants"), by and through							
24	counsel undersigned, hereby submit their First Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff's First							
25	Set of Interrogatories (the "Interrogatories"), as follows:							
26	PRELIMINARY STATEMENT							
27	1. These supplemental objections and responses are made solely for the							
28	purposes of and use in this litigation.							

THORPE SHWER, P.C.

- 1 2 3
- 5 6 8

9

10

4

11 12 13

14

15

16

17 18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

- 2. Defendants' investigation and development of all facts and circumstances relating to this action is ongoing. These supplemental responses and objections are made without prejudice to, and are not a waiver of, Defendants' right to rely on other facts or documents at trial.
- 3. By making the accompanying supplemental responses and objections to the Interrogatories, Defendants do not waive, and hereby expressly reserve, their right to assert any and all objections as to the admissibility of such responses into evidence in this action, or any other proceedings, on any and all grounds including, but not limited to, competency, relevancy, materiality, and privilege.
- Further, Defendants make the supplemental responses and objections herein 4. without in any way implying that they consider the Interrogatories or responses thereto to be relevant to any party's claims or defenses and proportional to the needs of this case.
- 5. Defendants' supplemental responses are based upon their present knowledge, information, and belief. Defendants expressly reserve the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all of the responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental responses.
- 6. Except for the facts explicitly admitted herein, no admission of any nature whatsoever is to be implied or inferred. The fact that a request herein has been answered should not be taken as an admission of, or a concession of the existence of, any facts set forth or assumed by such request. All responses must be construed as given on the basis of present recollection.
- 7. In the event that any of Defendants' responses are ever read to the jury or to the Court, Defendants expressly require that any modifications or supplements to these responses be read as well.

### **OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS**

Defendants object to the definition of "CEO Services Contract" as "the contract Razaghi Healthcare and Sage entered into on or around March 18, 2011, as subsequently amended." Defendants object to the characterization of any "CEO Services

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Contract" because (a) Razaghi Healthcare, L.L.C. and Plaintiff entered a "CEO Services Contract" dated March 18, 2011; (b) Razaghi Development Company dba Razaghi Healthcare and Plaintiff entered an "Amendment No. 1 and Extension No. 1 to CEO Services Contract" dated May 17, 2013, which states that it "entirely supersede[d]" the March 18, 2011 "Original Contract" and is thus a restatement and not an amendment of the March 18, 2011 agreement; and (c) Defendant RDC and Plaintiff entered an "Amendment No. 2 to CEO Services Contract" dated June 16, 2017, which "entirely supersede[d] the Original Contract and the Original Contract as amended" and is also a restatement and not an amendment of the May 17, 2013 agreement.

- Defendants object to the definition of "Relevant Time Period" as "the time 2. period from March 2011 to the present." Defendants object on the grounds that such time period is overbroad and not reasonably limited in time or temporally tied to the claims and defenses at issue in this matter. Indeed, the longest statute of limitations applicable to Plaintiff's claims is six years. Plaintiff filed its claim ostensibly subject to this statute of limitations on or about May 28, 2021. Alleged actions, omissions, and other events occurring more than ten years prior to the filing are not relevant to Plaintiff's claims.
- Defendants object to the definitions of "Document," "Documents," 3. "Communication," "Person," "Persons," "Relating to," "Regarding," "Concerning," "Identify," "Describe," and "Specify," to the extent they are inconsistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or to the extent they do not comport with the ordinary meaning of those words.
- Defendants further object to the definitions of "RDC" or "Razaghi 4. Healthcare" to the extent they purport to impose on Defendants an obligation to produce information from any person or entity other than RDC, or to the extent they purport to impose an obligation on RDC to produce information that is not in RDC's possession, custody, or control.

## **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

The following General Objections apply to each of the Interrogatories and shall have

6

11

THORPE SHWER, P.C.

14

27

25

the same force and effect as if set forth in full in response to each individually numbered request.

- Defendants object to each of the Interrogatories to the extent that they seek 1. information protected from discovery or exempted from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney-work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, protection, immunity, law, or rule.
- Defendants object to each of the Interrogatories (and their accompanying 2. Instructions) to the extent that they seek to impose burdens and obligations on Defendants that exceed those imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Local Rules of Practice for the District of Arizona.
- Defendants object to each of the Interrogatories to the extent they are overly 3. broad and unduly burdensome and seek information that is not relevant to any claims or defenses in this action.
- Defendants object to each of the Interrogatories to the extent they seek 4. documents or information already in the possession of or readily available to Plaintiffs.
- Defendants incorporate by reference every general objection set forth above 5. into each specific response set forth below. A specific response may repeat a general objection for emphasis or some other reason. The failure to include any general objection in any specific response does not waive any general objection to that request. Moreover, Defendants do not waive their right to amend their responses.

# **INTERROGATORIES**

# **INTERROGATORY NO. 1**:

Identify all Razaghi Healthcare employees, agents, representatives, subcontractors, and independent contractors, as well as all third parties hired or recruited by Razaghi Healthcare (including any attorneys, accountants, contractors, or other professionals), who provided services to or for the benefit of Sage or the Sage Board at any point during the Relevant Time Period. The identification shall, in addition to the information set forth in

3

4

# **RESPONSE:**

5 6 7

9 10

8

11 12

13 14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

the definition for "Identify" above, include a description of each person's role and title, by date, during all times that the person provided such services.

In addition to the Preliminary Statement, Objections to the Definitions and Instructions, and General Objections listed above, Defendants object to Interrogatory No. 1 because it is overly broad and unduly burdensome, including but not limited to, because it is not reasonably limited in time, location, or subject matter. Any interrogatory which is too general and all-inclusive need not be answered. Auer v. Hershey Creamery Co., 1 F.R.D. 14 (D. N.J. 1939); Stovall v. Gulf and South American Steamship Company, Inc., 30 F.R.D. 152 (S.D. Tex. 1961). Plaintiff cannot reasonably be expected to know "all" of anything and a court would likewise have difficulty making an enforceable order

Defendants also object to this Interrogatory because it would cause unreasonable annoyance, oppression, burden, or expense and would require Defendants to undertake an unreasonable investigation. It is unreasonable and would take excessive time and cost for Defendants to gather information as requested. Defendants further object to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information that is equally available to Plaintiff as it is to Defendants. Subject to the foregoing, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d), Defendants refer Plaintiff to the following documents, which contain information responsive to this Interrogatory No. 1 for the time period relevant to Plaintiff's claims, May 2015 to August 2018: [RDC Sage 007794 - RDC Sage 007966]. Defendants reserve the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct its response to this Interrogatory.

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 2**:

requiring Plaintiff to identify "all" of anything.

For each person identified in response to Interrogatory No. 1, identify all services provided by that person to or for the benefit of Sage, all amounts charged to Sage for those services, all rates charged to Sage for those services (including the time periods for each, amounts charged.

# **RESPONSE**:

In addition to the Preliminary Statement, Objections to the Definitions and Instructions, and General Objections listed above, Defendants object to Interrogatory No. 2 because it is overly broad and unduly burdensome, including but not limited to, because it is not reasonably limited in time, location, or subject matter. Any interrogatory which is too general and all-inclusive need not be answered. Auer v. Hershey Creamery Co., 1 F.R.D. 14 (D. N.J. 1939); Stovall v. Gulf and South American Steamship Company, Inc., 30 F.R.D. 152 (S.D. Tex. 1961). Plaintiff cannot reasonably be expected to know "all" of anything and a court would likewise have difficulty making an enforceable order requiring Plaintiff to identify "all" of anything.

if different rates were charged at different times). and the contractual or other basis for the

Defendants also object to this Interrogatory because it would cause unreasonable annoyance, oppression, burden, or expense and would require Defendants to undertake an unreasonable investigation. It is unreasonable and would take excessive time and cost for Defendants to gather information as requested. Defendants further object to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information that is equally available to Plaintiff as it is to Defendants. Subject to the foregoing, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d), Defendants refer Plaintiff to the following documents, which contain information responsive to this Interrogatory No. 2 for the time period relevant to Plaintiff's claims, May 2015 to August 2018: [RDC Sage\_007794 – RDC Sage\_007966]. Defendants reserve the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct its response to this Interrogatory.

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 3**:

Describe in detail the factual and legal support for each and every affirmative defense to Sage's claims that You asserted in Your First Amended Answer to Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint (Doc. 298). If any such affirmative defense, or the factual or

10

8

12

THORPE SHWER, P.C.

14

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

legal support for any such affirmative defense, is not asserted equally for each Defendant, please explain in detail any such differences of position.

## RESPONSE:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Objections to the Definitions and Instructions, and General Objections listed above, Defendants respond to Interrogatory No. 3 as follows with respect to Defendants' Answer to Plaintiff's Fourth Amended Complaint (Doc. 345), which is the current operative Answer:

Statute of Limitations. Defendants have asserted that Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by applicable statutes of limitations. Plaintiff's RICO claims are subject to a four-year statute of limitations. Agency Holding Corp. v. Malley-Duff & Assocs., Inc., 483 U.S. 143, 156 (1987). The limitations period begins to run on the date the plaintiff knew or should have known the elements of a civil RICO cause of action existed. Plaintiff asserted its RICO claims in the original Complaint filed February 22, 2019. To the extent Plaintiff proves the elements of its RICO claims, Defendants may be able to show that Plaintiff was aware or should have been aware that the elements of such claims existed prior to February 22, 2015, and thus the filing of the RICO claims falls outside the permissible limitations period for such claims.

Plaintiff's claims for fraud and constructive fraud are subject to a three-year statute of limitations pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-543(3). Plaintiff's common law fraud and constructive fraud claims, as asserted in the Complaint were predicated on the alleged false representation made on August 27, 2018 in relation to Defendants' submittal of invoice #1369. Plaintiff's common law fraud and constructive fraud claims were expanded in the First Amended Complaint filed on June 1, 2021 to include alleged false representations and omissions in addition to that alleged as having been made on August 27, 2018 in relation to the submittal of invoice #1369. To the extent Plaintiff's common law fraud and constructive fraud claims are based on alleged false representations and omissions occurring prior to June 1, 2018 and not alleged in the original Complaint, such claims are time-barred.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff's claim for civil conspiracy and aiding and abetting is governed by the statute of limitations for the underlying tort action - fraud. See YF Trust v. JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 102350, at \*7 (D. Ariz. 2008). Plaintiff's claim for civil conspiracy and aiding and abetting is time-barred to the same extent, and for the same reasons outlined with respect to Plaintiff's claims for common law fraud and constructive fraud.

Plaintiff's claims for breach of fiduciary duty are governed by a two-year statute of limitations pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-542. Plaintiff first pled these claims in the First Amended Complaint filed on June 1, 2021. To the extent Plaintiff's breach-of-fiduciaryduty claims are based on acts and omissions occurring prior to June 1, 2019 and facts not alleged in the original Complaint, such claims are time-barred.

To the extent Plaintiff's claim for breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing relies on the allegation that RDC "tortuously contravened the intention and spirit of the [Contract] by failing to obtain the approval of the Sage Board to increase Razaghi's billing rate from \$175 per hour to a net rate of \$495 per hour," such claim is governed by a two-year statute of limitations pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-542. Plaintiff's claim for tortious breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing based on this theory was first asserted in the First Amended Complaint filed on June 1, 2021. To the extent Plaintiff's claim is based on acts and omissions occurring prior to June 1, 2019 and not alleged in the original Complaint, such claim is time-barred.

Plaintiff's unjust enrichment claim is governed by a three-year statute of limitations pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-543(1). Plaintiff's first asserted their unjust enrichment claim in the First Amended Complaint filed June 1, 2021. To the extent Plaintiff's claim is based on acts and omissions occurring prior to June 1, 2018 and not alleged in the original Complaint, such claim is time-barred.

Waiver, Laches, and/or Estoppel. Defendants have asserted that Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of waiver, laches, and/or estoppel. Waiver is the intentional relinquishment of a known right with knowledge of its existence

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and the intent to relinquish it. Arizona v. Tohono O'Odham Nation, 944 F.Supp.2d 748. 757 (D. Ariz. 2013) (quoting United States v. King Features Entm't, Inc., 843 F.2d 394. 399 (9th Cir. 1988)). Laches "is an equitable defense that prevents a plaintiff, who with full knowledge of the facts, acquiesces in a transaction and sleeps upon his rights." Danjag LLC v. Sony Corp., 263 F.3d 942, 950-51 (9th Cir. 2001) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). Estoppel, is proved by establishing four elements: (1) the party to be estopped must know the facts; (2) the party to be estopped must intend that its conduct shall be acted on or must so act that the party asserting the estoppel has a right to believe it is so intended; (3) the party asserting the estoppel must be ignorant of the true facts; and (4) the party asserting the estoppel must rely on the former's conduct to its injury. Central Ariz. Water Conservation Dist. v. United States, 32 F.Supp.2d 1117, (D. Ariz. 1998) (quoting *United States v. Garan*, 12 F.3d 858, 860 (9th Cir. 1993).

Collateral Estoppel and/or Judicial Estoppel. Defendants have asserted that Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of collateral estoppel and/or judicial estoppel. Defendants intend to withdraw the asserted defense of collateral estoppel. Judicial estoppel "prevents a party from prevailing in one phase of a case on an argument then relying on a contradictory argument to prevail in another phase." Fisher v. Aetna Life Ins. Co., 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 147484, \*8 (quoting Zedner v. United States, 547 U.S. 489, 504 (2006)).

Economic Loss Doctrine. Defendants have asserted that Plaintiff's tort claims are barred by the economic loss doctrine. Tort claims arising out of breach-of-contract actions are barred by the Economic Loss Doctrine, which limits contracting parties to contractual remedies for the recovery of economic losses unaccompanied by physical injuries to persons or other property. Barrio v. Gisa Invs. LLC, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 191584, at \*3-4; citing Flagstaff Affordable Hous. Ltd. P'ship v. Design Alliance, Inc., 223 Ariz. 320, 223 P.3d 664 (2010). Plaintiff's claims for fraud and constructive fraud arise from the parties' contractual relationship. The Contract provides provisions related to allocating losses and remedies. Plaintiff alleges only economic losses and no physical injuries to

persons or other property. Thus, Plaintiff's fraud and constructive fraud claims are barred by the economic loss rule.

Recoupment/Set-Off/Offset. Defendants have asserted that, to the extent that Plaintiff is entitled to damages or penalties, Defendants are entitled to a recoupment/set-off/offset for any overpayments of consideration by Defendants previously provided to Plaintiff or underpayment of consideration by Plaintiff owed to Defendants. Recoupment is an equitable doctrine wherein the claim of a defendant can be used to reduce or to eliminate a judgment. W.J. Kroeger Co. v. Travelers Indem. Co., 112 Ariz. 285, 287-88, 541 P.2d 385, 387-88 (1975). The right of offset, or setoff, allows parties owing each other money to apply their mutual debts against each other, thereby avoiding "the absurdity of making A pay B when B owes A." Citizens Bank v. Strumpf, 516 U.S. 16, 18 (1995) (quoting Studley v. Boylston Nat'l Bank, 229 U.S. 523, 528 (1913)).

<u>Indemnification</u>. Defendants have asserted that Defendants are entitled to indemnification for damages, if any, and any and all costs and fees incurred by Defendants in defense of this lawsuit pursuant to Section 12(H) of the Contract.

<u>Failure to Mitigate Damages</u>. Defendants intend to withdraw the asserted affirmative defense of failure to mitigate damages.

Discovery into the facts supporting the above-referenced affirmative defenses is ongoing. Defendants reserve the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct its response to this Interrogatory.

# **INTERROGATORY NO. 4**:

Identify and describe in detail each of the "contractual provisions" You claim Sage breached, as alleged in Paragraphs 105 and 109 of Razaghi Healthcare's Counterclaims and Second Amended Third Party Claims (Doc. 298), including: (1) the specific provision You contend was breached and (2) every fact supporting Your contention that it was breached.

|| . .

THORPE SHWER, P.C.

14

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

# **RESPONSE:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Objections to the Definitions and Instructions, and General Objections listed above. Defendants respond to Interrogatory No. 4 as follows: Paragraph 105 of RDC's Counterclaims and Second Amended Third-Party Claims (Doc. 217) refers to Plaintiff "failing to allow RDC to perform its management functions under the contract," "interfering with the reassignment of El-Meligi and Dalgai from Sage Memorial Hospital to RDC," and "failing to pay RDC the performance fee approved by the Board of Directors on or about December 15, 2017."

Section 2(A) of the Contract provides that the Board shall retain authority placed in it by law and its bylaws except to the extent such authority has been delegated to RDC. Section 2(C) of the Contract delegates to RDC "the right and commensurate authority and responsibility, express or implied, to oversee the supervision and effective management of the Corporation." Section 2(C)(1) further provides that RDC "agrees to perform the duties and responsibilities of the position of Chief Executive Officer set forth in the Sage Memorial Hospital Position Description for the position of Chief Executive Officer." Section 2(C)(2) expressly states that RDC "shall be responsible for overseeing the recruitment, hiring, promotion, disciplining, and firing of (i) Corporation key executives who report to the CEO, including without limitation the Chief Financial Officer, the Medical Director, the Chief Operations Officer, and the Director of Nursing ("Key Executives")...." Netrisha Dalgai's position as Chief Operations Officer fell squarely within the definition of a Key Executive. Christi El-Meligi's position as Co-CEO also fell within the definition of a Key Executive as the job description expressly provided that the Co-CEO would be supervised by the Chief Executive Officer. Thus, Plaintiff breached the above-referenced provisions of the Contract when it obstructed RDC's ability to perform the above-listed management functions under the contract and by interfering with the reassignment of El-Meligi and Dalgai from Sage Memorial Hospital to RDC.

Paragraph 109 of RDC's Counterclaims and Second Amended Third-Party Claims (Doc. 217) refers to Plaintiff's failure to pay RDC the entire compensation outlined in the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Contract up to the date of termination, including but not limited to Incentive Fees, Annual Retention Bonuses, Management Consulting Services, Executive Leadership Services, employee reimbursements, and reimbursement for D&O Insurance. Section 5 of the Contract lists various categories of compensation to which RDC was entitled in exchange for its services. Among other things, Section 5(A) provides for base pay for professional services rendered by Ahmad R. Razaghi, Section 5(B) provides for an Annual Incentive Fee, Section 5(C) provides for an Annual Retention Bonus, Section 5(D) provides for the Termination Payment, Section 5(E) provides for a Performance Fee, and Section 5(F) acknowledges Plaintiff's agreement to provide RDC with D&O Insurance. Section 2(C)(2) of the Contract acknowledges Plaintiff's agreement to pay RDC the costs of services of RDC personnel. Plaintiff breached the above-listed provisions of the Contract as further set forth in Defendants response to Interrogatory No. 9. Defendants reserve the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct its response to this Interrogatory.

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 5**:

Identify and describe in detail each "unauthorized communication" that, in Paragraphs 41, 124, 142, and 149 of Razaghi Healthcare's Counterclaims and Second Amended Third-Party Claims (Doc. 298), You allege occurred, including: (1) the date of each such communication, (2) all persons involved in each such communication, (3) the substance of each such communication, and (4) all facts supporting your contention that each such communication was "unauthorized."

# **RESPONSE:**

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Objections to the Definitions and Instructions, and General Objections listed above, Defendants respond to Interrogatory No. 5 as follows:

Upon information and belief, in July 2018, Ms. El-Meligi and Ms. Dalgai communicated with certain members of the Sage Board, including but not limited to Ms. Kelewood and Ms. Terry, to protest their reassignment from Sage Memorial Hospital to Razaghi Healthcare's Scottsdale, Arizona offices and devise a plan for the Board to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

intervene to prevent the reassignment or otherwise interfere with RDC personnel matters. Upon further information and belief. Ms. El-Meligi and Ms. Dalgai communicated with certain members of the Sage Board to plan points of discussion for meetings of the Sage Board to question and interfere with RDC's authority over personnel matters and RDC's management of Sage Memorial Hospital. Upon further information and belief, certain members of the Sage Board forwarded email communications between the Board and RDC regarding RDC personnel matters to Ms. El-Meligi and Ms. Dalgai. Discovery is ongoing. Defendants reserve the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct its response to this Interrogatory.

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 6:**

Describe in detail all factual and legal basis for the wiring of the \$10.8 million from Sage to Razaghi Healthcare on or about August 27, 2018, including without limitation all facts and calculations supporting Your contention that Razaghi Healthcare was entitled to those funds.

## **RESPONSE:**

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Objections to the Definitions and Instructions, and General Objections listed above, Defendants respond to Interrogatory No. 6 as follows: Section 4(C) of the Contract provides:

In the event this Contract expires, or RH terminates this contract for cause, or the Corporation elects to terminate this Contract at any time prior to termination of this Contract for any reason other than those listed as "cause" in Section 4.A., the Corporation shall pay to RH (a) sum equal to the compensation described in this Contract up to the date of termination plus (b) the Termination Payment . . .

Section 5(D)(2) of the Contract provides:

In the event that this Contract expires, or RH terminates this Contract for cause, or the Corporation elects to terminate this Contract at any time prior to

4

3

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

expiration of this Contract for any reason other than those listed as "cause" in Section 4.A., the Corporation shall, in addition to any other amounts due under this Contract, pay RH a Termination Payment in an amount equal to the average of the amount paid to RH by the Corporation each year during the most recent four years of service, including the year of expiration or termination, which shall be prorated through the actual date of such expiration of termination.

On August 31, 2018, Plaintiff's board of directors adopted a resolution pursuant to which Plaintiff terminated the Contract effective immediately. The termination purportedly resulted from the board's learning on August 30, 2018, that RDC paid itself the Termination Payment on August 27, 2018. RDC's receipt of the Termination Payment on August 27, 2018, rather than on September 1, 2018, 30 days after RDC's August 2, 2018 notice of Plaintiff's breaches of the Contract, may have constituted a non-criminal material breach of the Contract. However, Plaintiff terminated the Contract without providing RDC with written notice of this breach and without giving RDC 30 days to cure any such breach. Consequently, Plaintiff terminated the Contract under circumstances entitling RDC to the Termination Payment.

The Termination Payment was calculated as an amount equal to the average of the amount Plaintiff paid to RDC during the then most recent four years of service. As reflected on RDC's Invoice # 1369, RDC calculated the Termination Payment as follows:

- RDC determined that the applicable four year period was September 1, a. 2014 to August 27, 2018; and
- RDC calculated the average of the amount Plaintiff paid to it during this b. time period (\$15,937,168.45) and applied a Professional Services Discount of \$5,082,034.30, which produced a Termination Payment in the amount of \$10,855,134.15.

## INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Describe in detail all factual and legal basis for Your contention that Razaghi

Healthcare was owed a Termination Payment under Paragraph D of the CEO Services Contract, as amended.

## **RESPONSE:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

In addition to the Preliminary Statement, Objections to the Definitions and Instructions, and General Objections listed above, Defendants object to Interrogatory 8 because Defendants do not contend that Razaghi Healthcare was owed a Termination Payment under "Paragraph D" of the CEO Services Contract, as amended. Subject to the foregoing, see Defendants' Response to Interrogatory 6.

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 8**:

Identify and describe the services or other work performed by or on behalf of any Defendant to support each of the invoices detailed in Paragraphs 137 and 178 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint (Doc. 192).

## RESPONSE:

In addition to the Preliminary Statement, Objections to the Definitions and Instructions, and General Objections listed above, Defendants object to Interrogatory No. 8 because it is overly broad and unduly burdensome, including but not limited to, because it is not reasonably limited in time, location, or subject matter. Defendants also object to this Interrogatory because it would cause unreasonable annoyance, oppression, burden, or expense and would require Defendants to undertake an unreasonable investigation. It is unreasonable and would take excessive time and cost for Defendants to gather information as requested. Defendants further object to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information that is equally available to Plaintiff as it is to Defendants. Subject to these objections, and without waiver thereof, with the exception of Invoice # 1371, the invoices referenced in Paragraph 137 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint were provided with support for the charges and services reflected in the invoices attached. Invoice No. 1371 is an invoice for the Incentive Fee due and owing by Sage to RDC for the period of

28

THORPE SHWER, P.C.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

August 20, 2018 through September 2, 2018. This invoice obviously would not reflect service or work performed by anyone for anyone.

Likewise, each of the invoices detailed in Paragraph 178 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint were provided with all support for the charges reflected in the invoices attached. Indeed, Plaintiff's allegations regarding these invoices are set forth in such detail as to demonstrate that Plaintiff is well aware of not only the content of these invoices, but also what the invoices contain to support Plaintiffs claim that the invoices seek payment for Fraudulent Expenses. Under the circumstances, RDC can discern no good faith basis for this interrogatory. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d), Defendants refer Plaintiff to the invoices and back up documentation to be produced with Defendants' responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Document No. 17. Defendants reserve the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct its response to this Interrogatory.

# **INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

Describe in detail any and all alleged damages Razaghi Healthcare is seeking on its Counterclaims against Sage (including the categories, calculations, and legal basis therefor), and identify all facts, documents, and witness testimony supporting, contradicting, or otherwise relating to those alleged damages and calculations.

# **RESPONSE:**

#### The Incentive Fee Α.

In connection with its performance of an annual evaluation of RDC, at each annual meeting the board was required to determine the Annual Incentive Fee. Plaintiff was required to calculate the Annual Incentive Fee utilizing the then current Code Section 4958 Report. The Annual Incentive Fee was to equal 5.4% of Plaintiff's net revenues.

For Plaintiff's fiscal years 2017 and 2018, the budgeted Annual Incentive Fee was \$2,781,000 each year. Plaintiff was obligated to pay 70% percent of the Annual Incentive Fee (\$1,946,700) as invoiced and the remaining 30% (\$834,300) in a lump-sum

THORPE SHWER, P.C.

14

19

**RDC's performance was at least satisfactory.** Upon termination of the Contract. Plaintiff was obligated to pay the entire unpaid amount of the Annual Incentive Fee through the effective date of the termination. *Plaintiff failed to satisfy this obligation.* As reflected on RDC's Invoice No. 1371 and 1394, there remains an outstanding balance of \$74,448.08 representing the final FY 2018 installment payment. As reflected on RDC's Invoice No. 1394, there remains an outstanding balance of \$834,299.92 representing the lump-

payment at the end of the fiscal year following Plaintiff's board's determination that

sum payment due for FY 2018. These amounts have accrued and continue to accrue interest at the rate of 1.5% per month. As of March 31, 2024, Plaintiff owes RDC Incentive Fees and accrued interest totaling \$2,155,159.

Ahmad Razaghi, Tausif Hasan, Allen Billings, Todd McGee, Tadd Greenfield, David Erichsen, Plaintiff's board members during the relevant time periods, Christi El-Meligi and/or Netrisha Dalgai may testify as to the damages to which RDC is entitled. In addition to the Contract, board meetings and other documents referred to herein, RDC's damages are also reflected in the invoices RDC provided to Plaintiff.

#### В. The Annual Retention Bonus

Plaintiff was required to pay RDC a retention bonus equal to 30 days or 240 of professional time for each year that RDC continued to serve under the Contract (the "Retention Bonus"). Plaintiff did not pay any of the Retention Bonus to RDC during fiscal year 2018 and, accordingly, there remains an outstanding balance of \$118,000 due and owing to RDC. Interest has accrued and is accruing on this amount at the rate of 1.5% per month.

Ahmad Razaghi, Tausif Hasan, Allen Billings, Todd McGee, Tadd Greenfield, David Erichsen, Plaintiff's board members during the relevant time periods, Christi El-Meligi and/or Netrisha Dalgai may testify as to the damages to which RDC is entitled. In addition to the Contract, board meetings and other documents referred to herein, RDC's damages are also reflected in the invoices RDC provided to Plaintiff, including but not limited to Invoice Nos. 1244-1269, 1272, 1276, 1280, 1284, 1288, 1292-1293, 1297-1298,

1311-1312, 1316, 1319, 1232, 1327, 1331, 1335, 1340, 1344, 1348, 1353, 1357, 1361, 1370-1374, 1394, 1398 and 1410.

## C. Personnel Costs

Plaintiff was obligated to reimburse RDC for the costs of key executives who report to Plaintiff's CEO including, without limitation, the Chief Financial Officer, Medical Director, Chief Operations Officer and Director of Nursing, as well as healthcare consultants hired to assist these executives. Following Plaintiff's termination of the Contract, RDC submitted invoices for these costs which Plaintiff has failed to pay.

## 1. Invoice #1214

On or about September 29, 2015, RDC submitted its Invoice #1214, which detailed all of the total fees due and owing by Plaintiff to RDC as a result of RDC's agreement to perform services at a rate below fair market value to assist Plaintiff in continuing as a going concern while it managed the extraordinary circumstances caused by its former employees, the Navajo Area IHS investigation, subsequent litigation and related matters. The total of the fees due and owing at the time of Invoice #1214 was \$7,042,713.52. During Plaintiff's Special Board of Directors Meeting held November 14, 2015, Plaintiff's board unanimously approved Invoice #1214.

Plaintiff has failed and/or refused to pay Invoice #1214. Interest has accrued and continues to accrue on Invoice #1214 at the rate of 1.5% per month and the amount now due totals approximately \$32,157,059.

### 2. Invoice # 1370

On or about September 11, 2018, RDC submitted its Invoice #1370, which detailed charges for Personnel Costs and reimbursements due and owing by Plaintiff to RDC in the amount of \$31,678.32. Plaintiff has failed and/or refused to pay Invoice #1370. Interest has accrued and continues to accrue on Invoice #1370 at the rate of 1.5% per month and the amount now due totals approximately \$173,110.

## 3. Invoice #1372

On or about September 11, 2018, RDC submitted its Invoice #1370, which detailed

charges for Personnel Costs and reimbursements due and owing by Plaintiff to RDC in the amount of \$129,986.76. Plaintiff has failed and/or refused to pay Invoice #1372. Interest has accrued and continues to accrue on Invoice #1372 at the rate of 1.5% per month and the amount now due totals approximately \$347,262.

### 4. Invoice #1373

On or about September 6, 2018, RDC submitted its Invoice #1370, which detailed charges for Personnel Costs and reimbursements due and owing by Plaintiff to RDC in the amount of \$106,120.38. Plaintiff has failed and/or refused to pay Invoice #1373. Interest has accrued and continues to accrue on Invoice #1373 at the rate of 1.5% per month and the amount now due totals approximately \$283,502.

## 5. Invoice #1396

On or about August 5, 2019, RDC submitted its Invoice #1396, which detailed the Personnel Costs due and owing by Plaintiff in the amount of \$330,648.50. Plaintiff has failed and/or refused to pay Invoice #1396. Interest has accrued and continues to accrue on Invoice #1396 at the rate of 1.5% per month and the amount now due totals approximately \$749,892.

Ahmad Razaghi, Tausif Hasan, Allen Billings, Todd McGee, Tadd Greenfield, David Erichsen, Plaintiff's board members during the relevant time periods, Christi El-Meligi and/or Netrisha Dalgai may testify as to the damages to which RDC is entitled. In addition to the Contract, board meetings and other documents referred to herein, RDC's damages are also reflected in the invoices RDC provided to Plaintiff, including but not limited to Invoice Nos. 1244-1269, 1272, 1276, 1280, 1284, 1288, 1292-1293, 1297-1298, 1311-1312, 1316, 1319, 1232, 1327, 1331, 1335, 1340, 1344, 1348, 1353, 1357, 1361, 1370-1374, 1394, 1398 and 1410.

## D. <u>D&O Insurance Reimbursement</u>

Plaintiff was obligated to provide D&O insurance for RDC with adequate tail coverage to protect RDC, Mr. Razaghi, and any successor CEO and Key Executives (as those terms are defined in the Contract) from any claims made after the expiration or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

termination of the Contract for any actions or omissions alleged to have occurred during the term of the Contract. Plaintiff failed to provide the insurance during FY 2018 and, consequently, RDC was forced to obtain the coverage itself. On or about August 5, 2019, RDC submitted its Invoice No. 1398, which included, among other charges, the premium RDC was forced to pay due to Plaintiff's failure to provide the required insurance coverage. RDC seeks the recovery of the premiums it paid along with accrued and accruing interest at the rate of 1.5% per month, which as of March 31, 2024 total *\$33,321.00.* 

Ahmad Razaghi, Tausif Hasan, Allen Billings, Todd McGee, Tadd Greenfield, David Erichsen, Plaintiff's board members during the relevant time periods, Christi El-Meligi and/or Netrisha Dalgai may testify as to the damages to which RDC is entitled. In addition to the Contract, board meetings and other documents referred to herein, RDC's damages are also reflected in the invoices RDC provided to Plaintiff, including but not limited to Invoice Nos. 1244-1269, 1272, 1276, 1280, 1284, 1288, 1292-1293, 1297-1298, 1311-1312, 1316, 1319, 1232, 1327, 1331, 1335, 1340, 1344, 1348, 1353, 1357, 1361, 1370-1374, 1394, 1398 and 1410.

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 10:**

Identify whether any Defendant contends that it is entitled to any portion of the funds in the escrow account opened by Sage at Wells Fargo Bank on June 16, 2016, as detailed in Paragraphs 120-21 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint (Doc. 192); and, if so, identify the amount claimed and all factual and legal support for that position.

# **RESPONSE:**

No Defendant other than RDC was a party to a contract with Plaintiff that provided for the establishment of the escrow account or its uses. RDC does not contend that it is entitled to any portion of the escrow account.

27

26

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 11:**

Identify in detail all contractual terms that You contend were in place between Razaghi Healthcare and Sage at each time during the Relevant Time Period. This includes identifying each amendment to the terms of the CEO Services Contract that You contend occurred and, for each amendment, identifying when You contend each amended provision was in effect.

# **RESPONSE**:

RDC objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is vague. RDC cannot discern what Plaintiff means by the phrase "all contractual terms that You contend were in place between Razaghi Healthcare and Sage at each time during the Relevant Time Period." As RDC states above, the Relevant Time Period is May 2015 to August 2018. During that time period each and every term of the Navajo Health Foundation – Sage Memorial Hospital, Inc. Amendment No. 1 and Extension No. 1 to CEO Services Contract was in place until July 16, 2017 and each and every term of the Contract was in place until Plaintiff terminated the Contract.

In light of the clarification of this interrogatory provided during the parties' meet and confer on March 26, 2024, and Plaintiff's counsel's email dated March 28, 2024, Defendants supplement this response as follows:

For the relevant portion of Fiscal Year 2011 and for Fiscal Years 2012 and 2013, the Base Pay rate of \$175 per hour set forth in § 5(A) of the Navajo Health Foundation - Sage Memorial Hospital, Inc. CEO Services Contract dated March 18, 2011 was in effect. After considering HealthCare Appraisers Incorporated's Determination of the Fair Market Value of Hospital Management Services dated December 23, 2013, which indicated, among other things, that the fair market range for Mr. Razaghi's services was \$340 per hour to \$530 per hour, the Fiscal Year 2014 Base Pay rate was adjusted to \$425 per hour and discounted to \$175 per hour.

On or about May 1, 2014, the Base Pay rate was adjusted to \$530 per hour, which after applying a \$175 per hour discount resulted in an increase of the Base Pay rate from

2

3

4

5

11

THORPE SHWER, P.C.

18

16

\$175 per hour to \$355 per hour. This increase was reflected in the backup information that accompanied RDC's Invoice #1180, which was submitted to Plaintiff on or about June 2, 2014. On September 26, 2014, Plaintiff's board of directors approved a continuing resolution pursuant to which it adopted Plaintiff's Fiscal Year 2014 operating budget as the Fiscal Year 2015 operating budget. In May 2015, the Base Pay rate was adjusted to \$495 per hour.

In its letter dated October2, 2015, RDC reminded Plaintiff of its request that RDC carry forward a portion of each of its service invoices as discounts below fair market value. RDC informed Plaintiff that it had been carrying these costs since January 2014. As of September 29, 2015, the balance due on those unpaid costs totaled \$7,042,713.52 and, accordingly, RDC's Invoice #1214 in that amount was submitted with its letter. At Plaintiff's board of directors meeting held November 14, 2015, RDC reported to Plaintiff's board of directors on Invoice #1214 and the \$7.042 million carry forward cost. Plaintiff's board voted unanimously to approve Invoice #1214. By this action, Plaintiff's board approved any rate charged by RDC for the period of time covered by Invoice #1214, provided the rate was within the highest fair market value rate for Mr. Razaghi's services and the discounted rate charged to Plaintiff.

On October 7, 2015, Plaintiff's board of directors approved Plaintiff's Fiscal Year 2016 operating budget. In connection with this approval, Plaintiff's board received and reviewed the Navajo Health Foundation – Sage Memorial Hospital FY2016 Budget Review. Included in that document was an Executive Management and Consulting Services Rate Sheet which, among other things, showed that for Fiscal Year 2016 that RDC would charge \$530 per hour for Mr. Razaghi services which, after applying a 6.6% discount, resulted in a discounted hourly rate of \$495 per hour.

On September 27, 2016, Plaintiff's board of directors approved a continuing resolution pursuant to which it adopted Plaintiff's Fiscal Year 2016 operating budget as Plaintiff's Fiscal Year 2017 operating budget. In connection with the adoption of this continuing resolution, Plaintiff's board received and reviewed the Navajo Health

Foundation – Sage Memorial Hospital Continuing Resolution – FY2017 Budget. Included in that document was an Executive Management and Consulting Services Rate Sheet which, among other things, showed that for Fiscal Year 2017 that RDC would charge \$530 per hour for Mr. Razaghi services which, after applying a 6.6% discount, resulted in a discounted hourly rate of \$495 per hour.

On August 25, 2017, Plaintiff's board of directors approved a continuing resolution pursuant to which it adopted Plaintiff's Fiscal Year 2017 operating budget as Plaintiff's Fiscal Year 2018 operating budget. In connection with the adoption of this continuing resolution, Plaintiff's board received and reviewed the Navajo Health Foundation – Sage Memorial Hospital Continuing Resolution Adopting Fiscal Year 2018 Budget (Adopting fiscal year 2017 budget for fiscal year 2018). Included in that document was an Executive Management and Consulting Services Rate Sheet which, among other things, showed that for Fiscal Year 2018 that RDC would charge \$530 per hour for Mr. Razaghi services which, after applying a 6.6% discount, resulted in a discounted hourly rate of \$495 per hour.

DATED this 15th day of April, 2024.

THORPE SHWER, P.C.

21 By /s/ André H. Merrett
André H. Merrett
Bradley D. Shwer
Matthew T. St. Martin

Attorneys Defendants Razaghi Development Company, LLC, Ahmad R. Razaghi and Tausif Hasan

Case 3:23-cv-08072-DJH Document 421-8 Filed 11/26/24 Page 58 of 109 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I hereby certify that on this 15th day of April, 2024, the foregoing document was served via electronic mail on the following: D. Samuel Coffman Bradley A. Burns Amanda E. Newman Mitchell P. Reber Dickinson Wright PLLC 1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 1400 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 anewman@dickinsonwright.com bburns@dickinsonwright.com scoffman@dickinsonwright.com mreber@dickinsonwright.com **Attorneys for Plaintiff** THORPE SHWER, P.C. /s/ Joan Peralta 

# **EXHIBIT 42**



3585 S. Town Center Drive Las Vegas, NV 89135

Office: (702) 850-1670 Facsimile: (702) 877-7045 www.razaghihealthcare.com

October 2, 2015

Ms. Christi El-Meligi Chief Executive Officer Navajo Health Foundation – Sage Memorial Hospital SRS 191 & Highway 264 Ganado, AZ 86505

Dear Ms. El-Meligi,

Since January 2014, you requested that Razaghi Healthcare ("RH") carry forward a portion of each of its service invoices as discounts ("costs") below fair market value to assist Sage in continuing as a going concern while it manages the extraordinary circumstances caused by its former disgruntled ex-employees, Navajo Area IHS investigation, subsequent litigation, and related matters.

Over the past 20 months, the current balance due on those unpaid Costs is \$7,042,713.52 for approximately 38,279 hours of regular, overtime, weekend, and holiday professional time. Please refer to the attached invoice no. 1214.

We appreciate the opportunity to assist the Board of Directors to advance its mission, and supporting the executive team and the operations of the hospital through the balance of these extraordinary circumstances.

Please feel free to contact us with any questions or comments.

Best Regards,

Razaghi Healthcare

Healthcare

Healthcare Executive Management and Consulting

SUMMERLIN, NEVADA SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA NAVAJO NATION, ARIZONA

Confidential SAGE0102303



Razaghi Healthcare 410 S. Rampart Boulevard Suite 390 Las Vegas, NV 89145

(702)726-6780

# Invoice

Date	Invoice #
09/29/2015	1214
Terms	Due Date
Due on receipt	09/29/2015

## Bill To

Navajo Health Foundation - Sage Memorial Hospital PO Box 457 Ganado, AZ 86505

Professional Service	Charge
Invoice 1171 February 4, 2014 carry forward cost	46,051.0
Invoice 1172 February 10, 2014 carry forward cost	258,863.6
Adjusted to exclude 195.58 hours of OT discounted at 100% for M. Katigbak	
Invoice 1173 March 1, 2014 carry forward cost	20,688.0
Invoice 1174 March 14, 2014 carry forward cost	20,144.1
Invoice 1175 March 24, 2014 carry forward cost	38,117.6
Invoice 1176 April 7, 2014 carry forward cost	99,523.4
Invoice 1177 April 18, 2014 carry forward cost	21,730.5
Invoice 1178 May 2, 2014 carry forward cost	21,344.0
Invoice 1179 May 19, 2014 carry forward cost	127,383.3.
Invoice 1180 June 2, 2014 carry forward cost	118,211.2
Invoice 1181 June 16, 2014 carry forward cost	101,746.7
Invoice 1182 June 30, 2014 carry forward cost	100,859.5
Invoice 1183 July 14, 2014 carry forward cost	212,091.2
Invoice 1184 July 29, 2014 carry forward cost	156,292.2
Invoice 1185 August 20, 2014 carry forward cost	145,855.0
Invoice 1186 August 27, 2014 carry forward cost	171,189.2
Invoice 1187 September 10, 2014 carry forward cost	176,719.0
Invoice 1189 September 22, 2014 carry forward cost	183,228.2
Invoice 1190 October 7, 2014 carry forward cost	139,288.3
Adjusted to exclude services October 1 - 3, 2014	100
Invoice 1191 October 22, 2014 carry forward cost	240,993.0
Adjusted to include services October 1 - 3, 2014	100
Invoice 1192 November 3, 2014 carry forward cost	244,647.5
Invoice 1193 November 23, 2014 carry forward cost	169,146.7
Invoice 1194 December 1, 2014 carry forward cost	306,875.0
Adjusted to exclude 749.13 hours of OT discounted at 100% for M. Katigbak	
Invoice 1195 January 1, 2015 carry forward cost	342,788.5
Invoice 1196 January 13, 2015 carry forward cost	162,430.6
Invoice 1197 January 26, 2015 carry forward cost	169,597.0
Invoice 1199 February 10, 2015 carry forward cost	206,437.5
Invoice 1200 February 23, 2015 carry forward cost	179,075.0
Invoice 1201 March 9, 2015 carry forward cost	189,751.0
Continue to the next page	

Healthcare Executive Management & Consulting

Confidential SAGE0102304

Professional Service	
and the control of th	Charge
rvoice 1202 March 24, 2015 carry forward cost	216,017.20
rvoice 1203 April 6, 2015 carry forward cost	162,581.50
rvoice 1204 April 21, 2015 carry forward cost	158,714.50
voice 1205 May 5, 2015 carry forward cost	158,796.00
rvoice 1206 May 20, 2015 carry forward cost	187,723.25
rvoice 1207 June 2, 2015 carry forward cost	153,710.25
rvoice 1208 June 16, 2015 carry forward cost	170.401.50
avoice 1209 June 30, 2015 carry forward cost	139,750.75
rvoice 1210 July 15, 2015 carry forward cost	151,974.25
rvoice 1211 July 29, 2015 carry forward cost	173,730.25
avoice 1212 August 11, 2015 carry forward cost	186,984.25
avoice 1213 August 25, 2015 carry forward cost	193,844.00
rvoice 1215 September 8, 2015 carry forward cost	169,250.75
rvoice 1216 September 23, 2015 carry forward cost	448,166.55
<del></del>	
	Total \$7,042,713.

Healthcare Executive Management & Consulting

Confidential SAGE0102305

# EXHIBIT 43

C	se 3:23-cv-08072-DJH [	Document 421-8 F	Filed 11/26/24	Page 64 of 109	
п					
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THORPE SHWER, P.C. André H. Merrett (No. 020 Bradley D. Shwer (No. 022 Matthew T. St. Martin (No 3200 North Central Avenu Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2 Telephone: (602) 682-610 Email: docket@thorpeshwEmail: amerrett@thorpeshwEmail: switthoft@thorpeshwEmail: mstmartin@thorpeshwEmail:	e, Suite 1560 441 0 ver.com wer.com wer.com hwer.com shwer.com	if Hasan		
9	INTH	F UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT (	COURT	
10 11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR DISTRICT OF ARIZONA				
12 13	Navajo Health Foundation Hospital, Inc. d/b/a Sage M	– Sage Memorial	1	-cv-08072-DJH (DMF)	
14 15 16 17 18	Plaintiff, v. Razaghi Development Con R. Razaghi; Tausif Hasan; Defendants.		DEFENDANTS' THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES		
20	AND ALL RELATED CL.	AIMS.			
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	378), Defendants Razaghi ("Razaghi") and Tausif H	Development Comfasan ("Hasan") (co	apany, LLC ("R llectively, "Def Supplemental R	74) and June 21, 2024 (Doc. RDC"), Ahmad R. Razaghi fendants"), by and through Responses to Plaintiff's First	
28	Additions in <i>bold italics</i> ,	– deletions in <del>strikethi</del>	<del>rough</del> .		

THORPE SHWER, P.C.

7

4

10

THORPE SHWER, P.C.

19

24

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. These supplemental objections and responses are made solely for the purposes of and use in this litigation.
- 2. Defendants' investigation and development of all facts and circumstances relating to this action is ongoing. These supplemental responses and objections are made without prejudice to, and are not a waiver of, Defendants' right to rely on other facts or documents at trial.
- 3. By making the accompanying supplemental responses and objections to the Interrogatories, Defendants do not waive, and hereby expressly reserve, their right to assert any and all objections as to the admissibility of such responses into evidence in this action, or any other proceedings, on any and all grounds including, but not limited to, competency, relevancy, materiality, and privilege.
- Further, Defendants make the supplemental responses and objections herein without in any way implying that they consider the Interrogatories or responses thereto to be relevant to any party's claims or defenses and proportional to the needs of this case.
- 5. Defendants' supplemental responses are based upon their present knowledge, information, and belief. Defendants expressly reserve the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all of the responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental responses.
- Except for the facts explicitly admitted herein, no admission of any nature whatsoever is to be implied or inferred. The fact that a request herein has been answered should not be taken as an admission of, or a concession of the existence of, any facts set forth or assumed by such request. All responses must be construed as given on the basis of present recollection.
- In the event that any of Defendants' responses are ever read to the jury or to 7. the Court, Defendants expressly require that any modifications or supplements to these responses be read as well.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

8. Defendants served their First Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories on April 15, 2024 and their Second Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories on July 11, 2024. Those supplemental interrogatory responses are incorporated herein.

## **OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS**

- Defendants object to the definitions of "Document," "Documents," 1. "Communication," "Person," "Persons," "Relating to," "Regarding," "Concerning," "Identify," "Describe," and "Specify," to the extent they are inconsistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or to the extent they do not comport with the ordinary meaning of those words.
- Defendants further object to the definitions of "RDC" or "Razaghi 2. Healthcare" to the extent they purport to impose on Defendants an obligation to produce information from any person or entity other than RDC, or to the extent they purport to impose an obligation on RDC to produce information that is not in RDC's possession, custody, or control.

# **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

The following General Objections apply to each of the Interrogatories and shall have the same force and effect as if set forth in full in response to each individually numbered request.

- Defendants object to each of the Interrogatories to the extent that they seek information protected from discovery or exempted from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney-work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, protection, immunity, law, or rule.
- 2. Defendants object to each of the Interrogatories (and their accompanying Instructions) to the extent that they seek to impose burdens and obligations on Defendants that exceed those imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Local Rules of Practice for the District of Arizona.

28

6

11

12

13

14 15

THORPE SHWER, P.C.

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

3. Defendants incorporate by reference every general objection set forth above into each specific response set forth below. A specific response may repeat a general objection for emphasis or some other reason. The failure to include any general objection in any specific response does not waive any general objection to that request. Moreover, Defendants do not waive their right to amend their responses.

# INTERROGATORIES

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 1:**

Identify all Razaghi Healthcare employees, agents, representatives, subcontractors, and independent contractors, as well as all third parties hired or recruited by Razaghi Healthcare (including any attorneys, accountants, contractors, or other professionals), who provided services to or for the benefit of Sage or the Sage Board at any point during the Relevant Time Period. The identification shall, in addition to the information set forth in the definition for "Identify" above, include a description of each person's role and title, by date, during all times that the person provided such services.

# **RESPONSE:**

Besides the identifying information pertaining to themselves specifically, no individual Defendant has any information responsive to this Interrogatory in his possession, custody or control and, therefore, relies on the information in the possession, custody or control of Razaghi Development Company, LLC ("RDC"), if any. Nor does any individual Defendant have personal knowledge of "all Razaghi Healthcare employees, agents, representatives, subcontractors, independent contractors or third parties hired or recruited by Razaghi Healthcare." RDC ceased operating its hospital management activities in 2018. It has not maintained any personnel files or other records upon which it might rely to provide information called for by the expansive definition of the term "Identify." Subject to the foregoing, in addition to the identifying information set forth below, Defendants refer Plaintiff to the tables attached hereto as Exhibits A and B for information regarding RDC's employees, agents and representatives. Defendants refer Plaintiff to the table attached hereto as Exhibit C for information regarding the contractors

	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	ð
	9
	10
7;	11
, P.(	12
THORPE SHWER, P.C.	13
E SH	14
IORP	15
İ	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

and professionals. Further, Defendants refer Plaintiff to the documents identified by Bates label in Exhibits A and/or B. Additionally, Defendants refer Plaintiff to the following documents previously produced Bates labeled RDC Sage\_007794 – RDC Sage\_007966, which contain information responsive to this Interrogatory for the time period of May 2015 to August 2018. Defendants reserve the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct its response to this Interrogatory.

# A. RDC Employees, Agents and Representatives

- 1. Ahmad Razaghi 5902 E. Redwing Rd. Paradise Valley, AZ 702-858-3300
- 2. David Erichsen 5666South Adaley Ave Murray, UT 84107 801-953-5368
- 3. Allen Billings 1076 Carol Ln. #74 Lafayette, CA 94549 415-308-0429
- 4. Robert Erichsen [ADDRESS] [TEL]
- Michael Katigback [ADDRESS] [TEL]
- 6. Melody Roberts
  [CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
- 7. Jose Perez [CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
- 8. Caroline Mylotte
  [CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
- 9. Nathania Henderson

	1		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	2	10.	Netrisha Dalgai
	3	10.	Highway 264, County Road 426
	4		Ganado, AZ 86505
	5	11.	Elena Puerner
	6		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	7	12.	Hailey Leeming
	8		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	9	13.	Amber Razaghi
			5902 E. Redwing Rd.
	10		Paradise Valley, AZ
THORPE SHWER, P.C.	11	14.	Mark Sewald
	12		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	13	15.	Christi El-Meligi
	14		6057 Star Point Rd. North Las Vegas, NV 89031
ORPI	15		[TELEPHONE UNKNOWN]
THO	16	16.	Frackson Sakala
	17		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	18	17.	Kimberly Jones
	19		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
		18.	Abigail Paul
	20		2652 West Kiva Ave.
	21		Mesa, AZ 85202 207-332-4505
	22		207 332 4303
	23	19.	John W. Hanna [CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	24		[CONTACT INFORMATION ONKNOWN]
	25	20.	Christian Astorga
	26		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	27	21.	Todd McGee
	28		47 Yadkin Rd. Fletcher, NC 28732

	1		602-571-4280
	2	22.	Guang Liu
	3	22.	[ADDRESS UNKNOWN]
	4		480-526-1432
	5	23.	Juan Carlos Bolanos
	6		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	7	24.	Rey Katigback
	8		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	2.5	25.	Tasif Hasan
	9		1625 W. Enfield Way
	10		Chandler, AZ 85286
	11	26.	Christopher West
, P.C	12		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
VER	13	27.	Janna Ross
THORPE SHWER, P.C	14	0.28	[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
RPE	15	28.	Monica Phillips
Гно	16		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
		29.	Lindsay Naas
	17		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	18	30.	Matthew Black
	19	50.	[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	20	21	Marry Griggt
	21	31.	Mary Griest [CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	22	22	
	23	32.	Tim Stuart [CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	-, 91	1.5	Action of the contract of the
	24	33.	Maria Ross [CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	25		[CONTACT INTORMATION ONKNOWN]
	26	34.	Jonathan Moening
	27		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN
	28	35.	Justin Thorpe

	1		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	2	36.	Keith Cavaness
	3		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	4	37.	Md Shafinur Murad
	5		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	6	38.	Chris Curtis
	7		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	8	39.	Michael Luong
	9		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	10	40.	Allan Scroggins
	11		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
P.C	12	41.	Carissa Turnbull
THORPE SHWER, P.C.	13		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
SHV	14	42.	Megan Cauthen [CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
ORPE	15		
ТН	16	43.	Curtis Mellor [CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	17	44	
	18	44.	Carolyn Hughley [CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	19	45.	Jason Crowl
	20	43.	[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	21	46.	Carl Schrank
	22		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	23	47.	Vernell Bagey
	24		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	25	48.	Boyce Gordon
	26		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	27	49.	Lisa Ridges
	28		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]

	1	50.	Ahmed Ayesh
	2		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	3	51.	Heather Betts
	4		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	5	52.	L. David Albaugh [CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	6		
	7	53.	Marise Andrade [CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	8	5.4	Malaria Chamma
	9	54.	Melanie Sherry [CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	10	5.5	T-11 C C-11
	11	55.	Tadd Greenfield 114 Margaret Lane
P.C	12		Libby, MT59923
ER,	793/1		406-291-5954
HW	13	56.	Teresita Munoz
PE S	14		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
THORPE SHWER, P.C	15	57.	Taylor Fox
Ξ	16	349	[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	17	58.	Trish Spence
	18	50.	[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	19	59.	Ira Vandever
	20	57.	[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	21	60.	Gary Bortolotti
	22		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	23	61.	Jean Paul Creusat
	24		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	25	62.	Jelena Cakarmis
	26		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	27	63.	Valerie Redhorse [CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	28		[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]

	1		64.	Lovena Lee [CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]				
	2			[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]				
	3		65.	Cheryl Bailey				
	4			[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]				
	5		66.	Mary Arave				
	6			[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]				
	7		67.	George Merritt				
	8			[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]				
	9		68.	Vincent Brooks				
				[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]				
	10		69.	Delphine Lee				
C.	11			[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]				
ζ, P.	12		70.	Dennis Adams				
THORPE SHWER, P.C.	13			[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]				
E SH	14		71.	Chinue Carr				
ORP	15			[CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]				
TH	16	B.	Cont	ractors and Professionals				
	17		1.	Ward, Miller & Geyer 165 S. Main St., Ste. 200				
	18			Salt Lake City, UT 84111				
	19		2.	Frye Law Firm				
	20			10400 Academy NE, Ste. 310 Albuquerque, NM 87111				
	21		3.	Warner Angle				
	22			2555 E. Camelback Rd., #800 Phoenix, AZ 85016				
	23		4.	Jorgenson Law				
	24			[CŎNTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]				
	25		5.	Hutchison & Steffen 10080 Alta Dr.				
	26			Las Vegas, NV 89145				
	27		6.	Rothstein Donatelli 500 4 <sup>th</sup> St. N.W., Ste. 400 Albuquerque, NM 87102				
	28			Mouquerque, 19191 6/102				

	1 2	7.	McDonald-Carano-Wilson 100 W. Liberty St., 10 <sup>th</sup> Fl Reno, NV 89501		
	3 4	8.	Pintar Albiston 6053 S. Fort Apache Rd. #120 Las Vegas, NV 89148		
	5	9.	Professional Business Advisors 1706 S. 500 W, Ste. 200 Bountiful, UT 84010		
	7 8	10.	Burnham & Schumm 1981 E. Murray-Holliday Rd, Ste. 245 Salt Lake City, UT 84117		
	9	11.	Bradshaw Smith & Co., LLP 3851 W. Charleston Las Vegas, NV 89140		
, P.C.	11 12	12.	York Howell 6405 South 3000 East, Ste. 150 Salt Lake City, UT 84121		
THORPE SHWER, P.C	13 14	13.	Ward Malloy, P.C. 800 McIntyre Bldg. 68 South Main St. Salt Lake City, UT 84101		
THORP	15 16 17	14.	Steptoe & Johnson Collier Center, 16 <sup>th</sup> Floor 201 E. Washington St. Phoenix, AZ 85004		
	18 19	15.	Innovative Employee Solutions 9665 Granit Ridge Dr. #420 San Diego, CA 92123		
	20 21	16.	Kunga Engineering [CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]		
	22	17.	Associated Engineering		
	23	18.	Freedman Boyd Hollander 20 First Plaza, Ste. 700 Albuquerque, NM 87102		
	24	19.	Jacobus Consulting		
	25	17.	15375 Barranca Pkwy, Ste. B-202 Irvine, CA 92618		
	26	20.			
	27	20.	IMA Consulting a/k/a RevInt Solutions 6 Hillman Dr., Ste. 100 Chadds Ford, PA 19317		
	28				

	1 2	21.	Quannie Tax & Accounting Services 1213 Highway 491 Gallup, NM 87301
	3 4	22.	Winter DeLaMare 5673 S. Adelay Ave. Salt Lake City, UT 84107
	5	23.	Spiess & Bell 4500 N. 32 <sup>nd</sup> St., Ste. 201B Phoenix, AZ 85018
	7	24.	McCard [CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
	9	25.	Sandstone Public Relations P.O. Box 30192 Flagstaff, AZ 86003
C.	10 11	26.	Jackson Engineering 3376 Stonehill Ln Cottonwood Heights, UT 84121
HWER, P.	12 13	27.	McGovern & Green 200 W. Jackson Blvd., Ste. 2325 Chicago, IL 60606
THORPE SHWER, P.C	14 15	28.	Osborn Maledon 2929 N. Central Ave., 21st Floor Phoenix, AZ 85012
	16 17	29.	Subterranean Associates 7265 S. 1950 East #20 Salt Lake City, UT 84121
	18 19	30.	Rotech IT 2831 Camino Del Rio S. #108 San Diego, CA 92108
	20 21	31.	Peter Keenan P.O. Box 43 McMinnville, OR 97128
	22 23	32.	M&P Engineering 459 N. Gilbert Rd., Ste. A-195 Gilbert, AZ 85234
	24 25	33.	FFKR Architects 730 Pacific Ave.
	26	2.7	Salt Lake City, UT 84107
	27	34.	Lewis Brisbois 633 W. Fifth St. Les Angeles CA 20071
	28		Los Angeles, CA 90071

	1 2	35.	Wasatch IT 5242 S. College Dr. #200 Murray, UT 84123
	3 4	36.	Tuggle Duggins 400 Bellemeade St. #800 Greensboro, NC 27401
	5	37.	Erichsen Engineering 5666 S. Adaley Ave. Murray, UT 84107
	7 8	38.	Keeswood International P.O. Box 862 Window Rock, AZ 86515
	9 10	39.	Concur Technologies, Inc. 62157 Collections Center Dr. Chicago, IL 60693
, P.C.	11 12	40.	Corgan P.O. Box 910253 Dallas, TX 75391
THORPE SHWER, P.C.	13 14	41.	Accounttemps P.O. Box 743295 Los Angeles, CA 90074
	15 16	42.	Trimble P.O. Box 203558 Dallas, TX 75320
	17 18	43.	Xtend Healthcare 500 W. Main St., Ste. 14 Hendersonville, TN 37075
	19 20	44.	Onsager, Werner & Oberg 3200 N. Central Ave., Ste. 1800 Phoenix, AZ 85012
	21 22	45.	Dell Marketing One Dell Way Round Rock, TX 78682
	23 24	46.	CompHealth P.O. Box 972651 Dallas, TX 75397
	25 26	47.	NTT Data 2300 W. Plano Parkway Plano, TX 75075
	27 28	48.	Bean & Associates 201 Third St., NW, Ste. 1630 Albuquerque, NM 87102
	7		13

		II .	
	1 2	49.	Port 11 I Was
	3	50.	Vist 280
		51	Cott
	5	51.	IME 623 Roc
	7	52.	Sed 401 Cot
	9	53.	Spe 669
ti	11	54.	Mer [CC
HWER, P.	12 13	55.	Ver 108 Farr
THORPE SHWER, P.C.	14 15	56.	BD6 840 McI
	16 17	57.	Fall P.O Prev
	18 19	The contrac	
	20	and professionals	can be
	21	Memorial Hospital	, Inc.
	22	Navaio Health Fo	undat

49.	Porter Group
	11 D. St., SE
	Washington, DC 20003

- 50. Vista Staffing Solutions 2800 East Cottonwood Pkwy, Ste. 400 Cottonwood Heights, UT 84121
- 51. IMEG 623 26<sup>th</sup> Ave. Rock Island, IL 61201
- 52. Sedona Foot & Ankle 401 S. Calvary Way, Ste. A Cottonwood, AZ 86326
- 53. Speros Design, LLC 6698A 161st Ave. SE Bellevue, WA 98006
- 54. Merritt Hawkins [CONTACT INFORMATION UNKNOWN]
- 55. Vernon Aviation 1080 W. Navajo St., Hangar 11 Farmington, NM 87401
- 56. BDO 8401 Greensboro Dr., Ste. 800 McLean, VA 22102
- 57. Falling Clouds P.O. Box 424 Prewitt, NM 87045

The contractual basis for the rates and amounts charged to Sage by these contractors and professionals can be found at Section 2(D) of the Navajo Health Foundation – Sage Memorial Hospital, Inc. CEO Services Contract dated March 18, 2011, Section 2(C) of the Navajo Health Foundation – Sage Memorial Hospital, Inc. Amendment No. 1 and Extension No. 1 to CEO Services Contract dated May 17, 2013 and Section 2(C) of the Navajo Health Foundation – Sage Memorial Hospital, Inc. Amendment No. 2 to CEO Services Contract dated June 16, 2017.

# 27 INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

For each person identified in response to Interrogatory No. 1, identify all services

23

24

25

26

28

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

THORPE SHWER, P.C.

provided by that person to or for the benefit of Sage, all amounts charged to Sage for those services, all rates charged to Sage for those services (including the time periods for each, if different rates were charged at different times), and the contractual or other basis for the amounts charged.

#### RESPONSE:

Besides the information pertaining to themselves specifically, no individual Defendant has any information responsive to this Interrogatory in his possession, custody or control and, therefore, relies on the information in the possession, custody or control of RDC, if any. RDC ceased operating its hospital management activities in 2018. It has not maintained any personnel files or other records upon which it might rely to provide information called for by the expansive definition of the term "Identify." Subject to the foregoing, in addition to the identifying information set forth below, Defendants refer Plaintiff to the table attached hereto as Exhibit A for the information available to Defendants to respond to this interrogatory with respect to invoices submitted to Sage for services rendered by prior to December 1, 2014, and a description of the services provided during the Relevant Time Period. Defendants refer Plaintiff to the table attached hereto as Exhibit B for information available to Defendants regarding its employees', agents' and representatives' titles, roles, rates and amounts charged to Sage on RDC's invoices after December 1, 2014. Defendants refer Plaintiffs to the table attached hereto as Exhibit C for information available to Defendants to respond to this interrogatory with respect to invoices submitted to Plaintiff for services rendered by Contractors and Professionals during the Relevant Time Period. Additionally, Defendants refer Plaintiff to the following documents previously produced Bates labeled RDC Sage 007794 - RDC Sage 007966, which contain information responsive to this Interrogatory No. 2 for the time period of May 2015 to August 2018. Defendants reserve the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct its response to this Interrogatory.

The contractual basis for the rates and amounts charged to Sage by these contractors and professionals can be found at Section 2(D) of the Navajo Health Foundation – Sage

Memorial Hospital, Inc. CEO Services Contract dated March 18, 2011, Section 2(C) of the Navajo Health Foundation – Sage Memorial Hospital, Inc. Amendment No. 1 and Extension No. 1 to CEO Services Contract dated May 17, 2013 and Section 2(C) of the Navajo Health Foundation – Sage Memorial Hospital, Inc. Amendment No. 2 to CEO Services Contract dated June 16, 2017.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 3:**

Describe in detail the factual and legal support for each and every affirmative defense to Sage's claims that You asserted in Your First Amended Answer to Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint (Doc. 298). If any such affirmative defense, or the factual or legal support for any such affirmative defense, is not asserted equally for each Defendant, please explain in detail any such differences of position.

#### RESPONSE:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Objections to the Definitions and Instructions, and General Objections listed above, Defendants respond to Interrogatory No. 3 as follows with respect to Defendants' Answer to Plaintiff's Fourth Amended Complaint (Doc. 345), which is the current operative Answer:

Statute of Limitations. Defendants have asserted that Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by applicable statutes of limitations. Plaintiff's RICO claims are subject to a four-year statute of limitations. Agency Holding Corp. v. Malley-Duff & Assocs., Inc., 483 U.S. 143, 156 (1987). The limitations period begins to run on the date the plaintiff knew or should have known the elements of a civil RICO cause of action existed. Plaintiff asserted its RICO claims in the original Complaint filed February 22, 2019. To the extent Plaintiff proves the elements of its RICO claims, Defendants may be able to show that Plaintiff was aware or should have been aware that the elements of such claims existed prior to February 22, 2015, and thus the filing of the RICO claims falls outside the permissible limitations period for such claims.

Plaintiff's claims for fraud and constructive fraud are subject to a three-year statute

11

THORPE SHWER, P.C.

28

of limitations pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-543(3). Plaintiff's common law fraud and constructive fraud claims, as asserted in the Complaint were predicated on the alleged false representation made on August 27, 2018 in relation to Defendants' submittal of invoice #1369. Plaintiff's common law fraud and constructive fraud claims were expanded in the First Amended Complaint filed on June 1, 2021 to include alleged false representations and omissions in addition to that alleged as having been made on August 27, 2018 in relation to the submittal of invoice #1369. To the extent Plaintiff's common law fraud and constructive fraud claims are based on alleged false representations and omissions occurring prior to June 1, 2018 and not alleged in the original Complaint, such claims are time-barred.

Plaintiff's claim for civil conspiracy and aiding and abetting is governed by the statute of limitations for the underlying tort action - fraud. See YF Trust v. JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 102350, at \*7 (D. Ariz. 2008). Plaintiff's claim for civil conspiracy and aiding and abetting is time-barred to the same extent, and for the same reasons outlined with respect to Plaintiff's claims for common law fraud and constructive fraud.

Plaintiff's claims for breach of fiduciary duty are governed by a two-year statute of limitations pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-542. Plaintiff first pled these claims in the First Amended Complaint filed on June 1, 2021. To the extent Plaintiff's breach-of-fiduciaryduty claims are based on acts and omissions occurring prior to June 1, 2019 and facts not alleged in the original Complaint, such claims are time-barred.

To the extent Plaintiff's claim for breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing relies on the allegation that RDC "tortuously contravened the intention and spirit of the [Contract] by failing to obtain the approval of the Sage Board to increase Razaghi's billing rate from \$175 per hour to a net rate of \$495 per hour," such claim is governed by a two-year statute of limitations pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-542. Plaintiff's claim for tortious breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing based on this theory was first asserted in the First Amended Complaint filed on June 1, 2021. To the extent Plaintiff's claim is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

based on acts and omissions occurring prior to June 1, 2019 and not alleged in the original Complaint, such claim is time-barred.

Plaintiff's unjust enrichment claim is governed by a three-year statute of limitations pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-543(1). Plaintiff's first asserted their unjust enrichment claim in the First Amended Complaint filed June 1, 2021. To the extent Plaintiff's claim is based on acts and omissions occurring prior to June 1, 2018 and not alleged in the original Complaint, such claim is time-barred.

Waiver, Laches, and/or Estoppel. Defendants have asserted that Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of waiver, laches, and/or estoppel. Waiver is the intentional relinquishment of a known right with knowledge of its existence and the intent to relinquish it. Arizona v. Tohono O'Odham Nation, 944 F.Supp.2d 748, 757 (D. Ariz. 2013) (quoting United States v. King Features Entm't, Inc., 843 F.2d 394, 399 (9th Cir. 1988)).

At all relevant times Plaintiff had full knowledge of the hourly rate for Mr. Razaghi. In fact, the Sage board reviewed and approved Mr. Razaghi's rates from 2011-2018. By each action described herein, the Sage board intended to relinquish its right to challenge or otherwise address concerns it had regarding Mr. Razaghi's rate.

For the relevant portion of Fiscal Year 2011 and for Fiscal Years 2012 and 2013, the Base Pay rate of \$175 per hour set forth in § 5(A) of the Navajo Health Foundation – Sage Memorial Hospital, Inc. CEO Services Contract dated March 18, 2011 was in effect. After considering HealthCare Appraisers Incorporated's Determination of the Fair Market Value of Hospital Management Services dated December 23, 2013, which indicated, among other things, that the fair market range for Mr. Razaghi's services was \$340 per hour to \$530 per hour, the Fiscal Year 2014 Base Pay rate was adjusted to \$425 per hour and discounted to \$175 per hour.

On or about May 1, 2014, the Base Pay rate was adjusted to \$530 per hour, which after applying a \$175 per hour discount resulted in an increase of the Base Pay rate from \$175 per hour to \$355 per hour. This increase was reflected in the backup information that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

accompanied RDC's Invoice #1180, which was submitted to Plaintiff on or about June 2, 2014. On September 26, 2014, Plaintiff's board of directors approved a continuing resolution pursuant to which it adopted Plaintiff's Fiscal Year 2014 operating budget as the Fiscal Year 2015 operating budget. In May 2015, the Base Pay rate was adjusted to \$495 per hour.

In its letter dated October2, 2015, RDC reminded Plaintiff of its request that RDC carry forward a portion of each of its service invoices as discounts below fair market value. RDC informed Plaintiff that it had been carrying these costs since January 2014. As of September 29, 2015, the balance due on those unpaid costs totaled \$7,042,713.52 and, accordingly, RDC's Invoice #1214 in that amount was submitted with its letter. At Plaintiff's board of directors meeting held November 14, 2015, RDC reported to Plaintiff's board of directors on Invoice #1214 and the \$7.042 million carry forward cost. Plaintiff's board voted unanimously to approve Invoice #1214. By this action, Plaintiff's board approved any rate charged by RDC for the period of time covered by Invoice #1214, provided the rate was within the highest fair market value rate for Mr. Razaghi's services and the discounted rate charged to Plaintiff.

On October 7, 2015, Plaintiff's board of directors approved Plaintiff's Fiscal Year 2016 operating budget. In connection with this approval, Plaintiff's board received and reviewed the Navajo Health Foundation - Sage Memorial Hospital FY2016 Budget Review. Included in that document was an Executive Management and Consulting Services Rate Sheet which, among other things, showed that for Fiscal Year 2016 that RDC would charge \$530 per hour for Mr. Razaghi services which, after applying a 6.6% discount, resulted in a discounted hourly rate of \$495 per hour.

On September 27, 2016, Plaintiff's board of directors approved a continuing resolution pursuant to which it adopted Plaintiff's Fiscal Year 2016 operating budget as Plaintiff's Fiscal Year 2017 operating budget. In connection with the adoption of this continuing resolution, Plaintiff's board received and reviewed the Navajo Health Foundation – Sage Memorial Hospital Continuing Resolution – FY2017 Budget. Included

1

2

3

4

5

THORPE SHWER, P.C.

14

23

24

21

28

in that document was an Executive Management and Consulting Services Rate Sheet which, among other things, showed that for Fiscal Year 2017 that RDC would charge \$530 per hour for Mr. Razaghi services which, after applying a 6.6% discount, resulted in a discounted hourly rate of \$495 per hour.

On August 25, 2017, Plaintiff's board of directors approved a continuing resolution pursuant to which it adopted Plaintiff's Fiscal Year 2017 operating budget as Plaintiff's Fiscal Year 2018 operating budget. In connection with the adoption of this continuing resolution, Plaintiff's board received and reviewed the Navajo Health Foundation - Sage Memorial Hospital Continuing Resolution Adopting Fiscal Year 2018 Budget (Adopting fiscal year 2017 budget for fiscal year 2018). Included in that document was an Executive Management and Consulting Services Rate Sheet which, among other things, showed that for Fiscal Year 2018 that RDC would charge \$530 per hour for Mr. Razaghi services which, after applying a 6.6% discount, resulted in a discounted hourly rate of \$495 per hour.

Laches "is an equitable defense that prevents a plaintiff, who with full knowledge of the facts, acquiesces in a transaction and sleeps upon his rights." Danjag LLC v. Sony Corp., 263 F.3d 942, 950-51 (9th Cir. 2001) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

As set forth above, Plaintiff had full knowledge of the facts regarding Mr. Razaghi's Base Pay rate from 2011-2018 and the approval of such rates. By each action taken, as described above, the Sage board acquiesced as to Mr. Razaghi's rate. Plaintiff had at least five years to challenge Mr. Razaghi's hourly rate (which the Sage board approved), but it did not. Rather, Plaintiff slept on its rights to claim that the charged rates were somehow improper, barring Plaintiff's claims.

Estoppel, is proved by establishing four elements: (1) the party to be estopped must know the facts; (2) the party to be estopped must intend that its conduct shall be acted on or must so act that the party asserting the estoppel has a right to believe it is so intended; (3) the party asserting the estoppel must be ignorant of the true facts; and (4) the party asserting the estoppel must rely on the former's conduct to its injury. Central Ariz. Water Conservation Dist. v. United States, 32 F.Supp.2d 1117, (D. Ariz. 1998) (quoting United

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

States v. Garan, 12 F.3d 858, 860 (9th Cir. 1993).

As set forth above, Plaintiff knew all pertinent facts regarding Mr. Razaghi's Base Pay rate. By approving Mr. Razaghi's rate each year as described above, the Sage board intended for that rate to be applicable to Mr. Razaghi's work for Plaintiff. Further, Mr. Razaghi and RDC had a right to believe that the Sage board intended to approve and honor Mr. Razaghi's rate through the Sage Board's actions as described above. Mr. Razaghi and RDC justifiably relied on the Sage Board's conduct only to now face Plaintiff's claims that Mr. Razaghi's hourly rate was charged to Plaintiff improperly.

Collateral Estoppel and/or Judicial Estoppel. Defendants have asserted that Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of collateral estoppel and/or judicial estoppel. Defendants intend to withdraw the asserted defense of collateral estoppel. Judicial estoppel "prevents a party from prevailing in one phase of a case on an argument then relying on a contradictory argument to prevail in another phase." Fisher v. Aetna Life Ins. Co., 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 147484, \*8 (quoting Zedner v. United States, 547 U.S. 489, 504 (2006)).

Due to the nature of the affirmative defense of judicial estoppel, Defendants do not, and cannot, currently know of factual support for that defense. However, to the extent that Plaintiff prevails in a phase of this case on an argument and then relies on a contradictory argument to prevail in another phase of this, Plaintiff is judicially estopped from doing so.

Economic Loss Doctrine. Defendants have asserted that Plaintiff's tort claims are barred by the economic loss doctrine. Tort claims arising out of breach-of-contract actions are barred by the Economic Loss Doctrine, which limits contracting parties to contractual remedies for the recovery of economic losses unaccompanied by physical injuries to persons or other property. Barrio v. Gisa Invs. LLC, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 191584, at \*3-4; citing Flagstaff Affordable Hous. Ltd. P'ship v. Design Alliance, Inc., 223 Ariz. 320, 223 P.3d 664 (2010). Plaintiff's claims for fraud and constructive fraud arise from the parties' contractual relationship. The Contract provides provisions related to allocating losses and remedies. Plaintiff alleges only economic losses and no physical injuries to

persons or other property. Thus, Plaintiff's fraud and constructive fraud claims are barred

Plaintiff is entitled to damages or penalties, Defendants are entitled to a recoupment/set-

off/offset for any overpayments of consideration by Defendants previously provided to

Plaintiff or underpayment of consideration by Plaintiff owed to Defendants. Recoupment

is an equitable doctrine wherein the claim of a defendant can be used to reduce or to

eliminate a judgment. W.J. Kroeger Co. v. Travelers Indem. Co., 112 Ariz. 285, 287-88,

541 P.2d 385, 387-88 (1975). The right of offset, or setoff, allows parties owing each other

money to apply their mutual debts against each other, thereby avoiding "the absurdity of

making A pay B when B owes A." Citizens Bank v. Strumpf, 516 U.S. 16, 18 (1995)

indemnification for damages, if any, and any and all costs and fees incurred by Defendants

Indemnification. Defendants have asserted that Defendants are entitled to

Failure to Mitigate Damages. Defendants intend to withdraw the asserted

Discovery into the facts supporting the above-referenced affirmative defenses is

ongoing. Defendants reserve the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct its response

(quoting Studley v. Boylston Nat'l Bank, 229 U.S. 523, 528 (1913)).

in defense of this lawsuit pursuant to Section 12(H) of the Contract.

affirmative defense of failure to mitigate damages.

Recoupment/Set-Off/Offset. Defendants have asserted that, to the extent that

2

by the economic loss rule.

1

3

5

67

8

9

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

**INTERROGATORY NO. 4**:

to this Interrogatory.

Identify and describe in detail each of the "contractual provisions" You claim Sage breached, as alleged in Paragraphs 105 and 109 of Razaghi Healthcare's Counterclaims and Second Amended Third Party Claims (Doc. 298), including: (1) the specific provision You contend was breached and (2) every fact supporting Your contention that it was breached.

RESPONSE:

22

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Objections to the Definitions and Instructions, and General Objections listed above, Defendants respond to Interrogatory No. 4 as follows: Paragraph 105 of RDC's Counterclaims and Second Amended Third-Party Claims (Doc. 217) refers to Plaintiff "failing to allow RDC to perform its management functions under the contract," "interfering with the reassignment of El-Meligi and Dalgai from Sage Memorial Hospital to RDC," and "failing to pay RDC the performance fee approved by the Board of Directors on or about December 15, 2017."

Section 2(A) of the Contract provides that the Board shall retain authority placed in it by law and its bylaws except to the extent such authority has been delegated to RDC. Section 2(C) of the Contract delegates to RDC "the right and commensurate authority and responsibility, express or implied, to oversee the supervision and effective management of the Corporation." Section 2(C)(1) further provides that RDC "agrees to perform the duties and responsibilities of the position of Chief Executive Officer set forth in the Sage Memorial Hospital Position Description for the position of Chief Executive Officer." Section 2(C)(2) expressly states that RDC "shall be responsible for overseeing the recruitment, hiring, promotion, disciplining, and firing of (i) Corporation key executives who report to the CEO, including without limitation the Chief Financial Officer, the Medical Director, the Chief Operations Officer, and the Director of Nursing ("Key Executives")...." Netrisha Dalgai's position as Chief Operations Officer fell squarely within the definition of a Key Executive. Christi El-Meligi's position as Co-CEO also fell within the definition of a Key Executive as the job description expressly provided that the Co-CEO would be supervised by the Chief Executive Officer. Thus, Plaintiff breached the above-referenced provisions of the Contract when it obstructed RDC's ability to perform the above-listed management functions under the contract and by interfering with the reassignment of El-Meligi and Dalgai from Sage Memorial Hospital to RDC.

Paragraph 109 of RDC's Counterclaims and Second Amended Third-Party Claims (Doc. 217) refers to Plaintiff's failure to pay RDC the entire compensation outlined in the Contract up to the date of termination, including but not limited to Incentive Fees, Annual

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Retention Bonuses, Management Consulting Services, Executive Leadership Services, employee reimbursements, and reimbursement for D&O Insurance. Section 5 of the Contract lists various categories of compensation to which RDC was entitled in exchange for its services. Among other things, Section 5(A) provides for base pay for professional services rendered by Ahmad R. Razaghi, Section 5(B) provides for an Annual Incentive Fee, Section 5(C) provides for an Annual Retention Bonus, Section 5(D) provides for the Termination Payment, Section 5(E) provides for a Performance Fee, and Section 5(F) acknowledges Plaintiff's agreement to provide RDC with D&O Insurance. Section 2(C)(2) of the Contract acknowledges Plaintiff's agreement to pay RDC the costs of services of RDC personnel. Plaintiff breached the above-listed provisions of the Contract as further set forth in Defendants response to Interrogatory No. 9. Defendants reserve the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct its response to this Interrogatory.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

Identify and describe in detail each "unauthorized communication" that, in Paragraphs 41, 124, 142, and 149 of Razaghi Healthcare's Counterclaims and Second Amended Third-Party Claims (Doc. 298), You allege occurred, including: (1) the date of each such communication, (2) all persons involved in each such communication, (3) the substance of each such communication, and (4) all facts supporting your contention that each such communication was "unauthorized."

## RESPONSE:

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Objections to the Definitions and Instructions, and General Objections listed above, Defendants respond to Interrogatory No. 5 as follows: Upon information and belief, in July 2018, Ms. El-Meligi and Ms. Dalgai communicated with certain members of the Sage Board, including but not limited to Ms. Kelewood and Ms. Terry, to protest their reassignment from Sage Memorial Hospital to Razaghi Healthcare's Scottsdale, Arizona offices and devise a plan for the Board to intervene to prevent the reassignment or otherwise interfere with RDC personnel matters. Upon further

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

information and belief, Ms. El-Meligi and Ms. Dalgai communicated with certain members of the Sage Board to plan points of discussion for meetings of the Sage Board to question and interfere with RDC's authority over personnel matters and RDC's management of Sage Memorial Hospital. Upon further information and belief, certain members of the Sage Board forwarded email communications between the Board and RDC regarding RDC personnel matters to Ms. El-Meligi and Ms. Dalgai.

Specifically, in April 2018, Ms. El-Meligi and Ms. Dalgai met with Stenson Wauneka. During that meeting, Mr. Wauneka called Mr. Razaghi on speakerphone while Ms. El-Meligi and Ms. Dalgai were in the room. Ms. El-Meligi testified that the discussion was about "the CEO, the contract, and [Ms. El-Meligi's position], and Stenson was voicing his disapproval." On or about June 14, 2018, Ms. El-Meligi met with Mr. Wauneka again to question the CEO contract and costs billed to Sage for work associated with Sage's directive to RDC to move forward with the development and expansion of Sage's services and service area by working with other healthcare organizations to assist them to become 638 organizations. Between June 2018 and August 2018, Ms. El-Meligi had "many, many" conversations with Ms. Kelewood, Ms. Terry, and Mr. Simpson. Those conversations included such topics as: Mr. Razaghi's lack of "willingness to move forward with the development and the execution of the construction of the new healthcare facility;" Mr. Razaghi's reassignment of Ms. El-Meligi as Sage CEO; and Mr. Razaghi re-hiring Mr. Hasan after Ms. El-Meligi fired Ms. Hasan. Between June 2018 and August 2018, Ms. El-Meligi, Ms. Kelewood, Ms. Terry, and/or Mr. Simpson continued to communicate with the apparent purpose of retaliating against RDC for Ms. El-Meligi's reassignment by interfering with RDC's performance under the Contract and tarnishing RDC's reputation.

Sage board members' communications with Ms. El-Meligi and Ms. Dalgai directly undercut and interfered with Razaghi's rights and authority under the Contract. Section §2(C)(1) of the Contract provides that "Ahmad R. Razaghi shall perform the duties and responsibilities of the position of the Chief Executive Officer set forth in Exhibit B of the Contract, until RH identifies a successor CEO, and any such successor CEO will be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

evaluated by RH" and "Corporation shall not solicit or hire any such successor CEO to work directly for Corporation as an employee or an independent contractor during the term of the Contract." Further, §2(C)(2) of the Contract expressly states that Razaghi Healthcare had the right to (a) oversee the recruitment, hiring, promotion, disciplining, and firing of RH management consultants, (b) place and replace RH personnel at Sage, and (c) supervise and manage any member of the staff of Corporation, including physicians, subject to the terms of the Contract. The communications that the Sage board members had with Ms. El-Meligi and Ms. Dalgai, interfered with Razaghi Healthcare personnel matters and other contractual rights of Razaghi Healthcare and were therefore unauthorized.

Discovery is ongoing. Defendants reserve the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct its response to this Interrogatory.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 6:**

Describe in detail all factual and legal basis for the wiring of the \$10.8 million from Sage to Razaghi Healthcare on or about August 27, 2018, including without limitation all facts and calculations supporting Your contention that Razaghi Healthcare was entitled to those funds.

#### **RESPONSE<sup>2</sup>:**

Subject to the Preliminary Statement, Objections to the Definitions and Instructions, and General Objections listed above, Defendants respond to Interrogatory No. 6 as follows: Section 4(C) of the Contract provides:

In the event this Contract expires, or RH terminates this contract for cause, or the Corporation elects to terminate this Contract at any time prior to termination of this Contract for any reason other than those listed as "cause" in Section 4.A., the Corporation shall pay to RH (a) sum equal to the compensation described in this Contract up to the date of termination plus (b) the Termination Payment . . .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Defendants supplemented their response to this interrogatory on April 15, 2024. Defendants believe that supplemental response provides both the calculation underlying and factual bases for the calculations underlying the \$10.8 million wired to RDC.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Section 5(D)(2) of the Contract provides:

In the event that this Contract expires, or RH terminates this Contract for cause, or the Corporation elects to terminate this Contract at any time prior to expiration of this Contract for any reason other than those listed as "cause" in Section 4.A., the Corporation shall, in addition to any other amounts due under this Contract, pay RH a Termination Payment in an amount equal to the average of the amount paid to RH by the Corporation each year during the most recent four years of service, including the year of expiration or termination, which shall be prorated through the actual date of such expiration of termination.

On August 31, 2018, Plaintiff's board of directors adopted a resolution pursuant to which Plaintiff terminated the Contract effective immediately. The termination purportedly resulted from the board's learning on August 30, 2018, that RDC paid itself the Termination Payment on August 27, 2018. RDC's receipt of the Termination Payment on August 27, 2018, rather than on September 1, 2018, 30 days after RDC's August 2, 2018 notice of Plaintiff's breaches of the Contract, may have constituted a non-criminal material breach of the Contract. However, Plaintiff terminated the Contract without providing RDC with written notice of this breach and without giving RDC 30 days to cure any such breach. Consequently, Plaintiff terminated the Contract under circumstances entitling RDC to the Termination Payment.

The Termination Payment was calculated as an amount equal to the average of the amounts Plaintiff paid to RDC during the then most recent four years of service. As reflected on RDC's Invoice # 1369, RDC calculated the Termination Payment as follows:

- RDC determined that the applicable four-year period was September 1, 2014 a. to August 27, 2018; and
- RDC calculated the average of the amounts Plaintiff paid to it during this b. time period (\$15,937,168.45) and applied a Professional Services Discount of \$5,082,034.30, which produced a Termination Payment in the amount of \$10,855,134.15.

As demonstrated on the spreadsheet that accompanied Invoice #1369, RDC determined the total amount of its invoices using the information included in the columns labeled "Date invoice," "Inv #," and "Total Costs" to calculate the total amount

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

billed by RDC to Sage during the four-year period between September 10, 2014 and August 27, 2018 (\$15,937,168.45). RDC then calculated the difference between the "Total Costs" and the Costs Paid," which produced the amounts reflected in the "Costs not paid" column. These amounts reflect RDC's carried forward charges for services provided below fair market value (the "Professional Discount"). Subtracting the fouryear average of the "Costs Paid" from the four-year average of the "Costs Incurred" produced the "Costs not paid" (Professional Services Discount) of \$5,082,034.30 reflected in RDC's Invoice #1369.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 7:**

Describe in detail all factual and legal basis for Your contention that Razaghi Healthcare was owed a Termination Payment under Paragraph D of the CEO Services Contract, as amended.

#### RESPONSE:

In addition to the Preliminary Statement, Objections to the Definitions and Instructions, and General Objections listed above, Defendants object to Interrogatory 8 because Defendants do not contend that Razaghi Healthcare was owed a Termination Payment under "Paragraph D" of the CEO Services Contract, as amended. Subject to the foregoing, see Defendants' Response to Interrogatory 6.

# **INTERROGATORY NO. 8:**

Identify and describe the services or other work performed by or on behalf of any Defendant to support each of the invoices detailed in Paragraphs 137 and 178 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint (Doc. 192).

# RESPONSE<sup>3</sup>:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Defendants supplemented their response to this interrogatory on April 15, 2024. Defendants believe that this supplemental response meets the substance of the interrogatory. However, Defendants further supplement their response to this interrogatory in red italics above.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In addition to the Preliminary Statement, Objections to the Definitions and Instructions, and General Objections listed above, Invoice No. 1371 is an invoice for the Incentive Fee due and owing by Sage to RDC for the period of August 20, 2018 through September 2, 2018. This invoice obviously would not reflect service or work performed by anyone for anyone.

Regarding the Invoices 1370, 1372-34, 1382-84 detailed in Paragraph 137, Defendants refer Plaintiff to their responses to Interrogatories 1 and 2. Likewise, with respect to Invoices 1261-71, 1274-75, 1278-79, 1303, 1336, 1345 and 1354 detailed in Paragraph 178, Defendants refer Plaintiff to their responses to Interrogatories 1 and 2. Indeed, Plaintiff's allegations regarding these invoices are set forth in such detail as to demonstrate that Plaintiff is well aware of not only the content of these invoices, but also what the invoices contain to support Plaintiffs claim that the invoices seek payment for Fraudulent Expenses. Under the circumstances, RDC can discern no good faith basis for this interrogatory.

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

Describe in detail any and all alleged damages Razaghi Healthcare is seeking on its Counterclaims against Sage (including the categories, calculations, and legal basis therefor), and identify all facts, documents, and witness testimony supporting, contradicting, or otherwise relating to those alleged damages and calculations.

# RESPONSE<sup>4</sup>:

#### A. The Incentive Fee

In connection with its performance of an annual evaluation of RDC, at each annual meeting the board was required to determine the Annual Incentive Fee. Plaintiff was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Defendants supplemented their response to this interrogatory on April 15, 2024. Defendants believe that this supplemental response meets the substance of the interrogatory. However, Defendants further supplement their response to this interrogatory in red italics.

required to calculate the Annual Incentive Fee utilizing the then current Code Section 4958 Report. The Annual Incentive Fee was to equal 5.4% of Plaintiff's net revenues.

For Plaintiff's fiscal years 2017 and 2018, the budgeted Annual Incentive Fee was \$2,781,000 each year. Plaintiff was obligated to pay 70% percent of the Annual Incentive Fee (\$1,946,700) as invoiced and the remaining 30% (\$834,300) in a lump-sum payment at the end of the fiscal year following Plaintiff's board's determination that RDC's performance was at least satisfactory. Upon termination of the Contract, Plaintiff was obligated to pay the entire unpaid amount of the Annual Incentive Fee through the effective date of the termination. Plaintiff failed to satisfy this obligation. As reflected on RDC's Invoice Nos. 1371 and 1394, there remains an outstanding balance of \$74,448.08 representing the final FY 2018 installment payment. As reflected on RDC's Invoice No. 1394, there remains an outstanding balance of \$834,299.92 representing the lump-sum payment due for FY 2018. These amounts have accrued and continue to accrue interest at the rate of 1.5% per month. As of March 31, 2024, Plaintiff owes RDC Incentive Fees and accrued interest totaling \$2,155,159.

Ahmad Razaghi, Tausif Hasan, Allen Billings, Todd McGee, Tadd Greenfield, David Erichsen, Guang Liu, Plaintiff's board members during the relevant time periods, Christi El-Meligi and/or Netrisha Dalgai may testify as to the damages to which RDC is entitled. In addition to the Contract, board meetings and other documents referred to herein, RDC's damages are also reflected in the invoices RDC provided to Plaintiff.

#### B. The Annual Retention Bonus

Plaintiff was required to pay RDC a retention bonus equal to 30 days or 240 of professional time for each year that RDC continued to serve under the Contract (the "Retention Bonus"). Plaintiff did not pay any of the Retention Bonus to RDC during fiscal year 2018 and, accordingly, there remains an outstanding balance of \$118,000 due and owing to RDC. Interest has accrued and is accruing on this amount at the rate of 1.5% per month.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Ahmad Razaghi, Tausif Hasan, Allen Billings, Todd McGee, Tadd Greenfield, David Erichsen, Guang Liu, Plaintiff's board members during the relevant time periods, Christi El-Meligi and/or Netrisha Dalgai may testify as to the damages to which RDC is entitled. In addition to the Contract, board meetings and other documents referred to herein, RDC's damages are also reflected in the invoices RDC provided to Plaintiff, including but not limited to Invoice Nos. 1244-1269, 1272, 1276, 1280, 1284, 1288, 1292-1293, 1297-1298, 1311-1312, 1316, 1319, 1232, 1327, 1331, 1335, 1340, 1344, 1348, 1353, 1357, 1361, 1370-1374, 1394, 1398 and 1410.

#### C. Personnel Costs

Plaintiff was obligated to reimburse RDC for the costs of key executives who report to Plaintiff's CEO including, without limitation, the Chief Financial Officer, Medical Director, Chief Operations Officer and Director of Nursing, as well as healthcare consultants hired to assist these executives. Following Plaintiff's termination of the Contract, RDC submitted invoices for these costs which Plaintiff has failed to pay.

#### D. Invoice #1214

On or about September 29, 2015, RDC submitted its Invoice #1214, which detailed all of the total fees due and owing by Plaintiff to RDC as a result of RDC's agreement to perform services at a rate below fair market value to assist Plaintiff in continuing as a going concern while it managed the extraordinary circumstances caused by its former employees, the Navajo Area IHS investigation, subsequent litigation and related matters. The total of the fees due and owing at the time of Invoice #1214 was \$7,042,713.52. During Plaintiff's Special Board of Directors Meeting held November 14, 2015, Plaintiff's board unanimously approved Invoice #1214.

Plaintiff has failed and/or refused to pay Invoice #1214. Interest has accrued and continues to accrue on Invoice #1214 at the rate of 1.5% per month and the amount now due totals approximately \$32,157,059.

#### E. Invoice # 1370

On or about September 11, 2018, RDC submitted its Invoice #1370, which detailed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

charges for Personnel Costs and reimbursements due and owing by Plaintiff to RDC in the amount of \$31,678.32. Plaintiff has failed and/or refused to pay Invoice #1370. Interest has accrued and continues to accrue on Invoice #1370 at the rate of 1.5% per month and the amount now due totals approximately \$173,110.

#### F. Invoice #1372

On or about September 11, 2018, RDC submitted its Invoice #1370, which detailed charges for Personnel Costs and reimbursements due and owing by Plaintiff to RDC in the amount of \$129,986.76. Plaintiff has failed and/or refused to pay Invoice #1372. Interest has accrued and continues to accrue on Invoice #1372 at the rate of 1.5% per month and the amount now due totals approximately \$347,262.

#### G. Invoice #1373

On or about September 6, 2018, RDC submitted its Invoice #1370, which detailed charges for Personnel Costs and reimbursements due and owing by Plaintiff to RDC in the amount of \$106,120.38. Plaintiff has failed and/or refused to pay Invoice #1373. Interest has accrued and continues to accrue on Invoice #1373 at the rate of 1.5% per month and the amount now due totals approximately \$283,502.

#### H. Invoice #1374

On or about October 4, 2018, RDC submitted its Invoice #1370, which detailed charges for Personnel Costs and reimbursements due and owing by Plaintiff to RDC in the amount of \$156,120.38. Plaintiff has failed and/or refused to pay Invoice #1374. Interest has accrued and continues to accrue on Invoice #1374 at the rate of 1.5% per month and the amount now due totals approximately \$283,502.

#### I. Invoice #1396

On or about August 5, 2019, RDC submitted its Invoice #1396, which detailed Personnel Costs due and owing by Plaintiff in the amount of \$330,648.50 \$175,648.50. Plaintiff has failed and/or refused to pay Invoice #1396. Interest has accrued and continues to accrue on Invoice #1396 at the rate of 1.5% per month and the amount now due totals approximately \$749,892 \$422,805.80.

8

9

10

11

12 13

THORPE SHWER, P.C.

15

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

Ahmad Razaghi, Tausif Hasan, Allen Billings, Todd McGee, Tadd Greenfield, David Erichsen, Guang Liu, Plaintiff's board members during the relevant time periods, Christi El-Meligi and/or Netrisha Dalgai may testify as to the damages to which RDC is entitled. In addition to the Contract, board meetings and other documents referred to herein, RDC's damages are also reflected in the invoices RDC provided to Plaintiff, including but not limited to Invoice Nos. 1244-1269, 1272, 1276, 1280, 1284, 1288, 1292-1293, 1297-1298, 1311-1312, 1316, 1319, 1232, 1327, 1331, 1335, 1340, 1344, 1348, 1353, 1357, 1361, 1370-1374, 1394, 1398 and 1410.

#### Invoice #1403 J.

On or about June 30, 2014, RDC submitted its Invoice #1182, which included the Incentive Fee due and owing by Plaintiff in the amount of \$1,842,550. Plaintiff paid a portion of that Incentive Fee. However, there remains due and owing \$852,680.39. On February 11, 2020, RDC submitted its Invoice # 1403, which details the outstanding balance of the Incentive Fee. Interest has accrued and continues to accrue on Invoice #1403 at the rate of 1.5% per month and the amount now due totals approximately \$2,179,105.37.

#### K. D&O Insurance Reimbursement

Plaintiff was obligated to provide D&O insurance for RDC with adequate tail coverage to protect RDC, Mr. Razaghi, and any successor CEO and Key Executives (as those terms are defined in the Contract) from any claims made after the expiration or termination of the Contract for any actions or omissions alleged to have occurred during the term of the Contract. Plaintiff failed to provide the insurance during FY 2018 and, consequently, RDC was forced to obtain the coverage itself. On or about August 5, 2019, RDC submitted its Invoice No. 1398, which included, among other charges, the premium RDC was forced to pay due to Plaintiff's failure to provide the required insurance coverage. RDC seeks the recovery of the premiums it paid along with accrued and accruing interest at the rate of 1.5% per month, which as of March 31, 2024 total \$33,321.00.

Ahmad Razaghi, Tausif Hasan, Allen Billings, Todd McGee, Tadd Greenfield, David Erichsen, Guang Liu, Plaintiff's board members during the relevant time periods, ONTE SHWEN, I.C.

Christi El-Meligi and/or Netrisha Dalgai may testify as to the damages to which RDC is entitled. In addition to the Contract, board meetings and other documents referred to herein, RDC's damages are also reflected in the invoices RDC provided to Plaintiff, including but not limited to Invoice Nos. 1244-1269, 1272, 1276, 1280, 1284, 1288, 1292-1293, 1297-1298, 1311-1312, 1316, 1319, 1232, 1327, 1331, 1335, 1340, 1344, 1348, 1353, 1357, 1361, 1370-1374, 1394, 1398 and 1410.

7 8

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 10:**

Identify whether any Defendant contends that it is entitled to any portion of the funds in the escrow account opened by Sage at Wells Fargo Bank on June 16, 2016, as detailed in Paragraphs 120-21 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint (Doc. 192); and, if so, identify the amount claimed and all factual and legal support for that position.

#### **RESPONSE:**

No Defendant other than RDC was a party to a contract with Plaintiff that provided for the establishment of the escrow account or its uses. RDC does not contend that it is entitled to any portion of the escrow account.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 11:**

Identify in detail all contractual terms that You contend were in place between Razaghi Healthcare and Sage at each time during the Relevant Time Period. This includes identifying each amendment to the terms of the CEO Services Contract that You contend occurred and, for each amendment, identifying when You contend each amended provision was in effect.

## 

# In light of the clarification of this interrogatory provided during the parties' meet and confer on March 26, 2024, and Plaintiff's counsel's email dated March 28, 2024,

 RESPONSE<sup>5</sup>:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Defendants supplemented their response to this interrogatory on June 5, 2024. Defendants believe that this supplemental response meets the substance of the interrogatory.

2 3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Defendants supplement this response as follows:

For the relevant portion of Fiscal Year 2011 and for Fiscal Years 2012 and 2013, the Base Pay rate of \$175 per hour set forth in § 5(A) of the Navajo Health Foundation – Sage Memorial Hospital, Inc. CEO Services Contract dated March 18, 2011 was in effect. After considering HealthCare Appraisers Incorporated's Determination of the Fair Market Value of Hospital Management Services dated December 23, 2013, which indicated, among other things, that the fair market range for Mr. Razaghi's services was \$340 per hour to \$530 per hour, the Fiscal Year 2014 Base Pay rate was adjusted to \$425 per hour and discounted to \$175 per hour.

On or about May 1, 2014, the Base Pay rate was adjusted to \$530 per hour, which after applying a \$175 per hour discount resulted in an increase of the Base Pay rate from \$175 per hour to \$355 per hour. This increase was reflected in the backup information that accompanied RDC's Invoice #1180, which was submitted to Plaintiff on or about June 2, 2014. On September 26, 2014, Plaintiff's board of directors approved a continuing resolution pursuant to which it adopted Plaintiff's Fiscal Year 2014 operating budget as the Fiscal Year 2015 operating budget. In May 2015, the Base Pay rate was adjusted to \$495 per hour.

In its letter dated October 2, 2015, RDC reminded Plaintiff of its request that RDC carry forward a portion of each of its service invoices as discounts below fair market value. RDC informed Plaintiff that it had been carrying these costs since January 2014. As of September 29, 2015, the balance due on those unpaid costs totaled \$7,042,713.52 and, accordingly, RDC's Invoice #1214 in that amount was submitted with its letter. At Plaintiff's board of directors meeting held November 14, 2015, RDC reported to Plaintiff's board of directors on Invoice #1214 and the \$7.042 million carry forward cost. Plaintiff's board voted unanimously to approve Invoice #1214. By this action, Plaintiff's board approved any rate charged by RDC for the period of time covered by Invoice #1214, provided the rate was within the highest fair market value rate for Mr. Razaghi's services and the discounted rate charged to Plaintiff.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On October 7, 2015, Plaintiff's board of directors approved Plaintiff's Fiscal Year 2016 operating budget. In connection with this approval, Plaintiff's board received and reviewed the Navajo Health Foundation - Sage Memorial Hospital FY2016 Budget Review. Included in that document was an Executive Management and Consulting Services Rate Sheet which, among other things, showed that for Fiscal Year 2016 that RDC would charge \$530 per hour for Mr. Razaghi services which, after applying a 6.6% discount, resulted in a discounted hourly rate of \$495 per hour.

On September 27, 2016, Plaintiff's board of directors approved a continuing resolution pursuant to which it adopted Plaintiff's Fiscal Year 2016 operating budget as Plaintiff's Fiscal Year 2017 operating budget. In connection with the adoption of this continuing resolution, Plaintiff's board received and reviewed the Navajo Health Foundation – Sage Memorial Hospital Continuing Resolution – FY2017 Budget. Included in that document was an Executive Management and Consulting Services Rate Sheet which, among other things, showed that for Fiscal Year 2017 that RDC would charge \$530 per hour for Mr. Razaghi services which, after applying a 6.6% discount, resulted in a discounted hourly rate of \$495 per hour.

On August 25, 2017, Plaintiff's board of directors approved a continuing resolution pursuant to which it adopted Plaintiff's Fiscal Year 2017 operating budget as Plaintiff's Fiscal Year 2018 operating budget. In connection with the adoption of this continuing resolution, Plaintiff's board received and reviewed the Navajo Health Foundation – Sage Memorial Hospital Continuing Resolution Adopting Fiscal Year 2018 Budget (Adopting fiscal year 2017 budget for fiscal year 2018). Included in that document was an Executive Management and Consulting Services Rate Sheet which, among other things, showed that for Fiscal Year 2018 that RDC would charge \$530 per hour for Mr. Razaghi services which, after applying a 6.6% discount, resulted in a discounted hourly rate of \$495 per hour.

Other than as discussed above, Defendants are unaware of any contractual term that was not in place during the term of the CEO Services Contract dated March 18, 2011,

	1	Amendment No. 1 and Extension No. 1 to CEO Ser	rvices Contract dated May 17, 2013 and
	2	Amendment No. 2 to CEO Services Contract of	dated June 16, 2017 (as amended on
	3	December 15, 2017).	
	4	DATED this 23 <sup>rd</sup> day of September, 2024.	
	5		THORPE SHWER, P.C.
	6		HORIE SHWER, I.C.
	7	I E	By /s/ André H. Merrett
	8		André H. Merrett
	9		Bradley D. Shwer Matthew T. St. Martin Attorneys Defendants Razaghi
	10		Attorneys Defendants Razaghi Development Company, LLC, Ahmad R. Razaghi and Tausif Hasan
7;	11		
THORPE SHWER, P.C	12		
IWER	13		
ESH	14		
HORP	15		
Ŧ	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		

Case 3:23-cv-08072-DJH Document 421-8 Filed 11/26/24 Page 100 of 109

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of September, 2024, the foregoing document was served via electronic mail on the following:

D. Samuel Coffman
Bradley A. Burns
Amanda E. Newman
Mitchell P. Reber
Dickinson Wright PLLC
1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 1400
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
anewman@dickinsonwright.com
bburns@dickinsonwright.com
scoffman@dickinsonwright.com
mreber@dickinsonwright.com

#### **Attorneys for Plaintiff**

/s/ Brandi Kline

By: Ahmad Razaghi

I declare under penalty of perjury that to the best of my knowledge, information,

I declare under penalty of perjury that to the best of my knowledge, information,

Razaghi Development Company, LLC

By: \_\_\_\_\_

and belief, the factual information contained in Defendants' Second Supplemental

Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories is true and correct. I am authorized to

and belief, the factual information contained in Defendants' Second Supplemental

Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories is true and correct.

make this verification on behalf of Razaghi Development Company, LLC.

# THORPE SHWER, P.C.

## **VERIFICATION**

	I dec	lare	under pe	enalty of perj	ury that to	the	best of my k	nowledge	e, information,
and	belief,	the	factual	information	contained	in	Defendants'	Second	Supplemental
Res	onses t	o Pla	intiff's l	First Set of In	terrogatorie	es is	true and corr	ect.	

DATED this day of September, 2024.

DATED this \_\_\_ day of September, 2024.

DATED this \_\_\_ day of September, 2024.

# THORPE SHWER, P.C.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### **VERIFICATION**

I declare under penalty of perjury that to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, the factual information contained in Defendants' Third Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories is true and correct.

DATED this 25 day of September, 2024.

I declare under penalty of perjury that to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, the factual information contained in Defendants' Third Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories is true and correct.

DATED this <sup>25</sup> day of September, 2024.

By: tausif hasan
Tausif Hasan

I declare under penalty of perjury that to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, the factual information contained in Defendants' Third Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories is true and correct. I am authorized to make this verification on behalf of Razaghi Development Company, LLC.

DATED this 25 day of September, 2024.

Razaghi Development Company, LLC

Its: Vice President

39

# **EXHIBIT 44**

Case 3:23-cv-08072-DJH Document 421-8 Filed 11/26/24 Page 105 of 109 \*2015.11.20 annual bod meeting packet.pdf Page 3 of 62

#### Navajo Health Foundation



# Sage Memorial Hospital

POST OFFICE BOX 457 | GANADO, ARIZONA 86505 | PH (928) 7554559 | FAX (928) 7554659

Special Board of Directors Meeting Saturday, November 14, 2015 @ 9:00 AM FireSky Resort, Fuego Meeting Room Scottsdale, AZ

#### MINUTES

Chairperson Wauneka called the meeting to order at 9:04 AM.

Ms. Ray Ann Terry conducted roll call.

#### Present at the meeting:

Board Members: Chairperson Mr. Stenson Wauneka, Vice Chairperson Ms. Linda Yazzie (teleconference), Secretary Ms. Ray Ann Terry, Treasurer Ms. April Dedman (teleconference), Mr. Ambrose Shepherd excused absence, Mr. Andrew Simpson, Ms. Maybelle Kelewood, Ms. Joyce Moore

Executive Leadership: Ms. Christi El-Meligi, Chief Executive Office, Ms. Netrisha Dalgai, Director of Operations

Management: Mr. Ahmad R. Razaghi,

Approval of Agenda

Motion by Ms. Yazzie to approve the agend&, by Ms. Dedman. Vote 7-0-0.

#### Approval of Minutes

The Board reviewed minutes from the Regular Board Meeting on August 21, 2015, the Regular Board Meeting on August 22, 2015, the Board Officers Meeting on August 27, 2015, the Special Board Meeting on September 10, 2015, the Wide Ruins Work Session on September 25, 2015, the Special Board Meeting on October 7, 2015, and the Special Board Meeting on October 30, 2015. Ms. Kelewood noted that Board Public Relations report from the August 21, 2015 Regular Board Meeting had not been approved. Mr. Wauneka stated that it can be added to a future agenda as an annual Board Public Relations report. Mr. Simpson request to have it noted that all Board Public Relations on August 21, 2015 agenda was necessary as a result of

Case 3:23-cv-08072-DJH Document 421-8 Filed 11/26/24 Page 106 of 109 \*2015.11.20 annual bod meeting packet.pdf Page 4 of 62

#### Navajo Health Foundation | Sage Memorial Hospital

POST OFFICE BOX 457 / GANADO, ARIZONA 86505 / PH (928) 755-4559 / FX (928) 7554659

the extraordinary circumstances caused by the actions of the disgruntled ex employees. Mr. Razaghi added that Sage has retained expert consultants and witnesses who can attest to the necessity.

Motion by Ms. Yazzie to accept the Minutes with grammar corrections the changes Ms. Moore. Vote 7-0-0.

October 19, 2015– The Navajo N ation Office of Labor Relations dismissal of claims filed by Michael Katigbak

Mr. Razaghi reported to the Board that the claim submitted by Mr. Katigbak, an employee of Razaghi Healthcare had been denied by the NN ONLR. Mr. Razaghi apologized to the Board for the inconvenience caused by the actions of Mr. Katigbak.

Motion by Ms. Kelewood to approve the reportthe October 19, 2015 The Navajo Nation Office of Labor Relations dismissal of claims filed by Michael Katig & Ms. Moore. Vote 7-0-0.

Razaghi Healthcare Invoice #1214, FY2014 and FY2015 \$7.042 million carry forwardcost

Mr. Razaghi reported to the Board the \$7.042 Million in discounts below fair market value for fiscal year 2014 and 2015.

Ms. Moore motioned to enter into executive session at 9:42 A.M.

Ms. Kelewood motioned to exit executive session and 3 A.M.

Motion by Ms. Terry to accept the discounts as discussed Ms. Moore. Vote 7-0-0.

Resolution Certificate of Incumbency and Investment Statement Policy as prepared by Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. , Certificate of Incumbency, Wells Fargo Philanthropic Services, Investment Policy Statement, Wells Fargo Investment Presentation for Sage Memorial Hospital, Wells Fargo Investments Account Customization Form , Wells Fargo Nonprofit Services Agreement, Wells Fargo Securities Cash Transfer Form, Navajo Health Foundation – Sage Memorial Hospital IRS Form W9,

Mr. Razaghi presented the esolution Certificate of Incumbency and Investment Statement Policy as prepared by Wells Fargo Bank, N. ACertificate of Incumbency Vells Fargo Philanthropic Services, Investment Policy Statement Fargo Investment Presentation for Sage Memorial Hospital, Wells Fargo Investments Account Customization Form, Wells Fargo Nonprofit Services Agreement, Wells Fargo Securities Cash Transfer Form, Navajo Health Foundat Sarge Memorial Hopital IRS Form W9. He explained that his will allow for the exection of the investment account and philanthropic services through Wells Fargo Bank. Ms. Terry questioned the benefit of the investment fund and where the money to fund the investments was obtained? Ms.

RAZAGHI

# Navajo Health Foundation | Sage Memorial Hospital

POST OFFICE BOX 457 / GANADO, ARIZONA 86505 / PH (928) 755-4559 / FX (928) 7554659

Kelewood asked if there was a maturity date relating to the investments. Mr. Razaghi explained that the investment monies would be derived from the hospitals cash account and that the portfolio prepared by the bank is very conservative. The fire would be that the investments will provide diversity of the hospital's funds. He also statedly funds were not restricted would be invested in mutual funds and stocks therefore, maturity dateMs. Yazzie stated this was a move in the right directio for the hospital. Ms. EMeligi read the resolution into the record.

Motion by Mr. Simpson to accept the report on **Bes**olution Certificate of Incumbency and Investment Statement Policy as prepared by Wells Fargo Bank, N.2A.<sup>d</sup> by Ms. Kelewood. Vote 7-0-0.

Chief Executive Officer Report, Quality Council August 26, 2015, September 23, 2015, October 28, 2015

Ms. El-Meligi presented the report on the Quality Council meetings for August 26, 2015, September 23, 2015, and October 28, 2015. Ms. Moore, Mr. Simpson, and Ms. Kelewood reported to the Board on their attendance at the meetings. Mr. Simpson stated that the Quality Council program serves to ensure high quality patient care and continued performance improvement for the hospital.

Motion by Ms. Kelewood to accept the reports on Quality Countilby Ms. Moore. Vote 7-0-0.

Medical Staff Appointments, initial appointments - Sherron Cook, PAC - Physician Assistant, Patrice Churchill, DO - Family Practice, Mark Lockett, MD - Emergency Medicine, Michael Colonna, DO - Emergency Medicine

Ms. El-Meligi presented to the Board, the various providers who where recommended to the Board by the Sage Hospital Medical Executive Committee for appointment to the Sage Hospital Medical Staff.

Motion by Mr. Simpson to approve all recommendations for initial appointment to the Sage Hospital Medical Staff,  $2^d$  by Ms. Kelewood. Vote 7-0-0.

Medical Staff Appointments, reappointments Edward Young, MD – Family Practice, Robert Mahanti, MD – Ophthalmology, Lisa York, MD – Internal Medicine, Kathryn Wray, PAC – Physician Assistant

Ms. El-Meligi presented to the Board, the various providers who where recommended to the Board by the Sage Hospital Medical Executive Committee for reappointment to the Sage Hospital Medical Staff.



#### Navajo Health Foundation | Sage Memorial Hospital

POST OFFICE BOX 457 / GANADO, ARIZONA 86505 / PH (928) 755-4559 / FX (928) 7554659

Motion by Ms. Moore to approve all recommendations for reappointment to the Sage Hospital Medical Staff,  $Z^d$  by Ms. Dedman. Vote 7-0-0.

Resolution Update Administrator for MGKS Employee Pension Plan

Ms. El-Meligi presented the resolution to update the administrator for the MGKS Employee Pension Plan, as the plan administrator is no longerployed by Sage Hospital. She stated that Mr. McGee, who is Sage's CFO as provided through the Razaghi Healthanegement contract with the Board shallecome the plan's administrator. Mr. Razaghi reassured the Board that as the plan administrator, Mr.McGee will have authority to deposit funds into the plan account and will not have the authority to withdraw funds. Ms.McGee will have resolution into the record.

Motion by Ms. Moore to approve the Resolution to update the administrator for MGKS Employee Pension Plan,  $2^{nd}$  by Mr. Simpson. Vote 7, 0, 0.

#### Announcements

Ms. Dalgai presented the announcements to the Board

Annual Board of Directors Meeting @ Talking Stick Rescottsdale, AZ- November 18, 19, & 20, 2015

Sage Memorial Hospital Annua Imployee Appreciation Luncheon, November 24, 2015 @ 11:00am, Sage Memorial Hospital Wellness Center

#### Trainings and Conferences

- 1. Falmouth Institute: National Indian Board and Council Members Conference, Las Vegas, NV December **9**1, 2015
- 2. Falmouth InstituteLeadership Skills for Native Women, Las Vegas, NDecember 10-11, 2015
- 3. Falmouth Institute: Strategic Planning for Tribes and Tribal Organizations, Las Vegas, NV January 2526, 2016
- 4. Falmouth Institute: The Indian Selfetermination Act: Contracting a dampacting Under P.L. 93-638, Las Vegas, NV January 25-26, 2016
- 5. Falmouth Institute: Indian Water Rights and Water Law, Las Vegas, **NV**February 17-18, 2016
- 6. Native Nation: Third Annual Tribal Financial Management SymposiummPal Springs, CA December 32015
- 7. Native Nation: National Native American Leadership Forum, Las Vegas, NV December -78, 2015
- 8. Rural Health Care Leadership Conference, Arizona Grand Resort & Spa, Phoenix, AZ February 710, 2016
- 9. Ms Terry recommended that the entire Board attend the Rural Health Care Leadership Conference, Arizona Grand Resort & Spa, Phoenix, AZ February 710, 2016

RAZAGHI\*

Case 3:23-cv-08072-DJH Document 421-8 Filed 11/26/24 Page 109 of 109 \*2015.11.20 annual bod meeting packet.pdf Page 7 of 62

# Navajo Health Foundation | Sage Memorial Hospital POST OFFICE BOX 457 / GANADO, ARIZONA 86505 / PH (928) 755-4559 / FX (928) 7554659

The Board adjourned the meeting at 11:23 a.m.

Motion by Ms. Moore to adjourn the meeting by Ms. Kelewood. Vote 7-0-0.

